IBQTGRA1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 2 3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, New York, N.Y. 4 16 CR 468 (GHW) v. 5 JAMES GRANT and JEREMY REICHBERG, 6 Defendants. 7 -----x 8 November 26, 2018 9 9:05 a.m. 10 Before: 11 HON. GREGORY H. WOODS, 12 District Judge 13 14 **APPEARANCES** 15 GEOFFREY S. BERMAN United States Attorney for the 16 Southern District of New York BY: JESSICA R. LONERGAN 17 KIMBERLY J. RAVENER MARTIN BELL 18 Assistant United States Attorneys 19 HAFETZ & NECHELES, LLP Attorneys for Defendant Reichberg 20 BY: SUSAN NECHELES 21 MERINGOLO & ASSOCIATES Attorneys for Defendant Grant BY: JOHN MERINGOLO 22 ANJELICA CAPPELLINO 23 24 25

IBOTGRA1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 (Jury not present) THE COURT: Welcome back, counsel, from the recess.

Is Mr. Grant here?

MR. MERINGOLO: Yes, Judge.

THE COURT: I'm sorry. So let's start.

I understand that the jury is not all ready to go. assume that the witness is ready to proceed.

Is there any issue that any party would like to raise with the Court before the jury comes in?

MR. BELL: One, Judge.

THE COURT: Please.

MR. BELL: Over the course of the Thanksqiving break I got the opportunity to read some of the coverage that we have been getting in the press. I came across an article in the Staten Island Advance, which I gather has taken an interest in this case because Mr. Grant hails from Staten Island. article's lead noted that each and every day of the trial Mr. Grant and Mr. Reichberg, first thing in the morning, in addition to sitting next to each other, have placed, on Mr. Grant's part, Rosary beads, and on Mr. Reichberg's part, a holy book, on their defense table every day. In point of fact, we can observe that now.

It occurs to me that if it's visible to the Staten Island Advance, it's likely visible to the jury as well, which is problematic in a number of respects. One of those is that

in addition to whatever general inherent concerns about prejudice or messaging there may be that come from hey, jury, look at my religious symbols, the image of them side by side, I think whether it's intended to burnish the friendship narrative or not, it is something that would certainly seem to have that effect.

I'm not as much of an authority on the placard situation that we had to work out last week, although Wikipedia, interestingly, has since taught me the rabbi depicted on this placard is someone whose image is supposed to be helpful in warding away mice and rats, which frankly makes me worried about the imagery more, but that's something that we have dealt with. With respect to Rosary beads, however, I do have some firsthand knowledge, having been Catholic all my life, albeit not the very best one, and I know that they don't have to be publicly displayed in order to work.

I would ask that the Court just request that these icons, which of course there's no problem with the defendants possess, but they not be displayed in the fashion that they have been displayed. It's really improper. It raises a number of concerns I think even above and beyond the general concerns that they do just because of the imagery of having these symbols side by side throughout the trial.

THE COURT: Thank you. Before we take up that issue, is there anything else that the parties would like to raise

with the Court, Ms. Necheles?

MS. NECHELES: Your Honor, we sent some letters. I don't know if you reviewed them. I don't know whether they will be issues for today, so don't know that they have to be discussed today. I think we have filed something very late this morning or early this morning with respect — under seal, sent it to your Honor with respect to my anticipated cross-examination.

THE COURT: Thank you. I have not read that. I received it but I haven't read it yet. As you said, it came in very early this morning/late last night.

Do we know if your cross-examination will begin today?

MS. NECHELES: I don't know.

MR. BELL: I'll note, your Honor, that this is apparently an ex parte submission.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. BELL: We haven't seen it yet.

With respect to timing of cross-examination, there is a world in which I could finish direct today, but I don't think that world is a very likely one. Given the rate and nature of the objections last Tuesday, I think we're probably going to go into tomorrow a little bit.

THE COURT: Fine, thank you.

MS. NECHELES: And your Honor, I note that most of the 3500 material is handwritten, I think apparently by Mr. Bell,

the vast majority of it, so it's difficult to read, very poor handwriting, sorry -- and that's not meant as a slight, it's just difficult to read. But I did sort of struggle through some of the new stuff last night and saw it's all over the 3500 material, the discussion that Mr. Bell says he inadvertently elicited, but he talked about the security. So I don't know how it could have been a surprise to Mr. Bell since it's in the notes repeatedly about the supposed money going back and forth.

I assume that Mr. Bell is not planning to go back there. It does appear to be 404(b), and it has not been something that he raised with the Court previously.

THE COURT: Thank you. Counsel for the United States, counsel is requesting more information about the security business that Mr. Rechnitz commented on inadvertently, I think, during his testimony.

MR. BELL: I will note, your Honor, I think the very first place in which some mention of the business appears within the 3500 is actually within the typewritten 302 from when Mr. Rechnitz began to cooperate with the government back in I believe either May or June of 2016.

I noted my experience with Catholicism.

Unfortunately, Catholic schools haven't done much for my penmanship, I apologize there, but it is easy to read in the 302. Your Honor asked us to specifically confer with the defense about this. One of the reasons I haven't is because I

tried to find specific formulations of what is in the 302 that actually align more closely with what Mr. Rechnitz testified to the other day. But yes, the subject is mentioned. I don't think it would be much of a real surprise to anyone who reviewed the 3500. It's not my intention to go back there, I will say that, your Honor —

THE COURT: Thank you, that's helpful.

MR. BELL: —— except to the extent that very —— the existence of the security company does factor into a couple of things that aren't 404(b) that are there for background which I think the defense is well on notice of. For example, there's a point of in which Detective Melici, one of the circle of cops, is used by Messrs. Reichberg and Rechnitz to show up at the security company essentially to look like a more impressive version of a security than they have so that they could essentially continue the contract and justify payment. I don't see that as a prior bad act, I think that's sort of demonstrative of the relationship between Melici, Reichberg and Rechnitz over the course of conspiracy.

But with respect to the money relating to the security company, we don't expect to go back there, and I think that's probably the principal issue here. It certainly was as it came up in the context of Ms. Necheles' objection last Tuesday.

THE COURT: Thank you. Is that helpful, counsel?

MS. NECHELES: Yes, your Honor. I don't know exactly

what that meant in terms of what he will elicit about

Mr. Melici that they hired him to work as a security guard for

one day or it was a fraudulent scheme, I object to it being a

fraudulent scheme. I obviously don't have an objection to him

saying they hired him to work for one day as a security guard.

Your Honor, do you want me to address the other issue?

THE COURT: I would like to first ask if Mr. Grant's

counsel has anything they would like to raise. Counsel?

MR. MERINGOLO: Judge, the only thing, Judge, we were supposed to look through the number of disks they gave us with respect to the licensing division. Mr. Grant was unavailable because of his family situation, and I was very sick. I did not look at it. So I will try to look at it. I will peruse through it.

THE COURT: Thank you. And I hope you're feeling well.

MR. MERINGOLO: I'm feeling good.

THE COURT: Thank you. I'm glad to know that you're feeling well now. And please do let me know during that other, I will call it sequelae of that issue, that I should address.

Yes, counsel, I will be happy to take up the issue. I would like to be in a position to start with the jury as soon as they're ready to step out, and they're almost all here. So let's begin a conversation and I ask that the parties be prepared to recommence with Mr. Rechnitz's testimony once the

jury is available.

Please proceed, counsel.

MS. NECHELES: Your Honor, I don't think it's appropriate for the government to be commenting on the religious briefs or religious observance of these gentleman. The government last week made some sort of allegation that things were being held up as some sort of shield or something. Now the government says they went on the internet and they see this rabbi has to do with rats. It's an offensive and obnoxious comment. I don't understand why the prosecutor would be making these kind of comments here about someone else's religious artifacts.

They don't like that they're friends and they are different from each other. These people are under a lot of stress and have chosen to do something that happens a lot. As I said before, I have seen people do this a lot. It is important to them that religious artifacts — to the Hasidic community that religious artifacts be held there, be close. I don't really understand this argument.

It's no surprise to the jury that Mr. Reichberg is

Hasidic. So I don't know what the problem is with him having a

rabbi -- a picture of a rabbi or a religious book sitting in

front of him.

THE COURT: Thank you. I should say I think that the image issue has been taken off the table. We addressed that

previously, and I don't understand that the government is raising that as a concern.

I understand that their concern at this point is twofold: First, the presence of some religious book at the defense table for Mr. Reichberg's side, and then the presence of a Rosary at Mr. Grant's table. I had not observed it during the course of the trial until it was just brought to my attention by the government, who, as I understand it, represented it was brought out in the press.

So I think that the issue that I ask that you address, if you can, is what I understand to be the government's request that I direct that the defense, to the extent religiously feasible, not publicly display those religious artifacts but instead hold them close.

MS. NECHELES: Your Honor, I think that your Honor is really stepping into Constitutionally protected areas. I don't know why. Your Honor didn't notice it, the government didn't notice it. It hasn't been something flashing. I don't know the government is going and reading the Staten Island press. I don't know, I never read it, but that's what they're apparently spending their time doing. I don't know why the reporter wrote about that.

I just don't think it's been a big deal at this trial, and it's clearly at the core of a Constitutionally protected area. They're not doing anything to interfere. The government

doesn't like it. It's not their place really to be commenting on this really.

It's like Mr. Reichberg wears a yarmulke. That's also him displaying a religious observance. Everything about him is him displaying a religious observance. I don't really understand this objection. Mr. Grant has a Rosary beads. He's in his worst time of his life. He thinks that's important for him. I don't know why the government would try to interfere with that.

THE COURT: Thank you. Counsel.

MR. MERINGOLO: Two things, your Honor. My experience with these Rosary beads on the table, I defended an alleged mob boss in this courtroom about nine months ago. He sat in the first row, first seat, and displayed the Rosary beads where the jury constantly looked at him and there was no issue.

Secondly, Mr. Grant, as the government should well know, he's a very, very observant Catholic. He has been to church probably — and we have priests on our witness list, monsignors. He's been to church virtually every Sunday for close to 19 years, and they know this, how religious he has, especially if they have done a proper interrogation. This is something that's been on the table since jury selection, Judge. I don't believe that we should — I don't even think the jury can see it from where they're sitting.

THE COURT: Thank you. Just for the record,

Mr. Grant's Rosary beads are a white strand about a foot and a half long, the second table furthest from the jury box, and they're laid out perpendicular to the table. I can see them, but as I said earlier, I haven't seen them previously.

Counsel for the United States, I'm not going to direct the defendants on the basis of this record to move their religious tokens. I, as I said earlier, haven't seen them until now. I will take a look later today and see if I can actually observe them from the jury box. I don't know that they are the visible. There's a computer screen and a number of defendants' documents between the jury box and where Mr. Grant's Rosary beads are, so I don't know that they're observable.

Counsel, can you observe them from the jury box while seated?

MR. BELL: I have been in there, your Honor, and looked at that area to get a sense myself, and the answer is yes. I haven't sat in every single seat.

MR. MERINGOLO: Judge, he just said he read it. He didn't sit in that jury box this morning, and he just said he read it in the Staten Island Advance and came in to talk to you. So if he had seen it and saw it in the jury box prior, then he should have said something, not what he read in the Staten Island Advance. We can't have all these misrepresentations to the Court.

MR. BELL: That's great. Judge, all I'm suggesting is this: In addition to the concerns that are attendant to these issues, there's the added layer of symbolism that I mentioned before that actually goes directly to the defense.

The defense suggests that we have an issue with the defendant's friendship. That's never been true. We do have an issue with the messaging to the jury, and it is notable that Ms. Necheles and Mr. Meringolo in both their responses tiptoed around the singular issue that the government is raising right now, which is the display; not the use, not holding them close, not any religious observe advantages.

To the extent that Mr. Grant and Mr. Reichberg want to participate in any religious observance at all in this trying time outside the presence of the jury, we welcome and encourage it. It's beautiful. The problem is the display. And if the press has been able to see that for some time, it shouldn't be terribly surprising that the jury has probably been able -- it shouldn't be terribly surprising the possibility that the jury has been able to see it, too. And somewhat more cynically, I'm afraid, I think that may be actually part of the purpose of the precise placing.

Look, I ask your Honor to just sort of keep an eye on this, and if your Honor wants to take a look from the jury box himself later on, then that's fine as well.

I raised the issue just because now because our backs

~

are to counsel table because defense counsel has chosen to take a single table where Grant and Reichberg can be next to each other, as opposed to each of these tables, from the beginning of the trial, and our backs are to them and are two things away. So obviously we have a tougher time seeing this than the jury does. And your Honor, of course, is at a different vantage point than the jury as well.

That's all we're noting, your Honor. I think that it's of apiece with the concern that we raised earlier. Hats off, on some level it's a savvy move, but I don't think it's a permissible one.

MS. NECHELES: Your Honor, I'm kind of stunned. The fact that we sit together at the same table, none of this is some big scheme by the defense. We have about been working together. We sat at every court appearance exactly like we're sitting now when there was no jury, exactly like we're sitting now is how we sat.

I don't understand what the government is working their way up about. I wish I were as savvy as Mr. Bell seems to think I am, but we're just trying to work together, try to get this trial done and do our job. And I don't understand what the -- you see, your Honor, that we, Mr. Meringolo and I, are trying to coordinate, we're trying to work together, trying to move this trial along. We have one item that shows the exhibits. I sit next to my paralegal because he's the one who

knows things, then of course the next person who would sit here is the client. I just don't even understand why we're spending this time on this, honestly.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Meringolo, has Mr. Grant had the beads in the same place that they are now in the course of the trial? As I say, I hadn't noticed them until today. Were they on the other side of the monitor, a bit further from the jury?

MR. MERINGOLO: Since day one, they are right there.

THE COURT: Thank you. I'm not going to ask that they be removed at this point. To the extent that this becomes a further issue that the government wants to brief the issue to me, feel free to do so. I'm hesitant to do so here. This is a stressful situation for both defendants. I believe that some religious succor is appropriate. So to the extent that this becomes an issue in the future, please don't hesitate to bring it to my attention, counsel, but as I said, I have not observed it during the course of trial and I'm not aware that the jury has either.

I believe the jury is here, so we're prepared to proceed.

Counsel, please bring in Mr. Rechnitz, and Mr. Daniels, please bring in the jury.

(Jury present)

THE COURT: Welcome back, ladies and gentlemen of the

	IDQTGIVII RECIMITEZ DITECT
1	jury. I hope you had a wonderful Thanksgiving holiday. I'm
2	happy to see you back here today.
3	Counsel for the United States, could you please bring
4	in Mr. Rechnitz.
5	MR. BELL: He's on his way in, your Honor.
6	THE COURT: Good. Thank you.
7	(Pause)
8	THE COURT: Before we proceed, Mr. Rechnitz, let me
9	remind you that you remain under oath.
10	Thank you. You may proceed, counsel.
11	MR. BELL: Thank you, very much, your Honor.
12	JONA RECHNITZ, (Continued)
13	having been previously affirmed, testified as follows:
14	DIRECT EXAMINATION
15	BY MR. BELL:
16	Q. Good morning, Mr. Rechnitz.
17	A. Good morning.
18	MR. BELL: Mr. Hamilton, I ask you to put Government
19	Exhibit 307 back up on the screen just for a moment. And I ask
20	you to play the first few seconds until I frantically say stop,
21	stop, stop.
22	Go ahead, sir.
23	(Video recording played)
24	MR. BELL: Stop.
25	Few more seconds.

5

8

9

10

11

13

15

16

Rechnitz - Direct IBQTGRA1

1 (Video recording played)

2 MR. BELL: Stop, stop, stop.

- Just to be clear, Mr. Rechnitz, who is that to your
- 4 apparent left here?
 - A. Jeremy Reichberg.
- 6 THE COURT: Just for the record, counsel, what time 7 we're stopping?
 - MR. BELL: I stopped initially about -- I had Mr. Hamilton stop initially about five seconds in, then again seven seconds in to GX 307.
 - THE COURT: Thank you.
- 12 MR. BELL: And can we play, Mr. Hamilton, the last minute or so of this exhibit, so I quess if you can take us to 14 the 143 mark, for the record.
 - Actually let's go back a bit further to the 115 mark, perhaps. If you could play from there, sir.
- 17 (Video recording played)
- 18 MR. BELL: Let's pause for a moment.
- BY MR. BELL: 19
- 20 Q. Mr. Rechnitz, are you familiar with that individual who
- 21 said: What's up, what's up?
- 22 Α. Yes, I am.
- 23 Ο. Who is that?
- Mark Shelton. 24 Α.
- 25 Who is Mark Shelton? Q.

- A. He was an officer with the NYPD who works for the chief of department.
 - Q. And what dealings did you have with Mr. Shelton?
- 4 A. He would often escort us into One Police Plaza through
- 5 Mr. Bank's private elevator, and he was one of the drivers of the chief of department.
- 7 MR. BELL: Can you keep going, Mr. Hamilton.
- 8 (Video recording played)
- 9 BY MR. BELL:
- Q. Now you mentioned in the tape that you are going to the ball dropping. Did you in fact go to the ball drop with police
- 12 officials that year?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 Q. How many years did you go to the ball drop with police
- 15 | officials, do you recall?
- 16 A. Maybe one or two years.
- 17 Q. Now when you did go to the ball drop, did you watch the
- 18 | ball actually drop?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 | O. From where?
- 21 A. From the front and center near the stage.
- 22 | Q. Now what understanding do you have, if any, of how the
- 23 thousands of folks around you -- what they had to do in order
- 24 | to get to that position?
- 25 A. They had to wait outside.

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

18

- 1 MS. NECHELES: Objection, your Honor.
- 2 MR. MERINGOLO: Objection.
- 3 THE COURT: Thank you. Counsel, can you rephrase?
- 4 MR. BELL: Sure.
 - Q. Well, when you watched the ball drop, were you alone or were there other people there?
 - A. There were many thousands of people who had been there waiting in the cold probably since the morning.
 - Q. What did you have to do in order to get that particular vantage point?
- 11 We just had to roll in a few minutes before the ball 12 dropping with police officers.
 - MR. BELL: And so I'm going to offer -- well, can we put just on the witness's screen, Mr. Hamilton, and perhaps we can split screen these, Government Exhibits 629 and 606.
- So are you familiar with these images, sir? 16
- 17 Yes, I am. Α.
 - And how are you familiar with them? 0.
- 19 These are photos that I believe were taken on my phone. Α.
- 20 And where were they taken and of what event? 0.
- 21 At Times Square by the ball dropping. Α.
- 22 MR. BELL: The government offers 629 and 606.
- 23 MS. NECHELES: No objection, your Honor.
- 24 MR. MERINGOLO: Your Honor, could we find out when
- 25 this was?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

19

20

21

22

Rechnitz - Direct

THE COURT: Thank you. Any objection to the introduction, then we'll see what the other additional questions come up?

MR. MERINGOLO: No objection.

THE COURT: Thank you. I'm accepting 629 and 606 into evidence.

(Government's Exhibits 606 and 629 received in evidence)

THE COURT: Counsel, you may proceed.

MR. BELL: Thank you.

Mr. Hamilton, please publish to the jury just 629 for the moment.

BY MR. BELL:

ball lit up.

- So what are we looking at here, Mr. Rechnitz?
- 15 Α. That's a photo in Times Square of me and Jeremy by the ball dropping. In the background at the top of the screen is the 16

18 MR. BELL: And can we publish 606 now, Mr. Hamilton.

- Q. And the lighting may not be the very best, but can you tell who is depicted here?
- A. Yes, from left to right it's me, then Chief Michael Harringon, Chief David Colon and Jeremy.
- 23 Q. And do you happen to recall offhand which year this was, 24 that is to say, whether it's the same year as the video we 25 watched of you driving in or another year?

1

5

6

8

9

18

19

20

21

- Α. I'm not sure.
- 2 MR. BELL: Can we take that down, please.
- 3 Mr. Hamilton, can you please publish 552A, which is 4 already in evidence.
 - Q. So just before, Mr. Rechnitz, the Thanksqiving break, do you recall being asked about this day when you and
- 7 Mr. Reichberg drove around Staten Island in Christmas hats to deliver gifts to certain people, including Mr. Grant?
 - Yes, sir. Α.
- 10 And so what I would like to do --
- 11 MR. BELL: You can take that down, Mr. Hamilton.
- 12 Q. -- is direct your attention to Government Exhibit W0923.
- 13 It is a recorded call already in evidence.
- 14 MR. BELL: And I will ask you, Mr. Hamilton, to put up 15 the coordinating transcript on the screen for the benefit of the jury. You don't need to use your binders, unless you want 16 17 to.
 - And just to refresh, this is a call from January 16, 2015, that is to say, over a year later, and could we play the first two minutes or so, Mr. Hamilton.
 - (Audio recording played)
- 22 MR. BELL: Could you pause for a moment.
- 23 By this time, January of 2015, had you already taken
- 24 Mr. Grant someplace for the Superbowl?
- 25 Α. Yes.

- 1 | Q. Where was that?
- 2 A. Las Vegas.
- 3 | Q. How had you taken him there?
- 4 A. On a private jet.
- 5 | Q. In 2015, did you have Superbowl plans as well?
- 6 | A. Yes.
- 7 | Q. What did you do for the Superbowl in 2015?
- 8 A. I went to watch the Superbowl with my friends in Vegas, but
- 9 | I actually remember missing the game, I think it was that year,
- 10 because a friend of mine's child had passed away.
- 11 | Q. Did you make plans that year with Mr. Reichberg or
- 12 Mr. Grant?
- 13 | A. No.
- 14 | Q. Why not?
- 15 A. Because the year before was a disaster, as far as I was
- 16 | concerned, and I wanted to be on my own with my friends from
- 17 Los Angeles.
- 18 | Q. At this point, in January of 2015, was Mr. Banks still the
- 19 chief of department?
- 20 A. I think so.
- 21 MR. BELL: So why don't we continue with the
- 22 | recording, Mr. Hamilton.
- 23 (Audio recording played)
- MR. BELL: Can you pause there, Mr. Hamilton.
- 25 Q. Now Mr. Rechnitz, I asked you a number of questions about

- 1 | your visit to Staten Island for Christmas of 2013. For
- 2 Christmas of 2014, a few weeks before this call, did you make a
- 3 | similar trip to Staten Island?
- 4 | A. No.
- $5 \parallel Q$. Why not?
- 6 A. Because we were already dealing with higher level officers
- 7 | than Jimmy Grant at that point and didn't feel we needed to
- 8 provide him with any gifts at that point.
- 9 MR. BELL: Let me continue -- go ahead, Mr. Hamilton.
- 10 (Audio recording played)
- MR. BELL: Pause for a moment.
- 12 Q. Reference is made here, Mr. Rechnitz, to Jona's fucking
- 13 | application. Had you applied to the NYPD for something at this
- 14 point.
- 15 A. Yes, for the gun license.
- 16 Q. We'll talk more about this later, but did you understand
- 17 Mr. Grant to have knowledge of that application?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And how did you understand that?
- 20 A. From Jeremy and from Jimmy.
- 21 | Q. Let's keep going just a little bit further, Mr. Hamilton.
- 22 (Audio recording played)
- 23 MR. BELL: Let's stop there, Mr. Hamilton. Thank you.
- 24 | Q. So Mr. Rechnitz, let's go back to roughly Christmas of 2013
- 25 when you did make that trip to Staten Island. Back when you

4

16

Rechnitz - Direct

- and Mr. Reichberg were doing that, what kind of an interest had
 you and Mr. Reichberg taken in Mr. Grant's career?
 - A. A very strong interest.
 - Q. Why had you taken a very strong interest in his career?
- 5 A. Jimmy and Jeremy had known each other for years. Jeremy
- 6 said he was young at the time, I believe he was a captain, and
- 7 he was a rising star, and he was a guy who was a team player
- 8 | and would get things done.
- 9 Q. Did you and Mr. Reichberg take any actions to further or advance Mr. Grant's career?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. What did you do?
- 13 A. We were influential in getting him promoted and transferred 14 to a new precinct.
- Q. What sorts of things did you do in order to get Mr. Grant
- 17 A. We spoke on several occasions to Philip Banks, the chief of
- 18 the department, and to Michael Harrington, his right-hand man,
- 19 | about promoting Jimmy Grant.
- 20 | Q. And what sort of a response did you get over time from
- 21 | Chief Banks?

promoted?

- 22 | A. Chief Banks had explained to both of us that promotions
- 23 were up to the commissioner, but that he also had some spots
- 24 | that he could recommend, and that eventually he had taken care
- 25 of it.

IBQTGRA1

- And can you tell me, as close as you can, about what 1
- Mr. Banks told you when he told you that it had been taken care 2 of? 3
- 4 A. Yes, I remember being in the Grand Cabana Room with Jeremy
- 5 and Phil Banks where Philip said: I took care of your boy,
- 6 he's getting promoted, the order just came in. And then he
- 7 told us that we can call Jimmy and tell him, as long as Jimmy
- did not tell anybody until he gets the official call from the 8
- 9 commissioner's office.
- 10 Q. Did you in fact --
- 11 MR. MERINGOLO: Your Honor, I object. We need a time
- 12 frame for this.
- 13 THE COURT: Thank you. Overruled.
- 14 Counsel, you can proceed.
- 15 MR. BELL: Thank you, your Honor.
- 16 BY MR. BELL:
- 17 At that time did you take Chief Banks up on his offer to
- 18 notify Jimmy Grant of his having gotten the promotion?
- 19 Α. Yes, we did.
- 20 Where were you at the time? 0.
- 21 We were in the Grand Cabana Room. Α.
- 22 Ο. Did you call Mr. Grant?
- 23 Yes, we did. Α.
- 24 Ο. How did that conversation go?
- 25 Very good. We called him, we told him that he had been Α.

- promoted, and we put Philip Banks on the phone with him as well.
- Q. And did Mr. Banks, seriously or not, say anything about why he had promoted Mr. Grant?
 - A. Yes.

5

7

8

9

- 6 Q. What did he say?
 - A. He said: I promoted you so that they stop bothering me and asking me to get you promoted.
 - MR. MERINGOLO: Objection.
- 10 THE COURT: Thank you. Overruled. You can proceed.
- 11 Q. Now what did you -- at the time that Mr. Grant got
- 12 promoted, what did you understand his new post to be?
- 13 A. He became an inspector in the 19 Precinct.
- 14 | Q. What did you understand the 19 Precinct to cover?
- 15 | A. That's the post precinct, which is in --
- MS. NECHELES: Objection.
- 17 THE COURT: What's the objection?
- MS. NECHELES: I object to the characterization, your Honor.
- 20 THE COURT: Thank you. Overruled. You can proceed.
- 21 A. It's the posh precinct of the Upper East Side. I believe
- 22 | it covers a big chunk of midtown, I think from 59th Street up
- 23 | to 72nd Street, but I'm not sure exactly which buildings.
- Q. Was Mr. Grant now covering that precinct important to you
- 25 and Mr. Reichberg?

1 Α. Yes.

2

- Why? Q.
- 3 First of all, we worked in those areas. Second of all, we
- 4 now had a guy we're very close to in Manhattan. Even though my
- 5 office was technically a block or two out of his precinct, it
- 6 was still close enough where we could call him for any issues.
- 7 Q. Around the time that Jimmy Grant got promoted, how, if at
- all, did the frequency of your asking him for favors change? 8
- 9 The relationship was reignited. There had been a period
- 10 where we weren't as much in contact, and from that point
- forward we were more in touch. 11
- Q. And at around the time that Jimmy Grant got promoted, how, 12
- 13 if at all, did the frequency of your and Mr. Reichberg's
- 14 getting him things change?
- 15 Α. As well, we started to give gifts again.
- Why did you start to give gifts to Mr. Grant again at 16
- 17 around that time?
- 18 A. He was somebody who was resourceful to us at that point
- 19 once again.
- 20 Q. Now notwithstanding Mr. Grant's new promotion to the 19th
- 21 Precinct, did there later come a point when your and Jeremy's
- 22 interest in Jimmy Grant fell off?
- 23 Α. Yes.
- 24 0. And why was that?
- First of all, we were involved with Phil Banks and Michael 25

cops.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Rechnitz - Direct

- Harrington, people of that sort, but there was a point where we 1 got a visit from the Internal Affairs Bureau, and there were 2 3 investigations before that going on with people we were dealing 4 with, and at that point got very nervous to be involved with 5
 - MR. MERINGOLO: Your Honor, we're going to have to ask for a time frame.

THE COURT: You'll get the opportunity. Please proceed, counsel.

MR. BELL: Thank you, your Honor.

- Q. Let's take both of those things perhaps in turn. why did your growing relationship with Banks and Harrington have an effect -- an adverse effect on your relationship with Jimmy Grant?
- A. Because we were dealing with people at the high level, at the top, so we didn't need to go through Jimmy to get things done anymore, we were able to just get it through Mike Harrington.
- And you mentioned that you were visited by IAB as another reason why the relationship fell off. Did there come a point where Phil Banks ceased to be chief of department?
- Α. Yes.
- 23 Approximately when was that, do you recall?
- 24 It was all around the same period. I think it was -- I Α. 25 think it was in 2015 at some point, I don't remember the exact

- 1 date.
- Q. How did you come to learn that Chief Banks was no longer going to be the chief of department?
- 4 A. He told Jeremy and I that he was going to have a meeting
- 5 | with the commissioner. We had assumed it was to offer him a
- 6 | big position to become the next commissioner, and we were in
- 7 | his office waiting for him to come back, and when he came back
- $8 \parallel$ he told us that he had just resigned.
- 9 Q. Were you surprised?
- 10 A. I was furious.
- 11 | Q. Why were you furious?
- 12 A. Because we had put so much time and energy and financial
- 13 resources into this entire police world in growing within the
- 14 NYPD, in Philip Banks, cultivating the relationship, and I felt
- 15 | we were back to square one.
- 16 | Q. What affect, if any, did that have on your enthusiasm for
- 17 continuing to provide benefits to cops in order to get things
- 18 | in return?
- 19 | A. I was done. I tapped out. I told Jeremy that's it, this
- 20 | is embarrassing, we were on the top, now we're -- we have to
- 21 start from square one. I'm done. We put too much effort into
- 22 this.
- 23 | Q. Did Mr. Reichberg give you an indication of whether he was
- 24 done?
- 25 A. No, he said don't worry, I know -- I'm sure I know who the

- 1 | replacement will be at some point, which was Jimmy O'Neil.
- 2 | Jeremy told me he knew him, he told me he's in with the
- 3 | commissioner's office, and he has other resources and other
- 4 people.
- 5 Q. Prior to that point, had Mr. Reichberg taken an interest in
- 6 | getting to know Commissioner Bratton and others in his orbit?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. What sort of an interest had he taken?
- 9 A. It was a very small interest. It grew after Philip Banks
- 10 | left. But one of the ladies who worked for Commissioner
- 11 | Bratton was the ex to Stephen McAllister, and Jeremy had taken
- 12 an interest in dealing with her and getting to know her.
- 13 Besides that, we were always -- we were both looking for an
- 14 angle to get in with the commissioner's office as well.
- 15 | Q. Was that terribly successful prior to Phil Bank's
- 16 | resignation?
- 17 | A. No.
- 18 | Q. I asked you a number of questions about Grant, Harrington
- 19 and Banks. I want to set those aside and ask you about some
- 20 | other officers. Are you familiar with a David Colon?
- 21 | A. I am.
- 22 | Q. Who was David Colon?
- 23 A. David Colon was a chief in NYPD.
- 24 | Q. And did you and Mr. Reichberg do things for Mr. Colon as
- 25 part of the arrangement and understanding that we have been

- 1 | discussing?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3 Q. What sorts of things did you and Mr. Reichberg do for David
- 4 Colon?
- 5 A. First of all, his daughter had a Sweet Sixteen, so Jeremy
- 6 told me that Dave was looking for a place for his daughter to
- 7 | celebrate in a safe environment, in a hotel. And we had
- 8 | spoken, and I ended up renting a room for his daughter's Sweet
- 9 | Sixteen in a hotel in Manhattan.
- 10 Q. What else did you do for Mr. Colon?
- 11 A. His daughter was looking for a summer internship, and I
- 12 | called a friend of mine at the time and got her a job.
- 13 | Q. Where did you get her a job?
- 14 A. At Tommy Hilfiger's company.
- 15 Q. Who is your friend?
- 16 A. Tommy Hilfiger.
- 17 | Q. And do you recall any other examples of things that you did
- 18 | for Mr. Colon?
- 19 A. I don't recall any other specifics other than buying him a
- 20 Breitling watch.
- 21 | Q. And what can you tell us about the incident in which you
- 22 purchased the watch.
- 23 | A. I bought him and another friend, mutual friend of ours,
- 24 | matching Breitling watches. Dave had introduced Jeremy and I
- 25 | to Hamlet Peralta, the fellow from the liquor business, and at

- that point we thought it was a good business and we were all 1 2 making money together, so as a nice gesture we purchased him a 3 watch.
 - Q. What, if anything, did you and Mr. Reichberg get from Chief Colon, to your knowledge?
 - A. Not much that I could think of.
- 7 Q. Did you expect that you would get more from Chief Colon than you in fact did? 8
- 9 A. Yes.

4

5

6

16

17

18

19

20

- 10 And what reason was there that you didn't get as much from 11 Chief Colon?
- A. Well, we were dealing with, again, Phil Banks, Michael 12 13 Harrington, Jimmy Grant, so we were pretty much covered, but 14 every time we would call Colon for something there would be 15 another excuse or story why he couldn't come through.
 - MR. BELL: Could we put up on the witness's screen, Mr. Hamilton, what's been marked for identification as Government Exhibit 8.
 - Q. Are you familiar, Mr. Rechnitz, with the individual depicted here?
- 21 Α. Yes.
- 22 Ο. Who is it?
- 23 Α. That's Chief Colon.
- 24 MR. BELL: Your Honor, the government offers 25 Government Exhibit 8 as well as Government Exhibit 8A, a face

- plate that says David Colon. 1
- MS. NECHELES: No objection, your Honor. 2
- 3 MR. MERINGOLO: No objection.
- 4 THE COURT: Thank you. I'm accepting Exhibits 8 and
- 5 8A into evidence. You can proceed.
- (Government's Exhibits 8 and 8A received in evidence) 6
- 7 MR. BELL: May we please publish Government Exhibit 8
- 8 to the jury?
- 9 THE COURT: You may.
- 10 BY MR. BELL:
- Is this Mr. Colon? 11
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 MR. BELL: Let's take that down, and could we publish
- just to the witness Government Exhibit 613. 14
- 15 Q. Are you familiar with the individuals depicted here?
- 16 Α. Yes.
- 17 Q. How are you familiar with them?
- 18 I'm sorry, the screen just went blank. Α.
- 19 (Pause)
- 20 THE COURT: Counsel, do you have a hard copy?
- MR. BELL: I think we're back on line. 21
- 22 THE COURT: You can proceed, counsel for the United
- States. Until we're able to fix this problem, could you hand 23
- 24 the witness physical copies?
- 25 Sure. I think Mr. Rechnitz has a binder MR. BELL:

- IBQTGRA1 Rechnitz - Direct with most, if not all, of the stuff in it, so that might be 1 2 helpful as well. 3 Are you familiar with this picture, sir? Yes, I am. 4 Α. 5 How are you familiar with it? Q. I believe I took it at a promotion ceremony for Dave Colon. 6 Α. 7 Who is depicted in the picture? Q. Chief Colon and his girlfriend. 8 Α. 9 MR. BELL: The government offers 613. 10 THE COURT: Counsel? 11 MS. NECHELES: No objection. 12 MR. MERINGOLO: No objection. 13 THE COURT: Thank you, I'm accepting 613 into 14 evidence. 15 (Government's Exhibit 613 received in evidence) 16 THE COURT: Counsel, you can proceed. 17 MR. BELL: May we briefly publish that? 18 THE COURT: You may. Thank you. 19 MR. BELL: 20 Let's take that down. 21 BY MR. BELL: 22 Q. Now Mr. Rechnitz, while we're having these screen issues, 23 could I direct you to what should be in your binder as GX1016?
- 24 | A. Yes.
- MR. BELL: Meanwhile, Mr. Hamilton --

- 1 A. I can see the screen in front of me, if that helps.
- 2 | Q. For the emails where the screen is kind of small, let's do
- $3 \parallel \text{it that way.}$
 - A. Okay.

4

7

- 5 | Q. And so are you familiar with Government Exhibit 1016?
- 6 A. Yes, I am.
 - Q. How are you familiar with this?
- A. This is an email that David Colon's daughter, Laura, wrote to me for the internship, which I then forwarded to Tommy. I
- 10 | told him that it was the daughter of an NYPD chief, and he
- 11 wrote to me: I'm on it, thanks.
- MR. BELL: Your Honor, the government offers
- 13 Government Exhibit 1016.
- MS. NECHELES: No objection.
- 15 MR. MERINGOLO: No objection.
- 16 | THE COURT: Thank you. I'm accepting 1016 into
- 17 | evidence. You can proceed.
- 18 (Government's Exhibit 1016 received in evidence)
- 19 MR. BELL: Could we publish that to the jury, please.
- 20 And Mr. Hamilton, could we focus in the first instance on the
- 21 email below, just the bottom half. Thank you. Email from
- 22 | Laura Colon, just highlight the date for me.
- 23 Maybe we could focus on the first paragraph. I will
- 24 | read, just to move things along: Hello, Jona Rechnitz. I
- 25 | would like to take the opportunity to thank you in advance for

1	your referral to Tommy Hilfiger for an internship. I will not
2	let you down as I will adhere to the highest principles and
3	work ethics. I will am a reflection of my father as well as
4	you. If given the opportunity to do the internship, I will be
5	sure to keep both of your reputations at a high standard.
6	Let's jump out of the blow up box and focus in on the
7	top half of the email.
8	Q. So you then forward this and note: Daughter of an NYPD
9	chief. Very close friend. Any push would be greatly
10	appreciated. Then it's your signature. Then Mr. Hilfiger
11	responds on March 8 at 2:33 p.m. saying: I'm on it, thanks.
12	Copied is an individual named Sheila Cox. Did you
13	have an understanding of who Sheila Cox was?
14	A. Yes.
15	(Continued on next page)
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

IBQKGRA2

1 BY MR. BELL:

2

- Q. Who was Sheila Cox?
- 3 A. Tommy's personal assistant.
- 4 MR. BELL: Let's take that down.
- 5 Can we put up on the screen, just for the witness,
- 6 Government Exhibit 1017. Can we just focus on the top half
- 7 | that has the text on it.
- 8 Q. Are you familiar with this email?
- 9 A. I'm just reading it here.
- 10 | Q. Sure.
- 11 | A. Yes, I am.
- 12 Q. How are you familiar with this email?
- 13 A. It's an email that Tommy had sent to me.
- 14 Q. Does it concern the internship?
- 15 A. Yes. Tommy wrote me an email saying she's onboard --
- 16 | Q. I won't ask you to read it right now. I'll just ask
- 17 | whether it concerns the internship.
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 MR. BELL: Your Honor, the government offers
- 20 Government Exhibit 1017.
- 21 MS. NECHELES: No objection.
- MR. MERINGOLO: No objection.
- 23 THE COURT: Thank you.
- I'm accepting 1017 into evidence.
- 25 You can proceed.

IBOKGRA2 Rechnitz - Direct

- (Government's Exhibit 1017 received in evidence) 1
- 2 MR. BELL: Can we publish to the jury, Mr. Hamilton.
- 3 BY MR. BELL:
- 4 So, beginning at the very bottom, on April the 8th, Q.
- Mr. Hilfiger writes: "Jona, she's onboard as a Tommy Hilfiger 5
- intern." 6
- 7 You respond: "Beautiful. Thank you. Her father
- is" --8
- 9 MR. BELL: Whoops. Let's just focus back in there.
- 10 Thank you, Mr. Hamilton.
- 11 Q. "Beautiful. Thank you. Her father is Chief of Community
- 12 Affairs in the NYPD and works very hard for her future. She's
- 13 a bright girl with drive. She's on a path to success with your
- 14 help. Thank you."
- 15 And Hilfiger responds: "Great."
- Why did you note there, Mr. Rechnitz, that Laura's 16
- 17 father was the Chief of Community Affairs at the NYPD?
- A. I didn't want him to think it was -- he was the chief of 18
- the entire NYPD. 19
- 20 MR. BELL: Can we take that down.
- 21 Can you put up, just for the witness, Government
- 22 Exhibit 1018.
- 23 Are you familiar with this email?
- 24 Α. Yes, I am.

25

Oh, just to be clear, is your screen working again?

1 Α. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

2 Q. Terrific.

3 How are you familiar with this email exchange, sir?

It's an email which involves me and my hotel travel agent. Α.

MR. BELL: Your Honor, the government offers 1018.

MS. NECHELES: No objection.

MR. MERINGOLO: No objection.

THE COURT: Thank you.

I'm accepting 1018 into evidence.

You can proceed.

(Government's Exhibit 1018 received in evidence)

MR. BELL: Thank you.

Can we publish that, Mr. Hamilton.

- 14 BY MR. BELL:
- Q. Let's start at the bottom half of that first page. 15
- says: "Reservation confirmation." 16
- 17 This is from Luxury Connections Reservations. Who was
- 18 Luxury Connections?
- A. A friend of mine, Avi Goldstein, he's the person who made 19
- 20 all my hotel bookings.
- Q. It says here: "Dear Laura Colon, we are pleased to confirm 21
- 22 your upcoming stay at the Royalton. We will be delighted to
- 23 assist you."
- 24 And there is Laura Colon, and the email is
- 25 jona@jrs.cap.

3

4

5

7

8

9

Rechnitz - Direct

1 Whose email address was that, in fact?

- The jrs.cap, it was my email address. Α.
- Under the credit card information, there's a number, and it says: "Cardholder: Jona Rechnitz."

Did you pay for that reservation?

- Yes, I did. 6 Α.
 - The charge listed at the bottom is \$2,149.51.

Does that accord with your memory of how much you paid?

- 10 Α. Yes.
- 11 Now, Mr. Rechnitz, as a general matter, when you paid for
- hotel stays for other people, did you tend to want them to know 12
- 13 how much you had paid in order to do so?
- 14 Α. No.
- 15 Q. Why not?
- I either wanted them to think that I somehow got it for 16
- free, or I was the owner of the hotel, or I traded some sort of 17
- 18 a comp for it, or I didn't want them to feel bad at the amount
- 19 of money that was being spent.
- 20 So what sorts of measures, if any, did you take in order to
- 21 not let the beneficiaries know about the charges?
- 22 I would take a reservation email, just like in this case,
- 23 but I would take out the dollar amount, and let it either read
- 24 zero, or no charge, or just leave it blank.
- 25 MR. BELL: Can we jump out of that box for the moment.

- 1 | Q. You say: "Thank you."
- 2 And then Luxury Connections responds: "Hi Jona. It
- 3 | is our pleasure, and thank you for choosing Luxury Connections.
- 4 Wishing Ms. Colon a wonderful stay at the Royalton. Best,
- 5 Luxury Connections team."
- 6 MR. BELL: You can take that down, Mr. Hamilton.
- 7 Q. You mentioned a Breitling before that you had gotten for
- 8 Mr. Colon and for another individual.
- 9 Do you recall, roughly, how much that Breitling cost?
- 10 A. I think it was somewhere between three to five thousand
- 11 dollars.
- 12 | Q. Do you recall where you got it from?
- 13 A. At Motion In Time.
- 14 | Q. What was Motion In Time?
- 15 A. A watch store in Manhattan.
- 16 Q. Were you a regular customer of Motion In Time?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Who were your contact people, or who was your contact
- 19 person, at Motion In Time?
- 20 A. A fellow by the name of Ariel and Boris.
- 21 | Q. Do you recall their last name?
- 22 A. Shamayev.
- 23 | Q. And were Ariel -- how were Ariel and Boris related,
- 24 assuming they were?
- 25 A. They were brothers.

8

9

- Did you deal exclusively with one of those individuals or 1 sometimes either one? 2
 - A. Sometimes either one.
- 4 MR. BELL: Why don't we now put up, just for the 5 witness, Government Exhibit 1019.
- 6 Q. By the way, with respect to that Breitling watch, was that 7 a trade-in or a straight-up purchase?
 - Straight-up purchase. Α.
 - Q. Now, 1019 is now on the screen. Are you familiar with this email?
- 11 A. Yes, I am.
- 12 And how are you familiar with this email?
- 13 A. It's an email that I wrote to Jeremy.
- 14 MR. BELL: Your Honor, the government offers Government Exhibit 1019. 15
- 16 MS. NECHELES: No objection.
- 17 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 18 Counsel?
- 19 MR. MERINGOLO: No objection.
- 20 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 21 I'm accepting 1019 into evidence.
- 22 You can proceed, counsel.
- 23 (Government's Exhibit 1019 received in evidence)
- 24 MR. BELL: Let's publish that to the jury,
- 25 Mr. Hamilton.

- 1 BY MR. BELL:
- 2 | Q. So, on June the 5th, 2013, at 11:45 a.m., you write:
- 3 "Colon hooked up to Jeremy."
- 4 What did you mean by "Colon hooked up"?
- 5 A. Had purchased him a gift, a watch.
- 6 Q. And then Jeremy responds: "What did you get?"
- Did you have discussions with Jeremy about the various things that you got for Dave Colon?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Did you eventually tell Jeremy what precisely it was that
- 11 you got?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 | Q. Did you also tell him about the hotel at the Royalton?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. Did you tell Mr. Reichberg about the internship with Tommy
- 16 | Hilfiger?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Why did you generally keep Mr. Reichberg in the loop about
- 19 | these things?
- 20 | A. Well, first of all, he had approached me about Dave Zauder
- 21 | for the hotel for the sweet sixteen, but this was something we
- 22 | had discussed the other day. These were shared -- anything to
- 23 do with me was a shared resource, and Jeremy and I were
- 24 partners in this scheme. So he kept me in the loop when it
- 25 pertained to me, and I kept him in the loop.

- 1 | Q. When you say "shared resource," what do you mean by that?
- 2 A. Any benefit that would come out of my financial benefit,
- 3 | Jeremy would benefit from as well. He would deal with the
- 4 details; I would deal with the financial aspects.
- 5 Q. Thank you.
- 6 MR. BELL: Why don't we take that one down,
- 7 Mr. Hamilton.
- 8 | Q. I've asked you a number of questions about Chief Colon.
- 9 | Did you also know a Chief McAllister?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. Who is Steve McAllister?
- 12 | A. When I met him, he was an inspector in the NYPD.
- 13 | Q. Did that change over time?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. What happened?
- 16 A. He retired, and he took on a new job as the position of
- 17 commissioner of the Floral Park Police Department.
- 18 | Q. Where did you understand Floral Park to be?
- 19 A. I think it's in Queens.
- 20 | Q. Now, while Mr. McAllister was with the NYPD, what did he do
- 21 | for you and Jeremy that you were aware of?
- 22 | A. I think he was involved in help with closure of the Lincoln
- 23 | Tunnel for my boss. He was involved in helping get rid of
- 24 protesters in front of the jewelry store that my boss had
- 25 owned.

- Q. What sorts of things did you and Mr. Reichberg do for
- 2 Mr. McAllister while he was with the NYPD?
- 3 A. So, first of all, we had given monetary assistance to the
- 4 NYPD football team.

5

- Q. Was Mr. McAllister affiliated with the football team?
- 6 A. Yes. He had asked for the donations.

In addition to that, I had bought him a Chopard watch;

had given him a free diamond for his daughter who was getting

- 9 engaged. And there were other jewelry and types of things that
- 10 we did for him as well in addition to meals that we paid for.
- 11 Q. Did you continue to do things for Mr. McAllister after he
- 12 | left the NYPD?
- 13 A. Once he was in the Floral Park Police Department, yes.
- 14 | Q. What did you do for him?
- 15 | A. We took him on a trip to Miami on a private jet to watch
- 16 | the BCS football game. Actually, we took him back, I remember,
- 17 | from Miami. Also continued giving him jewelry gifts. Gave him
- 18 | a donation for some Nassau County golf tournament. He asked
- 19 | for things like that.
- 20 | Q. What sorts of things are you aware of that Mr. McAllister
- 21 | did for you once he got to Floral Park?
- 22 | A. He had made me a clergy liaison and gave me a parking
- 23 | placard and ID card. He made Jeremy the chaplain of Floral
- 24 | Park Police Department and gave him, also, a card and a parking
- 25 chaplaincy card.

25

- 1 MR. BELL: Can we put up just for the witness, Mr. Hamilton, what's been marked for identification as 2 3 Government Exhibit 1023. 4 Q. I'll ask you to just read through that and look up when 5 you're done. 6 (Pause) 7 Okay. Α. Are you familiar with this email exchange? 8 Q. 9 Yes, I am. Α. 10 How are you familiar with this email exchange? Ο. 11 It's an email between me and Stephen McAllister. 12 MR. BELL: Your Honor, the government offers 13 Government Exhibits 1023. 14 MS. NECHELES: No objection. 15 MR. MERINGOLO: No objection. 16 THE COURT: Thank you. 17 I'm accepting 1023 into evidence. 18 You can proceed. (Government's Exhibit 2023 received in evidence) 19 20 MR. BELL: Can we publish that to the jury, 21 Mr. Hamilton. And let's look at the bottom half of the page. 22 BY MR. BELL: 23 Q. You write at the bottom: "Hi. I am with Jeremy now on a
 - flight. His phone isn't working. Sorry it is so last minute, but since I will be in town tonight before my travels next

5

6

9

10

- week, can you arrange dinner at 7 with Chief Dunn, you, me, and 1 Jeremy. If it is okay, we would bring Mike Harrington as well. 2 3 Thank vou."
 - Who is Chief Dunn?
 - Chief Dunn, I believe, was the head of the Port Authority. Α.
 - What were you looking to do here? 0.
- 7 It was either Port Authority or highway, I don't remember which one. 8
 - We were looking to establish a connection with Chief Dunn.
- 11 Q. McAllister responds: "Jona, his schedule is planned weeks 12 in advance. We would need to set up a future date to meet.
- 13 Tonight is an impossibility."
- 14 MR. BELL: Can we go to the top half, please.
- Q. You respond: "Okay. Thank you. Hope you are doing well." 15
- 16 Mr. McAllister responds: "Always. Thank you. Ask 17 Jeremy status of ring."
- 18 Did you have an understanding of what Mr. McAllister was referring to when he said "Ask Jeremy status of ring"? 19
- 20 I'm not sure which ring he's referring to, but it was talking about a diamond ring. 21
- 22 Were you familiar with multiple diamond rings that Q.
- 23 Mr. Reichberg was helping Mr. McAllister with?
- 24 Α. Yes.
- 25 What do you recall of those?

4

5

6

9

10

11

Rechnitz - Direct

- A. There was one that I had arranged, and I remember that

 Jeremy had arranged other jewelry for him.
 - MS. NECHELES: I just object. I don't know what he means by "arranged."
 - Q. What do you mean by "arranged," Mr. Rechnitz?

THE COURT: Thank you.

First, the objection is overruled, but, counsel, if you'd like to inquire further, you may.

MR. BELL: Sure. I'm happy to be helpful.

BY MR. BELL:

- Q. What do you mean by "arranged," Mr. Rechnitz?
- 12 A. Provided him with, sold to him.
- MR. BELL: So let's take that down.
- Before we do, I'll note that the email exchange is dated July the 31st of 2013.
- Now let's take that down. Thank you, Mr. Hamilton.
- Mr. Hamilton, I'll ask you to put up on the witness'
 screen what's been marked for identification as --
- 19 Q. Well, first of all, are you familiar with a Detective
- 20 | Michael Milici?
- 21 A. Yes, I am.
- 22 | Q. How are you familiar with Mr. Milici?
- 23 A. I met him through Jeremy.
- Q. Did there come a time where you and Jeremy did things for
- 25 | Milici and Milici did things for you?

2525

1 Α. Yes.

IBQKGRA2

- So what sorts of things did you do for Milici? 2 Q.
- 3 A. We took him to a trip to Las Vegas on a private plane.
- took him on a trip to the Dominican Republic on a private 4
- 5 plane. I know that Jeremy's constantly on the phone with him
- getting things done in his community. 6
- 7 Q. Where did you understand -- what, if anything, did
- Mr. Reichberg tell you about his relationship with Mr. Milici; 8
- 9 that is, its origins?
- 10 That he had met him in the Borough Park community, that
- 11 they had a working relationship.
- 12 Q. What, if anything, do you recall Milici doing for you and
- 13 Jeremy?
- 14 A. I remember him providing access one time at a parade when
- 15 we had seen him, but other than that, I didn't have much
- dealings with him. 16
- 17 Q. Did you understand Mr. Reichberg to have more extensive
- 18 dealings with Mr. Milici than you?
- 19 Α. Yes.
- 20 How did you have that understanding? Ο.
- A. From Jeremy. He had told me that. 21
- 22 MR. BELL: I'll ask, Mr. Hamilton, for you now to put
- 23 up Government Exhibit 10, as identified up on the witness'
- 24 screen.
- 25 Well, let's do this one: Who's this, sir?

- IBQKGRA2 Rechnitz - Direct Stephen McAllister. 1 Α. 2 MR. BELL: Your Honor, the government offers 3 Government Exhibit 10 and a nameplate 10-A that says "Stephen McAllister." 4 5 MS. NECHELES: No objection. 6 MR. MERINGOLO: No objection. 7 THE COURT: Thank you. I'm accepting Exhibit 10 and Exhibit 10-A into 8 9 evidence. 10 You can proceed. 11 (Government's Exhibits 10 and 10-A received in 12 evidence) 13 MR. BELL: Can we publish that. 14 BY MR. BELL: O. So this is Mr. McAllister? 15 A. Yes. 16 17 MR. BELL: Let's take that down.
- 18 Mr. Hamilton, I'll now ask you to publish for the witness what's been marked for identification as Government 19 20 Exhibit 5.
- 21 Are you familiar with this individual?
- 22 Α. Yes.
- 23 O. Who is that?
- 24 A. Michael Milici.
- 25 MR. BELL: Your Honor, the government offers

IBQKGRA2 Rechnitz - Direct Government Exhibit 5 and 5-A, a nameplate that says "Michael 1 Milici." 2 3 MS. NECHELES: No objection. 4 MR. MERINGOLO: No objection. 5 THE COURT: Thank you. I'm accepting Exhibits 5 and 5-A. 6 7 You can proceed. (Government's Exhibits 5 and 5-A received in evidence) 8 9 MR. BELL: Let's publish that, Mr. Hamilton. 10 BY MR. BELL: 11 So is this Detective Milici? 12 Α. Yes. 13 MR. BELL: Let's take that down. 14 Did there come a point where you and Mr. Reichberg employed Q. 15 Mr. Milici's services with respect to real estate in lower Manhattan? 16 17 Α. Yes. 18 Can you tell us about that? 19 A. Yes. There were two occasions. There was a building on 20 Wall Street, 23 Wall Street, that I had managed on behalf of 21 the ownership. And, first of all, there was an event which 22 required armed security, so we had to pay Mike Milici as a 23 security quard for that event.

In addition to that, there was a security fee that the management company would pay to me on a monthly basis, and this

24

- 1 security guard was an old teacher of mine, an older rabbi, who
- 2 I had guard the construction site, but when the building
- 3 | ownership decided to replace me as the manager, I was afraid if
- 4 | they would meet him, they would fire him because he didn't have
- 5 | the qualifications as a security guard. So Jeremy and I gave
- 6 Mike some money, and Mike went into the interview pretending he
- 7 | had been the security guard on site permanently.
- 8 | Q. Are you familiar, from the same period of your life, with
- 9 an individual named Eddie Gardner?
- 10 A. Yes, I am.
- 11 | Q. Who is Eddie Gardner?
- 12 A. I had met Eddie Gardner through Jeremy. I believe he was a
- 13 detective in the TARU at the NYPD.
- 14 | Q. What unit did you understand that to be?
- 15 A. Some undercover unit in the NYPD.
- 16 Q. Did you and Mr. Reichberg do certain things for Eddie
- 17 | Gardner?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 | Q. What did you do?
- 20 | A. We paid for meals, and we had taken him to the BCS
- 21 championship to Florida on our private plane and back.
- 22 | Q. Are you familiar with an individual named Jimmy McCarthy?
- 23 | A. Yes, I am.
- 24 Q. Who is Jimmy McCarthy?
- 25 A. I had met him through Jeremy as well. He was a chief in

- 1 the NYPD in Queens.
- 2 Q. What, if anything, did you do for Jimmy McCarthy?
- 3 A. We took him to a -- I remember we took him to a baseball
- 4 game, and in addition to that, also took him to Florida on the
- 5 private plane for the BCS championship game.
- Q. What, if anything, do you recall Jimmy McCarthy doing for
- 7 | you and/or Mr. Reichberg?
- 8 A. Not much. The only thing -- the only two things that come
- 9 | to mind is that there was somebody who was in a holding cell in
- 10 | a precinct in Queens, and Jeremy had called him -- we went to
- 11 | visit the individual to try and get him out. The other thing
- 12 | is there was a time when we were stuck in traffic, or I was
- 13 stuck in traffic going to the airport, and I had called Jeremy
- 14 | to see if he could get permission to turn on lights and sirens
- 15 | in my car to avoid traffic, and he told me to call Chief
- 16 McCarthy to tell him and that he had spoken to him.
- 17 | O. I'm going to ask you a few guestions about that,
- 18 Mr. Rechnitz.
- 19 Over the course of your relationship with
- 20 Mr. Reichberg, did the term "lights and sirens" develop a
- 21 particular meaning?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. What did lights and sirens mean?
- 24 A. What it sounds like, putting on lights and sirens package
- 25 | in the vehicle to avoid traffic.

- 1 Q. Who did you know at this time who had lights and sirens
- 2 packages in their personal vehicles, other than police
- 3 | officers?
- 4 A. A fellow by the name of Nussy Josephy who used to drive for
- 5 us and for Jeremy. I had lights and sirens in my car, which I
- 6 then gave to Jeremy.
- 7 | Q. And who was this Nussy Josephy?
- 8 A. He was somebody who was associated with Jeremy, who ran a
- 9 lot of errands for him, and many times drive me as well, me and
- 10 | Jeremy together.
- 11 | Q. Did you understand Nussy Josephy to be a police officer?
- 12 | A. No.
- 13 | Q. Did you understand Nussy Josephy to have any affiliation
- 14 | with emergency services?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 Q. What affiliation with emergency services did Mr. Josephy
- 17 have?
- 18 A. He was a volunteer for the Hatzolah Ambulatory Service,
- 19 which is a volunteer service for ambulatory services.
- 20 | Q. About how often did Nussy Josephy drive you and Jeremy?
- 21 A. I'd say biweekly.
- 22 | Q. About how often did Mr. Josephy use his license and sirens
- 23 package while doing so?
- 24 A. Very often.
- 25 | Q. What, if anything, would Mr. Josephy or Mr. Reichberg do in

- 1 order to get permission to put on their lights and sirens --2 the lights and sirens?
- 3 A. Mr. Josephy was hesitant to do it on his own. He would
- 4 call Jeremy or ask Jeremy in the car, and Jeremy would then say
- 5 he already got permission, or place a phone call to an officer
- 6 to get permission, or let them know he was using lights and
- 7 sirens in case he got pulled over.
- 8 Q. Do you recall any of the officers that Mr. Reichberg would
- 9 use in order to ostensibly get permission to put lights and
- 10 sirens on?
- 11 I do not.
- 12 Now, when this happened, would the end result be
- 13 Mr. Josephy turning on his lights and sirens?
- 14 Α. Yes.
- 15 Q. How were they used? In other words, what would he be able
- 16 to do?
- 17 Cut traffic, move cars to the side.
- 18 Q. Now, you mentioned that you had a lights and sirens package
- 19 within your vehicle at one point. How did you come to get a
- 20 lights and sirens package?
- 21 A. We had it installed. I think Nussy had a place to install
- 22 it. I asked Jeremy if I can get lights and sirens, and he
- 23 arranged to have it installed.
- 24 Why did you want the lights and sirens package? 0.
- 25 So I could avoid traffic as well. Α.

- 1 Did you -- and, to be clear, when Nussy Josephy used -well, first of all, to your recollection, who used the lights 2 3 and sirens package more often, yourself or Mr. Josephy, when
- you were around? 4
 - Α. Mr. Josephy.

5

6

7

- About how often did you use that -- did Mr. Josephy use that package in nonemergency situations?
- MR. MERINGOLO: Objection; speculation.
- 9 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 10 Can you rephrase the question, counsel?
- 11 MR. BELL: Sure.
- 12 BY MR. BELL:
- 13 Q. How often did you observe Mr. Josephy use his lights and sirens package in the way that you've described in nonemergency 14
- 15 situations?
- I think every time I was around that it was used, it was 16 17 never in an emergency.
- 18 Q. Can you give me some examples of circumstances in which
- 19 Mr. Josephy, with permission, utilized the lights and sirens
- 20 package?
- 21 Jeremy and I took somebody to the U.S. Open, we went lights
- 22 and sirens. We went to the airport, we went lights and sirens.
- 23 We had to cross town, we went lights and sirens.
- 24 Who did you take to the U.S. Open?
- 25 Stewart Rahr. Α.

- 1 Who was Mr. Rahr?
- He was a fellow I met at a Knicks game who I was in touch 2 Α.
- 3 with. He was giving a lot of charity out, and I wanted to
- impress him. 4
- 5 Did you also know an individual named Paul Raps?
- Yes. 6 Α.
- 7 Who is Mr. Raps?
- Paul Raps is a former employer of Lev Leviev, and I used to 8 9 share an office space with him.
- 10 MR. BELL: Can we put up on the screen, just for the 11 witness, what's been marked for identification as Government 12 Exhibit 1015.
- 13 Q. Are you familiar --
- 14 MS. NECHELES: Objection, your Honor.
- 15 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 16 You can ask the question.
- 17 BY MR. BELL:
- 18 Are you familiar with this email?
- Yes, I am. 19 Α.
- 20 Who is it from, and who is it to?
- 21 It is from Paul Raps, and it's to me, and he copied a whole
- 22 slew of people.
- 23 Can you list the people who were copied on this? 0.
- 24 Ilana Freider, Guy Tanne, Yaron Turgeman, and Ari Schwebel. Α.
- 25 Can you tell me who each of those people is?

BQKGRA2	Rechnitz -	Direct

1	A. Ilana Freider was
2	MS. NECHELES: Objection, your Honor.
3	THE COURT: Thank you.
4	You can answer that question.
5	THE WITNESS: Ilana Freider was Paul Raps' personal
6	assistant, Guy Tanne was the CFO for Paul Raps, Yaron Turgeman
7	is the owner of Taly Diamonds, and Ari Schwebel was a fellow
8	who worked with me.
9	MR. BELL: Your Honor, the government offers
10	Government Exhibit 1015.
11	MS. NECHELES: Objection.
12	THE COURT: Thank you.
13	Come on up.
14	(Continued on next page)
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

```
(At the sidebar)
1
 2
               THE COURT: Thank you.
 3
               Counsel, there's an objection?
               MS. NECHELES: Yes. It's hearsay.
 4
 5
               THE COURT: Thank you.
6
               With respects to the statement in the email that
 7
      Jeremy had taken this person for a ride?
8
               MS. NECHELES: Yes, that they went lights and sirens.
9
               THE COURT: Thank you.
10
               Counsel?
11
               MR. BELL: Okay. We'll get at it another way.
      fine.
12
13
               THE COURT: Thank you.
14
               MS. NECHELES: Your Honor, when the government says
15
      they'll get it in another way, to the extent that they intend
16
      to elicit testimony to this, that would have the same objection
17
      of hearsay. It's not a coconspirator statement in furtherance
18
      of anything.
19
               THE COURT: Thank you.
20
               I'll hear any further objections. At this point I
21
      expect to sustain the objection, and counsel will move on.
22
               MS. NECHELES: Thank you.
23
               (Continued on next page)
24
```

- 1 (In open court)
- THE COURT: I'm sorry. Thank you very much. 2
- 3 Counsel, I'm sorry for the interruption. The
- 4 objection is sustained.
- 5 Counsel, you can proceed.
- 6 MR. BELL: Is there, Mr. Hamilton, a way to take that
- 7 down from the witness' screen, but to leave it on mine? Nope?
- Yep? Oh, great. 8
- 9 BY MR. BELL:
- 10 Q. As a general matter, Mr. Rechnitz, did you discuss with
- 11 Mr. Reichberg the favors that -- the police favors that you got
- 12 for friends of yours?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Do you recall discussing with Mr. Reichberg a police escort
- 15 for Mr. Raps?
- 16 Yes, I did. Α.
- 17 What do you recall of that discussion with Mr. Reichberg?
- 18 A. That he had arranged for Mr. Raps a police -- I don't want
- 19 to say police -- lights and sirens in a vehicle for Paul Raps
- 20 on a ride in the city.
- 21 Q. Did Mr. Reichberg tell you anything about how that ride had
- 22 been received by Mr. Raps?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 What did he tell you? Ο.
- 25 He said that Mr. Raps was very impressed and very excited.

- 1 MR. BELL: Okay. Let's take that down.
- 2 Can we please put up for the witness what has been
- 3 marked as Government Exhibit 1022.
- 4 BY MR. BELL:

- Q. Are you familiar with this email exchange?
- 6 A. Yes, I am.
- 7 | Q. And how are you familiar with it?
- 8 A. I am on the email with other people.
- 9 Q. Who are those other people?
- 10 | A. Baruch Levine sent the email to me, Jeremy Reichberg, and a
- 11 | mutual friend, Reuven Hellman.
- 12 | Q. Who was Mr. Hellman?
- 13 A. He's a friend of mine. He lives in the Upper West Side.
- 14 | Q. And who was Mr. Levine?
- 15 \parallel A. He is a well-known singer in the Jewish community.
- 16 MR. BELL: Your Honor, the government offers 1022.
- MS. NECHELES: Objection, your Honor.
- 18 THE COURT: Thank you. Sustained.
- 19 You can proceed.
- 20 MR. BELL: Your Honor, I'd like to offer Government
- 21 Exhibit 1022, but redact the very top of the email, the first
- 22 message. The rest of it ought to be able to overcome the
- 23 | objection.
- 24 THE COURT: Thank you.
- Come on up. We can talk about it briefly.

1	(At the sidebar)
2	THE COURT: Thank you.
3	Sorry. First, does any of you have a copy of the
4	document here that we can refer to?
5	MR. BELL: Yes, we can get one. Sorry.
6	THE COURT: Thank you. That's fine.
7	Counsel, first, there's an objection. What's the
8	basis?
9	MS. NECHELES: Hearsay.
10	THE COURT: Thank you.
11	Counsel for the United States?
12	MR. BELL: Assuming for the moment that we redact the
13	top, the various entries in there that are from persons other
14	than Jona and Jeremy are there to provide context for what Jona
15	and Jeremy I think just Jona, really, acknowledge there
16	as well as their state of mind in providing that. So one
17	moment.
18	In addition to that, they're also in the mode of
19	requests and questions, which are themselves not statements,
20	and they're not offered for the truth.
21	MS. NECHELES: Your Honor, I agree that questions are
22	not necessarily hearsay I mean are not offered for the
23	truth, but it seems like the bottom is not a question.
24	So the bottom one, it seems like, is Reuven Hellman
25	saying that Jona did something, and then Jona saying okay

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Rechnitz - Direct

The principal messaging from the bottom is MR. BELL: the please be in touch with him at 3:00 p.m. The remaining part of that bottom message contextualizes that. But that's an instruction, your Honor, and it's not being offered for its truth, and I think, at the very least, if your Honor does feel that a limiting instruction is required there that those things aren't offered for the truth. I think your Honor has done a complete enough job explaining what that means, that it can be done on short order here.

THE COURT: What's the purpose of this document? Can you proffer why it is that you're introducing this, counsel?

MR. BELL: It corroborates what I believe Mr. Rechnitz is going to describe as this being an event that happened. That instructions were given, that they were followed up on.

THE COURT: Sorry, that Mr. Rechnitz provided instructions that something occur?

Well, essentially that these arrangements MR. BELL: were made, that the escort was requested, that Mr. Rechnitz took care of it, checked to make sure it was okay, and checked to make sure that it was okay afterwards. But it all goes to corroborate what would be his oral testimony, and as compelling as that's been, I'd like to be able to get in what corroboration I can on it.

MS. NECHELES: An escort? It just seems like it's talking about fireworks.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Just for my benefit, what is it about this that shows that this is about an escort?

MR. BELL: Well, I think that -- I'm sorry. Sure. So it's about the VIP seating, but the escort followed.

Mr. Rechnitz said it's about VIP seating for the fireworks. I think that Mr. Rechnitz would arrange, in what by now is probably predictable fashion, how that VIP seating came to be, and I think further testified that an escort happened after that VIP seating. The escort -- in order for the escort to make sense, I think we have to understand what happened before. This would corroborate Jona Rechnitz on that score.

THE COURT: Thank you. Counsel, any concerns about the proposal that we redact the first portion of this? I understand that Mr. Rechnitz is going to testify about the inquiry and then his arrangement of both VIP seating at the fireworks and the escort that followed it.

MS. NECHELES: Your Honor, I don't think there is a corroboration exception to the hearsay rules. I don't really want to be doing this objection, except the government has been hypertechnical about hearsay. So, in some sense, I think that this is kind of like, okay, he's going to testify about it, but if we all understand that there's a lot of documents in this case, that the government would like to put some in, we would like to put some in, but if we're going to be hypertechnical on

Rechnitz - Direct IBQKGRA2 both sides, then I'm going to insist on being hypertechnical, 1 but I think that this does not technically fit within any 2 3 hearsay exception. 4 MR. BELL: To be clear --5 MS. NECHELES: I'm saying what's good for the goose is 6 good for the gander. 7 THE COURT: I appreciate your comment, Ms. Necheles. MR. BELL: I'm not suggesting that the corroboration 8 9 is an exception to the hearsay rule. The corroboration was to 10 answer your Honor's question of why we want this in, which is a 11 distinct question. I also think that as a technical or 12 hypertechnical matter, the redaction of the top part actually 13 does solve the problem, and Ms. Necheles hasn't given us a 14 reason why it does not. I would further say that I have no 15 problem with the rules of evidence being enforced either way. That's why we're willing to do the redaction. I think the 16 17 rules of evidence protect everybody. 18 THE COURT: Thank you. Let me just pause. 19 I'll let in this exhibit subject to the redaction of 20 the top section. I believe the underlying section; namely, the

I'll let in this exhibit subject to the redaction of the top section. I believe the underlying section; namely, the first email in the thread, is being introduced not for the truth, but in order to show context for Mr. Rechnitz's later response.

MR. BELL: Thank you.

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Thank you.

1 (In open court) 2 THE COURT: Thank you. 3 Counsel, I'm sorry for the interruption. You can 4 proceed. Thank you, your Honor. 5 MR. BELL: We would like to offer Government Exhibit 1022 as 6 7 marked subject to the redaction of the text in the top field. THE COURT: Thank you. 8 9 You can proceed. I'm accepting 1022 subject to the redaction that we 10 11 just discussed. (Government's Exhibit 1022 received in evidence) 12 13 MR. BELL: Thank you very much. 14 Can we publish that to the jury. BY MR. BELL: 15 Q. Now, Mr. Rechnitz, did there come a point where you 16 17 arranged for VIP seating for the fireworks for some combination of the people you mentioned earlier? 18 19 Α. Yes. 20 How did you make that arrangement? Ο. 21 I had called Jeremy and put him in touch with Baruch. Α. 22 Why did you call Jeremy for that reason?

I discussed before, he was a detail man. He was the one with the relationship with the officers who could make that

25 arrangement.

23

- Q. So the bottom says: Baruch might get it done by Jona
 Rechnitz cc'd. Got it done and arranged VIP seating for the
- 3 | fireworks.
- 4 Which fireworks were these, by the way?
- 5 | A. July 4th.
- 6 | Q. You then say, up above: "You got it."
- 7 MR. BELL: Can we highlight that.
- Q. Mr. Levine says: "Hi. It's Baruch. Just being in touch re this eve. No pressure if it's a problem."
- 10 Did you get back to Mr. Levine?
- 11 A. Did I put him in direct touch with Jeremy?
- 12 | Q. And, to your understanding, was there VIP seating arranged
- 13 | for Mr. Levine?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. After the 4th of July fireworks, did you speak to Jeremy
- 16 | about what, if anything, was arranged next?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. And what, if anything, was arranged next for Mr. Levine?
- 19 A. From the fireworks show, he had a lights and sirens ride
- 20 home from.
- 21 Q. In speaking to Mr. Reichberg, what was your understanding
- 22 | of how that lights and sirens escort had been arranged?
- 23 A. I don't remember.
- MS. NECHELES: Objection to form.
- THE COURT: Thank you.

1 Counsel, can you rephrase? MR. BELL: That's fine. 2 3 BY MR. BELL: 4 Q. What was your understanding, in speaking to Mr. Reichberg, 5 about how that lights and sirens ride had been arranged? A. I do not know. 6 7 MR. BELL: You can take that down, Mr. Hamilton. I want to put up on the screen now what's been marked 8 for identification as Government Exhibit 308-F. This is a 9 10 video. It may be easiest logistically, as we've done before, 11 to simply offer this. I'm happy to remind defense counsel what 12 it is. 13 MS. NECHELES: No objection, your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Thank you. 15 Counsel? 16 MR. MERINGOLO: No objection. 17 THE COURT: Good. Thank you. 18 I'm accepting into evidence Exhibit 308-F. (Government's Exhibit 308-F received in evidence) 19 20 THE COURT: Counsel, can you please inquire about what 21 the nature of the record is --22 MR. BELL: Sure. 23 BY MR. BELL: 24 Q. Mr. Rechnitz, I believe that on the screen right now is an 25 early frame of a video that I suspect you're familiar with.

- 1 | But are you familiar with this video?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3 | Q. How are you familiar with it?
- 4 A. I'm a passenger in the vehicle, and I took the video.
- Q. Do you recall, roughly, what the vehicle was doing at the time?
- 7 A. Driving with lights and sirens.

MR. BELL: So, with that, your Honor, the government would seek permission to publish 308-F.

THE COURT: You can proceed.

MR. BELL: Thank you.

Mr. Hamilton, can you go ahead and play the video.

(Video playback)

14 BY MR. BELL:

10

11

12

- Q. So, Mr. Rechnitz, first of all, do you recall who was in the vehicle with you at the time?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. Who?
- 19 A. Nussy Josephy.
- 20 | Q. Anybody else?
- 21 A. I'm not sure.
- 22 | Q. Do you recall, on this particular occasion, how Nussy
- 23 | Josephy got permission, to the extent that he did, to put his
- 24 | lights and sirens on?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 | Q. How?
- 2 A. He had called Jeremy in the car, and Jeremy said that he
- 3 has him covered, and he can turn them on.
- 4 | Q. Now, I notice that there are other emergency vehicles in
- 5 | the -- visible over the course of the video. Did your vehicle
- 6 have anything to do with them?
- 7 | A. No.
- 8 | Q. What actually happened that night?
- 9 A. Out of coincidence, there was an NYPD van in front of us
- 10 | with lights and sirens on going a similar route for a portion
- 11 \parallel of the way.
- 12 | Q. And so what did -- where, generally, was this, by the way?
- 13 A. Pardon?
- 14 | Q. Where, generally, was this?
- 15 A. This was in Manhattan.
- 16 Q. When you came across the emergency vehicles who happened to
- 17 | be going the same way, what did you and Nussy do?
- 18 A. We began to follow them.
- 19 | Q. Why?
- 20 | A. It would be easier with traffic to have another car with
- 21 us.
- 22 | Q. Now, you mentioned the phrase "police escort" in connection
- 23 | with that ride as it was happening in the video. Were there
- 24 occasions where you arranged actual police escorts involving
- 25 actual police vehicles; that is to say, police personnel and

- police vehicles getting you, Jeremy, or persons you two know from point A to point B?
 - A. Yes.

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. Generally, how were those arrangements made, and what did they involve?
 - A. There are a couple of examples that come to mind. There was one time where my friend, Ari, his wife was on the way to go to the hospital, they were expecting a baby. I had called Jimmy Grant and with Jeremy, and they had arranged a private police escort for Ari to the hospital.

There was another time where a friend named Lin Snider, whose husband, Ed Snider, the late Ed Snider, who was a friend of mine from Philadelphia, was in town, and I had called Jeremy to make arrangements to give her a private escort, I think, to the airport. There were times where I wanted to go to the airport, I was tight on a flight after sabbath, and I had spoken to Jeremy, and he made arrangements with Jimmy and other people to take me in a police car with lights and sirens.

- Q. Were there times when Mr. Grant personally escorted you places in his vehicle?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. About how many times?
- 23 A. Less than a handful.
- 24 | Q. What do you recall of those circumstances?
- 25 A. That he would be waiting at my home after sabbath, and take

- 1 | me to the airport, and use lights and sirens.
- 2 Q. Were there times in which Mr. Grant arranged for other
- 3 people to give you the same treatment?
- 4 A. Yes.

9

- 5 | Q. And what do you recall of those?
- A. He was unable to make it. He had a prior engagement. He'd send -- there was a guy he'd sent from his office -- from his
- 8 precinct to drive me.
 - MR. MERINGOLO: I am going to object without the name or the time frame, Judge.
- 11 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 12 Overruled.
- 13 You can proceed.
- 14 BY MR. BELL:
- Q. How about Michael Harrington, did Michael Harrington have a role in arranging escorts for you?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 Q. What role did Mr. Harrington have?
- 19 A. For example, for the Lin Snider escort, I remember Jeremy
- 20 calling Michael Harrington and going through him.
- Q. So let's step back from the specific matter of these escorts or the lights and sirens packages just for a moment.
- 23 The favors that you've described yesterday and last
 24 week, are those things you would have expected to receive had
 25 you not been supplying these officers with gifts during that

IBQKGRA2

- 1 same period of time?
- 2 | A. No.
- 3 | Q. Would you have supplied those officers with those financial
- 4 benefits had you not expected action on their part in return?
- 5 | A. No.
- 6 Q. Was that the case for Mr. Harrington?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 | Q. Mr. Banks?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 | Q. Mr. Grant?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. And the other officers that we've mentioned so far?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. Now, for about how long did you have this relationship with
- 15 | Reichberg and certain members of the NYPD, from roughly when to
- 16 | roughly when?
- 17 A. From the time I met Reichberg, I think it was around 2008,
- 18 until Banks resigned and the IAB got involved at some point in
- 19 2015.
- 20 Q. Did you have a written understanding with any of the
- 21 officers that you would give them financial benefits in
- 22 exchange for their action as police?
- 23 | A. No.
- 24 | Q. Was there ever a direct spoken understanding between
- 25 yourself and any of the officers that you would give them

- 1 | financial benefits in exchange for actions as police?
- 2 | A. No.
- Q. Did you, nevertheless, believe that you had such an
- 4 understanding with those officers?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- 6 | Q. What led you to believe that you had that understanding?
- 7 A. First of all, my communications with Jeremy all the time
- 8 were we're going to do this, this -- I'll give you an example.
- 9 | Jimmy Grant wanted something --
- MR. MERINGOLO: Objection.
- 11 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 12 You can answer the question.
- 13 | THE WITNESS: For example, Jimmy Grant had wanted a
- 14 | hotel in Rome. I was in the car with Jeremy once when he had a
- 15 | phone call with Jeremy, he didn't know I was sitting there, and
- 16 | he said: Come on, I always take care of you guys, you owe it
- 17 | to me. You got to get Jona to pay for the hotel.
- And Jeremy, afterwards, said to me: He's expecting
- 19 | it. You got to take care of it. He's been good to us. We owe
- 20 | it to him. So these types of conversations led me to believe
- 21 | that.
- 22 In addition, I saw results as to my giving gifts and
- 23 getting favors in return.
- 24 | Q. And what did those results tell you about the nature of the
- 25 understanding that you and Jeremy had with these officers?

Rechnitz - Direct

- 1 That we had this understanding where I would give gifts and we would get things in return. 2
 - Did your confidence in that understanding change over time?
 - Can you rephrase the question? I'm not sure I understand Α. it.
 - Sure. Ο.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

23

24

25

Did your -- well, withdrawn.

You testified a moment ago that this was something that appeared to be working. Was there ever a point where you doubted the understanding as you continued to give things out and as you continued to get favors?

- Α. No.
 - With respect to Grant and Harrington in particular, were 0. the way in which they approached the items that they were receiving -- withdrawn.

With respect to Grant and Harrington in particular, were the ways in which they dealt with you and Jeremy, as far as getting things was concerned, different?

- 19 Α. Yes.
- 20 0. How so?
 - Mike Harrington was very shy to accept a gift even when it was something we knew that he had wanted, something done to his home or a hotel stay in Chicago. He -- when I would bring it up to him, he would be like, no, it's okay, you don't need to do it, don't worry about it, whereas Jimmy would say thank you

- 1 | very much.
- 2 | Q. With respect to Mr. Harrington and Mr. Grant, to your
- 3 understanding, which of them was more likely to actually ask
- 4 | for things of value from you?
- 5 A. Jimmy. There were things that Jeremy told me he
- 6 specifically had asked for.
- 7 | Q. Can you give me some examples of those?
- 8 A. Yes. To pay for some railing in his house, to pay for some
- 9 | window work in his house, to pay for a hotel stay in Rome, to
- 10 come to Las Vegas for the Super Bowl, these were things that he
- 11 had requested.
- 12 MR. BELL: Mr. Hamilton, can you put up on the
- 13 | witness' screen what has been marked as Government Exhibit
- 14 | 1202.
- 15 | Q. And, Mr. Rechnitz, I want you to look over this and just
- 16 | look up when you're done.
- 17 Are you familiar with this email, sir?
- 18 A. Yes, I am.
- 19 | Q. How are you familiar with it?
- 20 | A. It's an email that I had sent to Jimmy Grant and that he
- 21 responded to me.
- 22 MR. BELL: Your Honor, the government offers
- 23 Government Exhibit 1202.
- MS. NECHELES: No objection.
- MR. MERINGOLO: No objection.

IBQKGRA2 Rechnitz - Direct

- 1 THE COURT: Thank you.
- I'm accepting 1202 into evidence. 2
- 3 You can proceed.
- (Government's Exhibit 1202 received in evidence) 4
- 5 MR. BELL: Mr. Hamilton, can you please publish that
- 6 to the jury.
- 7 BY MR. BELL:
- Q. This is an exchange between James Grant at his msn.com 8 9 address and yourself.
- 10 MR. BELL: And, Mr. Hamilton, if you could highlight 11 the date as well, December the 7th, 2014.
- Q. Now, let's start from the bottom. Mr. Rechnitz, you write: 12
- 13 "Inspector, meet my cousin, Daniel Bye. Lives in the 19th
- 14 Precinct. Anything he needs, please. Thanks a million. Enjoy
- 15 your vacation."
- 16 So a couple of things. On that earlier email, was
- 17 there another recipient?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Who was that? Q.
- 20 Daniel. Α.
- 21 Q. And for what purpose had you connected Mr. Grant and your
- 22 cousin Daniel?
- 23 So that they know each other, that he lives in his
- 24 community, if there's ever an issue, he can reach out directly.
- 25 You make reference here to the 19th PCT. Is that, in fact,

- 1 precinct?
- 2 Yes. Α.
- 3 Q. Mr. Grant then responds only to you, and Grant says:
- 4 "Anything for you, and I wish I was on vacation. Speaking of
- 5 vacation...we are overdue."
- 6 Mr. Rechnitz, what did you understand "speaking of 7 vacation...we are overdue" to mean?
- A. That Jimmy had wanted me and Jeremy to take him somewhere 8 9 on a trip.
- Q. Now, at that point in time, by late 2014, December of 2014, 10 11 had you previously taken Grant on vacations?
- 12 Α. To the Super Bowl.
- 13 Q. Had you previously taken other officers on vacation that 14 you were aware that Mr. Grant knew about?
- A. Yes. 15

19

- Q. What sorts of trips had you arranged that you were aware 16 17 that Mr. Grant knew about?
- 18 A. I had several trips to the Dominican Republic that he had known about.
- 20 Q. By the way, Mr. Rechnitz, I'll note one of the few parts of
- 21 this that isn't highlighted is the "Sent from my iPhone"
- 22 immediately under "we are overdue." This may be, perhaps --
- 23 MS. NECHELES: Your Honor, could I have questions?
- 24 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 25 MS. NECHELES: Not speeches.

GRA2 Rechnitz - Direct

- 1 THE COURT: Counsel, you can proceed.
- 2 MR. BELL: Really?
- 3 | THE COURT: Thank you.
- 4 What's your question?
- 5 BY MR. BELL:
 - Q. Do you see the "Sent from my iPhone" portion?
- 7 | A. I do.

6

- 8 | Q. What did you understand that to mean?
- 9 A. That it was an email sent from Jimmy's iPhone and not from 10 his computer.
- 11 Q. I want to direct your attention to the "Sent from my
- 12 | iPhone" beneath your signature. What did you understand that
- 13 to mean?
- 14 A. When I send an email from my iPhone, it automatically says
- 15 | that, as opposed to sending it from my office computer.
- 16 Q. Were those the two places from which you sent emails from
- 17 | this account at the time; that is to say, your office computer
- and your phone?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 | Q. Where was your office computer located?
- 21 A. In my actual office.
- 22 | Q. And so in instances in which your emails do not have sent
- 23 | from my iPhone on them, what is your understanding of what that
- 24 means with respect to where they were sent from?
- 25 A. That they were sent from my office, from my desktop.

- 1 MR. BELL: One moment, please?
- 2 (Pause)
- 3 We may have asked you this, but where was your office
- located at the time? 4
- 5 At 580 Fifth Avenue.
- Was that true continuously from 2008 to 2015? 6 0.
- 7 Α. No.
- Where else did you have an office for some portion of that? 8 Q.
- 9 Initially, I had an office at 725 Fifth Avenue, I then was Α.
- 10 at 580 Fifth Avenue, and then I was on 57th Street.
- 11 Were all of these locations in Manhattan?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 MR. BELL: You can take that down, Mr. Hamilton.
- 14 Thank you.
- 15 Can we put up Government Exhibit 1207 for the witness,
- 16 please.
- 17 Q. I'll ask you to give this one a look over, sir.
- 18 (Pause)
- 19 Α. Okay.
- 20 MR. BELL: Is there a second page, Mr. Hamilton? Can
- 21 we put that up for Mr. Rechnitz? Okay, good. Let's go back to
- 22 the first page.
- 23 Are you familiar with this email?
- 24 Α. Yes, I am.
- 25 How are you familiar with it?

- 1 It's from Jimmy Grant to me. 2 MR. BELL: Your Honor, the government offers Government Exhibit 1207. 3 4 MS. NECHELES: No objection. 5 MR. MERINGOLO: No objection. 6 THE COURT: Thank you. 7 I'm accepting 1207 into evidence. 8 You can proceed. 9 (Government's Exhibit 1207 received in evidence) 10 MR. BELL: Let's work our way from the bottom up, so 11 can we focus on the bottom half, Mr. Hamilton. Perfect. 12 BY MR. BELL: So you email Mr. Grant, and you say: "Love ya. I hope all 13 14 is well." 15 Mr. Grant replies: "All is well. I miss you. I was out to eat and ran into a few guys that live in your building." 16 17 This was around March 27th of 2015. I don't need your 18 actual address, Mr. Rechnitz, but in what neighborhood did you live at the time? 19 20 Upper West Side of Manhattan. 21
 - Was it a pretty tony part of the Upper West Side? Q.
- 22 Α. Yes.
- 23 Mr. Rechnitz, you then respond: "Miss you too. Will catch 24 up soon."
- 25 MR. BELL: Can we take that down.

- 1 Let's look at the top half, please.
- BY MR. BELL: 2
- 3 Q. Mr. Grant then responds: "Jona, who's that billionaire
- 4 that you got to donate money to your organization? He sold a
- 5 pharmaceutical company."
- 6 And you respond: "Stewart Rahr."
- 7 Is that the same Stewart Rahr that you mentioned a few
- 8 minutes ago?
- 9 Α. Yes.
- 10 Q. And then Mr. Grant says: "Thanks."
- 11 Do you recall Mr. Grant ever mentioning Mr. Rahr to
- 12 you again?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 Q. Did you have an understanding of why Mr. Grant would ask
- who Mr. Rahr was? 15
- Α. 16 No.
- 17 MR. BELL: Let's take that down.
- 18 Q. By the way, you mentioned Mr. Harrington was relatively
- reluctant to accept gifts from you and Mr. Reichberg. Did he 19
- 20 accept them anyway?
- 21 Α. Yes.
- 22 Now, are you familiar with something called PBA cards?
- 23 Yes, I am. Α.
- 24 And what are PBA cards? Ο.
- 25 PBA cards are plastic cards, the size of a business card, Α.

- 1 issued by the Police Benevolent Association, which basically if
- 2 | you get these cards, which are usually handed out from
- 3 | high-level officers, they're supposed to serve as a
- 4 get-out-of-a-ticket-free card if you get pulled over.
- 5 Q. During the time that you came to know Mr. Grant, did you
- 6 receive PBA cards from him?
- 7 A. Yes, I did.
- 8 | Q. Did you further receive items that would help you customize
- 9 | those cards?
- 10 | A. Yes, I did.
- 11 | Q. What sorts of things did you receive from Mr. Grant to that
- 12 | end?
- 13 A. He gave me a sheet of printed labels that were preprinted
- 14 | to put on the cards by Jimmy Grant.
- 15 | Q. Did you make use of those cards?
- 16 | A. Yes, I did.
- 17 | Q. Did you also get cards that were customized with your name?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And how did you get those?
- 20 | A. Initially, Jeremy had always asked me for lists of people I
- 21 wanted to give cards to, and he would bring me printed cards.
- 22 | And the last year that I was involved with police officers, I
- 23 | had asked Mike Harrington directly and Jimmy Grant directly for
- 24 | the names to be printed.
- MR. BELL: So, at this point, Mr. Hamilton, can we put

- up for the witness Government Exhibit 1229. 1
- BY MR. BELL: 2
- 3 I want to focus your attention on the bottom email.
- you familiar with that email? 4
- 5 Α. Yes.

- How are you familiar with that email? 6 0.
- 7 It's an email that I sent to Jimmy Grant. Α.
 - MR. BELL: Your Honor, the government offers
- 9 Government Exhibit 1229.
- 10 MS. NECHELES: No objection.
- 11 MR. MERINGOLO: No objection.
- THE COURT: Thank you. 12
- I'm accepting Exhibit 1229 into evidence. 13
- 14 You can proceed.
- (Government's Exhibit 1229 received in evidence) 15
- 16 MR. BELL: Can we publish that to the jury, please.
- 17 So, beginning with the bottom email --
- 18 MR. BELL: You don't have to zoom in, Mr. Hamilton.
- 19 -- this is an email from you to Mr. Grant dated
- 20 December 3rd, 2013. The subject is "Cards - THS," thanks, with
- 21 three exclamation points and a bunch of names.
- 22 What was the purpose of this email, Mr. Rechnitz?
- 23 To submit a list of names to Jimmy Grant for him to give me
- 24 printed-out personalized PBA cards.
- 25 MR. BELL: I'll ask you, Mr. Hamilton, to underline --

IBQKGRA2

- 1 or, rather, highlight a number of names here. Can you first
- 2 | highlight the three Schwebels.
- 3 BY MR. BELL:
- 4 | Q. Who were the Schwebels, Mr. Rechnitz?
- 5 A. They're very close friends.
- 6 MR. BELL: Can you highlight Judah Wassner.
- 7 | Q. Who was Mr. Wassner?
- 8 A. A close friend.
- 9 Q. Rachel Rechnitz?
- 10 \parallel A. My wife.
- 11 Q. Harry Skydell and Rachel Skydell, who are they?
- 12 A. Friends.
- 13 Q. Paul Raps?
- 14 A. Paul is who we discussed before. I used to share an office
- 15 space with him.
- 16 | Q. Guy Tanne?
- 17 A. That was a CFO for Paul Raps.
- 18 | Q. Yaron Turgeman?
- 19 A. The owner of Taly Diamonds.
- 20 | O. Ilana Freider?
- 21 | A. Paul Raps' personal assistant.
- 22 Q. And Murray Huberfeld?
- 23 A. Murray was a friend at the time who lived in the community.
- 24 | Q. And why did you -- you mentioned that you sought to get
- 25 | these lists from Grant and Harrington in particular -- or

Rechnitz - Direct

rather give these lists to Grant and Harrington in particular. 1 2 Why those two? 3 A. These were the guys that Jeremy told me to get these cards 4 done, personalized for us. 5 Q. Where did Grant and Harrington rank in your circle of cop friends around December of 2013? 6 7 A. Close contacts. MR. BELL: Let's take that down. 8 9 Q. Now, Mr. Rechnitz, while you and Mr. Reichberg had this 10 relationship with these NYPD officers, were you friendly with 11 the officers that you were providing favors to? 12 Α. Yes. 13 What do you understand that term to mean, friendly? 0. 14 I liked them. We enjoyed each other's company. Α. Would you have considered any of them to be friends at the 15 Q. time? 16 17 A. Yes. 18 (Continued on next page) 19 20 21 22 23 24

- 1 BY MR. BELL:
- 2 Q. Which of those officers would you have considered to be
- 3 your friends?
- 4 A. Jimmy Grant, Phil Banks, Mike Harrington.
- 5 | Q. You mentioned that you enjoyed their company, did they
- 6 appear to enjoy yours?
- 7 | A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Now would you have given them the same benefits and favors
- 9 | if you didn't expect police action in return?
- 10 A. Pardon?
- 11 | Q. Would you have given these officers the same benefits and
- 12 | favors that you described to us at this point if you did not
- 13 expect police action from them in return?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 | Q. Why not?
- 16 A. Because I wouldn't have spent all that money and time. It
- 17 | was I had been giving something, I'm going to give something in
- 18 return.
- 19 | Q. At this point in your life, Mr. Rechnitz, did you have
- 20 other friends who weren't high-level cops?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. Were some of those friends closer to you than these
- 23 | high-level cops?
- 24 | A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Did you lavish them with the same gifts?

- 1 A. No, I did not.
- 2 | Q. Why not, even though they were closer to you?
- 3 A. Because I viewed business and friendship differently. Like
- 4 | I said, I was bestowing these types of gifts with the
- 5 expectation of things in return.
- 6 Q. Now you mentioned at one point meals. I want to focus your
- 7 | attention on Michael Harrington and Philip Banks. What kinds
- 8 of meals -- withdrawn.
- 9 What sort of pattern of providing meals did you engage
- 10 with with Harrington and Banks between 2013 and 2014?
- 11 A. Me, Jeremy, Mike, and Phil went out on a weekly basis to a
- 12 | high end kosher steakhouse.
- 13 | Q. Who paid, generally?
- 14 | A. I did.
- 15 | Q. Were there occasions when you didn't pay?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. Who paid then?
- 18 A. Jeremy.
- 19 | Q. To your knowledge, did Chief Banks ever pay for these
- 20 | meals?
- 21 | A. No.
- 22 | Q. Did Michael Harrington?
- 23 | A. No.
- 24 | Q. To your knowledge, did Banks ever offer to pay?
- 25 A. No.

- 1 | Q. Did Harrington ever offer to pay?
- 2 | A. No.
- 3 | Q. Were there times where you took Jimmy Grant out for meals
- 4 as well?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Were they nice?
- 7 | A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Did Jeremy Grant ever offer to pay for those meals?
- 9 | A. No.
- 10 | Q. Did he ever in fact pay?
- 11 | A. No.
- 12 | Q. You mentioned having arranged and purchased travel for Phil
- 13 Banks. Did Phil Banks ever return the favor?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 | Q. You mentioned having arranged or purchased travel for
- 16 | Grant, like the Vegas trip and the Rome hotel arrangements, did
- 17 || Grant ever do the same for you?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 | Q. Did he ever offer?
- 20 | A. No.
- 21 Q. You mentioned monetary purchases, gifts that you gave to
- 22 | Harrington and Grant, jewelry, the home improvements, the toys
- 23 and such, did they ever buy you expensive gifts?
- 24 | A. No.
- 25 Q. Did they ever buy gifts for members of your family?

- 1 A. No.
- 2 | Q. Did they ever inquire about the possibility of buying gifts
- 3 for members of your family?
- 4 | A. No.
- 5 Q. With respect to Phil Banks, I want to focus in on Banks
- 6 here, did there come a time when Banks was involved in
- 7 purchasing a gift for you?
- 8 | A. Yes.
- 9 Q. When was that?
- 10 A. After the trip to Israel.
- 11 | Q. What gift did he provide?
- 12 | A. A backgammon set.
- 13 | Q. To your knowledge, did he do that alone or with somebody?
- 14 A. With somebody.
- 15 \parallel Q. What was your understanding of why you received that gift?
- 16 A. As a thank you for the trip.
- 17 | Q. To your understanding, which cost more, that gift or the
- 18 | trip that you provided Chief Banks with?
- 19 \parallel A. The trip.
- 20 Q. Other than that gift, that backgammon set, did Banks ever
- 21 buy you expensive gifts?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 | Q. Why were you willing to provide Banks, Harrington and Grant
- 24 | with all these expensive gifts?
- 25 A. Because I wanted to get things in return, which I had been.

7

8

9

14

25

- Q. You mentioned there were occasions when Reichberg paid for meals. Under what circumstance would Reichberg pay for meals?
 - A. If I wasn't around or if I left the meal early.
- Q. About how often would you say that happened over the time period we talked about?
- 6 A. Not often.
 - Q. Ballpark estimate, Mr. Rechnitz, about how much would you say you spent on Jimmy Grant specifically between 2008 and 2016?
- 10 MR. MERINGOLO: Objection to ballpark.
- 11 THE COURT: Thank you. You can proceed.
- Q. Ballpark estimate, Mr. Rechnitz, about how much would you say you spent on Jimmy Grant over that period of years?
 - A. Tens of thousands of dollars.
- Q. Did you and Mr. Reichberg have a written agreement between and among just yourselves concerning what it was that you were
- 17 doing with these officers?
- 18 A. No.
- Q. Did you nevertheless understand that you and Mr. Reichberg
 had the same objective with respect to being able to call the
- 21 officers for official action as the need came up?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. How did you know that you and Mr. Reichberg had that shared understanding?
 - A. We discussed it many times.

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

13

14

15

- Q. Mr. Rechnitz, with respect to the scheme that you've described -- withdrawn.
 - At some point in your testimony last week before we broke you mentioned the term "team player." What did the term "team player" mean in the context of your discussions with Mr. Reichberg over this period of time?
- 7 A. Somebody who we can bribe, somebody who was willing to play 8 ball and give us things in return.
 - Q. When you and Jeremy spoke to each other, who in particular do you recall referring to as team players?
- 11 A. Jimmy Grant was a team player, Michael Harrington was a 12 team player.
 - Q. Were there occasions where you and Jeremy described officers as being not team players?
 - A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Can you give me an example of officers who you and
- 17 Mr. Reichberg discussed as not being team players?
- 18 A. Yes, there was a chief named Jimmy Secreto, James Secreto,
- 19 who Jeremy would call up and say hey, we're in the
- 20 neighborhood, could we come by, do lunch, or invite him to
- 21 places, and every time he would get out of it and say no.
- 22 Q. And what happened with your relationship with Mr. Secreto
- 23 as a result of his not being a team player?
- 24 A. If we would bump into him at an event, it was cordial, that
- 25 was about it.

- Q. During this period of years, did you and Mr. Reichberg invite police officers to certain family events?
- 3 A. Yes.
- Q. And so let's start with you. What sorts of family events did you invite these officers to?
- A. When my son was born, my son Benjamin, we had a bris, a circumcision ceremony, and we invited a lot of our police relationships as well as politicians.
- 9 Q. What was the purpose of inviting these police and politicians to your son's bris?
- 11 A. First of all, it was -- I remember Jeremy saying it's

 12 respectful if they were there. I thought it was also good for

 13 me and Jeremy to show the clout and power that we have in the

 14 city.
- Q. When the officers came to your son's bris, how did they come dressed?
- 17 A. Many came in uniform.
- Q. And what, if anything, went into getting the officers to come in uniform?
- A. I remember Jeremy being insistent that Phil Banks would come in uniform, he thought that would be a sign of respect, and it would be a good look for us.
- Q. Were there family events of Mr. Reichberg's that you remember these officers coming to?
- 25 A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Can you give me an example of such a family event?
- A. His daughter's wedding, as well as a party he had for the purim holiday.
 - Q. With respect to the purim party, who would get invited to the purim party?
 - A. Sometimes a politician as well as officers that we were close to or officers that Jeremy had been dealing with at a higher level.
 - Q. And from speaking to Mr. Reichberg, what was the purpose of inviting these officers and politicians to purim parties?
 - A. To have them in his home, and again, be able to have everybody see each other there.
 - MR. BELL: Your Honor, just so I can plan here, is there a particular point at which your Honor would want to take our break?
 - THE COURT: Thank you, yes, I would like to stop around 11:30.
- 18 MR. BELL: Excellent. Thank you, your Honor.
 - Q. Let's pause for a moment. Mr. Rechnitz, you mentioned during your testimony last week that in addition to the bribes to police officers you were involved in other conduct that made you guilty of honest services fraud conspiracy. I want to turn to that now.
 - You mentioned committing a crime involving Norman Seabrook. What was that crime?

5

8

16

17

- A. I helped to facilitate a bribe between a hedge fund manager and Norman Seabrook.
 - Q. And who was the hedge fund manager?
- 4 A. Murray Huberfeld.
 - Q. Just to be clear, who was Norman Seabrook at that time?
- A. He was the president of the Correction Officers Benevolent
 Association.
 - Q. How did you come to know Mr. Seabrook?
- 9 A. I had met him through -- with Jeremy through Phil Banks.
- 10 | Q. And who was Huberfeld to you?
- 11 A. He was a man from the community who I was friends with.
- 12 | Q. How long had you known Mr. Huberfeld?
- A. I really had only known him a few years, but I had known him since I was a child. Our families knew each other.
- 15 | Q. What was your understanding of -- well, withdrawn.
 - So what was the nature of the bribe arrangement that you alluded to? What was it that you actually set up?
- 18 A. I set up the two parties together, Murray and Norman.
- 19 Norman had invested tens of millions of dollars of the union's
- 20 money into the hedge fund, and in return, Murray had paid him a
- 21 | kickback of \$60,000, which I helped pass messages along to each
- 22 | other, and I actually went physically on Murray's behalf and
- 23 | handed Norman the money.
- 24 | Q. Why did you involve yourself and help to facilitate this
- 25 | bribe arrangement, Mr. Rechnitz?

- A. To curry favor in Murray's eyes and in Norman's eyes to help them out and be a big shot.
- Q. Let's break that down. Why did you want to curry favor in
- 4 Norman Seabrook's eyes?
- 5 A. He was the head of one of the most powerful unions in the
- 6 city. Jeremy and I saw a lot of benefit in getting close to
- 7 | him because he was so close to Philip Banks. We thought he was
- 8 | a powerful man, and eventually this sort of relationship could
- 9 be another thing on our checklist.
- 10 | Q. And why did you want to curry favor with Murray Huberfeld?
- 11 A. He was an influential man in our community. He was a big
- 12 | shot in the community. I had other business with him. He was
- 13 | a wealthy man. And I also thought that would be a good idea.
- 14 | Q. Were you paid for your part in that bribe arrangement?
- 15 A. No, I was not.
- 16 Q. Did money change hands on your behalf as part of that bribe
- 17 | arrangement?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 | Q. So what is it that actually happened?
- 20 | A. As a result of the introduction in the business, Murray had
- 21 cut checks to charities that I directed him to for the
- 22 | charity's benefit.
- 23 | Q. And did money change hands on anyone else's behalf that
- 24 you're aware of as a result of this arrangement?
- 25 A. Yes.

RA3 Rechnitz - Direct

- 1 \mathbb{Q} . Whose?
- 2 A. Jeremy Reichberg.
- 3 | Q. How did that come to be?
- 4 A. Like I said, Jeremy and I were partners in all the
- 5 relationships that I introduced him to with police. One of the
- 6 police was Norman Seabrook. So Jeremy was to get a fee as well
- 7 | from Murray.
- 8 Q. Now did Mr. Reichberg have a relationship with
- 9 Mr. Huberfeld?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. Did Mr. Reichberg help you maintain the relationship with
- 12 Mr. Seabrook?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. In what ways did Mr. Reichberg help you maintain your
- 15 | relationship with Mr. Seabrook?
- 16 A. He was involved with Mr. Seabrook just like I was, with the
- 17 | exception that this particular investment we were traveling
- 18 | together, having meals together. They were speaking. I was
- 19 | speaking to Norman, Jeremy was talking to Norman. We would
- 20 | hang out frequently.
- 21 MR. BELL: I want to put up on the screen, just on the
- 22 | witness's screen, what's been marked for identification as
- 23 Government Exhibit 1047.
- 24 | Q. And Mr. Rechnitz, are you familiar with this email?
- 25 A. Yes, I am.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

18

Rechnitz - Direct

- How are you familiar with this email? 1
 - I am on the email and I sent it to Jeremy. Α.

3 MR. BELL: Your Honor, the government offers 4 Government Exhibit 1047.

MS. NECHELES: No objection.

MR. MERINGOLO: No objection.

THE COURT: Thank you. I'm accepting 1047. Received.

(Government's Exhibit 1047 received in evidence)

MR. BELL: Thank you. Could you publish that for the witness, please -- rather to the jury.

Thank you.

- BY MR. BELL:
- 13 Q. So at the very top you forward this email to Jeremy 14 Reichberg's gmail account on January 17, 2014.

15 And we'll come back to the top part in a little bit, but generally speaking, are you familiar with why you forwarded 16 this email to Mr. Reichberg? 17

- A. Yes.
- 19 Why is that? Q.
- 20 Like I said, Jeremy was a partner in this and he was to be 21 getting a fee out of this, and I wanted to show him that Norman
- 22 had just committed to investing 7 to \$10 million with the hedge
- fund. 23
- 24 Q. So why don't we go down to the very bottom of the page,
- 25 there's an email from Andrew Kaplan. Do you have an

- 1 understanding of who Andrew Kaplan was?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- $3 \parallel Q$. Who was he?
- 4 A. He worked for Platinum Partners and he was the person
- 5 | involved in the investment.
- 6 | Q. And what was Platinum Partners?
- 7 A. It was a hedge fund.
- 8 Q. Was that the same hedge fund that you mentioned as being
- 9 | affiliated with Mr. Huberfeld?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. So that email says: Just got off the phone with Tommy.
- 12 | Issuing docs for Correction Officers Benevolent Association
- 13 pension for PPVA. Indication of 7 to 10 million to start.
- 14 | Trying for Feb 1 but may be March 1. Depends on how quickly
- 15 | their lawyers turn the docs. Shabbat Shalom.
- 16 What did you understand all of that to mean,
- 17 Mr. Rechnitz?
- 18 A. That Norman had given the green line to invest 7 to \$10
- 19 | million to the hedge fund.
- 20 | Q. There's a further forwarding to someone at Platinum LP
- 21 | named Uri Landesman that says mazeltov.
- 22 | If we go further up, Murray Huberfeld then forwards
- 23 | that email to you.
- 24 And then you, if we can go further up, forward it to
- 25 | Jeremy Reichberg, and there is a word there, Rojeeee,

- 1 R-O-J-E-E-E with three exclamation points. What does that 2 mean, Mr. Rechnitz?
- 3 A. It was a term of excitement, like yipee.
- 4 | Q. Why did you want to communicate excitement to Jeremy
- 5 Reichberg regarding COBA having decided to investment in
- 6 | Platinum Partners?
- 7 A. Because he and I were to be making money on this.
- 8 MR. BELL: We can take that down, Mr. Hamilton. Thank 9 you.
- Q. Now Mr. Rechnitz, to what degree did attempts to curry
 favor with Mr. Seabrook, Mr. Huberfeld, and these cops relate
- 12 | to a broader plan?
- 13 A. They did relate to a broader plan. The broader plan was to
- 14 get in with the cops, get in with the politicians. And as
- 15 | Jeremy said many times: In the days of Giuliani, people made
- 16 | millions of dollars. My plan was to financially benefit from
- 17 | all of this.
- 18 | Q. Did there come a point when you started getting involved
- 19 | with politicians?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Approximately when?
- 22 | A. During the democratic primary for mayor, I think it was
- 23 2013.
- 24 | Q. And directing your attention to that time, whom did you and
- 25 Mr. Reichberg try to get close to?

- 1 A. Bill Thompson.
- 2 Q. Who was Mr. Thompson?
- 3 A. He was a candidate for mayor of the City of New York.
- 4 Q. Did you come to meet Mr. Thompson?
- 5 \parallel A. Yes, we did.
- 6 | Q. How did you come to meet Mr. Thompson?
- 7 A. A fellow by the name of Fernando Mateo had introduced us to
- 8 him.
- 9 0. Who was Mr. Mateo?
- 10 A. Fernando was the owner of a cafe called La Marina, as well
- 11 | as the former or current president of the taxi -- Hispanic taxi
- 12 | limousine commission.
- 13 | Q. And how was it that you came to get in touch with
- 14 Mr. Thompson?
- 15 A. Fernando put us in touch and made an introductory meeting
- 16 | in my office.
- 17 | Q. Did you assist Mr. Thompson's campaign?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 \parallel Q. In what ways?
- 20 | A. We bundled a large amount of money, somewhere between 50 to
- 21 | \$100,000, in addition to me personally and my wife contributing
- 22 | the maximum amount allowed.
- 23 | Q. What does "bundling" mean in the context of alleged
- 24 | fundraising?
- 25 A. When you go to friends and family or associates and ask

- them to donate to the candidate, and you get the credit for it,
 because you delivered the checks to candidate.
- Q. And what was the point of your and Jeremy's getting involved with Bill Thompson?
- A. Again, if he would have been mayor of New York we would have had an in with the mayor, and that would have been more access and power for us.
 - Q. How did that Thompson campaign work out?
- 9 A. Not great.

8

22

23

24

25

- 10 Q. Did Mr. Thompson -- what happened to Mr. Thompson in the primary?
- 12 A. He lost to Bill de Blasio.
- Q. What, if anything, did you and Mr. Reichberg do after Thompson's loss to Bill de Blasio?
- 15 A. We got in with Bill de Blasio.
- 16 | Q. How did you get in with Bill de Blasio?
- A. Fernando came in and told us that he had an in to de Blasio through his chief fundraiser, named Ross Offinger, and that he could set up a meeting for us, and that even though we had not been backing him from the beginning, we would be treated as if we were.
 - MR. BELL: Before we get to Bill de Blasio, I ask,
 Mr. Hamilton, for you to put up for the witness, and maybe side
 by side, Government Exhibits 618 and 617.
 - So is it possible to zoom in on each of those?

- 1 Thank you.
- 2 Q. Mr. Rechnitz, are you familiar with these images?
- $3 \parallel A. \text{ Yes, I am.}$

4

8

- Q. How are you familiar with these?
- 5 A. These are photos taken at Jeremy Reichberg's home at purim.
- 6 MR. BELL: Your Honor, the government offers 617 and 618 into evidence.
 - MS. NECHELES: No objection.
- 9 MR. MERINGOLO: No objection.
- THE COURT: Thank you. I'm receiving 617 and 618 into evidence.
- 12 (Government's Exhibits 617 and 618 received in evidence)
- 14 THE COURT: Proceed.
- 15 MR. BELL: Could we publish them both.
- 16 Q. So where is this, Mr. Rechnitz?
- 17 A. This is in Jeremy Reichberg's residence.
- 18 | Q. And so why don't we start with the photograph on the left,
- 19 | there's a line of people there. Are you familiar with that
- 20 | line of people?
- 21 A. Yes, I am.
- 22 | Q. Can you tell us who we're looking at from left to right?
- 23 A. Sure, Jeremy Reichberg, Bill Thompson, Andrew Capula,
- 24 | that's a picture of me on purim dressed up, Michael Harrington
- 25 and Eric Rodriguez.

- Q. And the picture on the right, do you see some of those same individuals at the same party?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 MR. BELL: Let's take that down.
- Q. Now did you come to meet with Mr. Offinger at Mr. Mateo's
- 6 behest?
- 7 | A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And were you able to develop a relationship with
- 9 Mr. Offinger?
- 10 | A. Yes, I was.
- 11 | Q. After that meeting, did you go on to raise money for Mr. de
- 12 || Blasio?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. Did you do so alone or with people?
- 15 A. With Jeremy.
- Q. And what did you and Mr. Reichberg do for Mr. de Blasio's
- 17 | campaign over the remainder of the campaign?
- 18 A. In addition to donating the maximum allowed, my wife and I,
- 19 | we collected and bundled for him -- I think it was \$100,000.
- 20 MR. BELL: And actually, your Honor, this might be a
- 21 | logical place to take that break, unless you want to extend.
- 22 | THE COURT: That's fine. So ladies and gentlemen,
- 23 | we'll take our lunch recess now. Please during this break, as
- 24 | always, please don't discuss the case amongst yourselves, don't
- 25 communicate with anyone else, and don't do any research about

1	the case or anything involved in it. I look forward to seeing
2	you back here shortly. Thank you.
3	(Jury not present)
4	THE COURT: Could I see you briefly at the sidebar.
5	(At sidebar)
6	THE COURT: So last week we talked about whether or
7	not there's a protocol that we could or should use with respect
8	to Mr. Rechnitz. There is a room that I identified for
9	Mr. Daniels that Mr. Rechnitz can use. It's not the robing
10	room, but I would be happy to allow Mr. Rechnitz to use that
11	space if that's still the government's preference.
12	MR. BELL: It is, and we ask for Mr. Rechnitz to be
13	allowed to eat there, for the FBI to be able to bring him some
14	food. And I think his counsel is here as well. I think he
15	would like to eat with him.
16	THE COURT: That's fine from my perspective.
17	Any other concerns?
18	MR. MERINGOLO: We of no objection until
19	cross-examination about that.
20	THE COURT: Fine. Thank you. So I will let
21	Mr. Rechnitz go to that space. Mr. Daniels will show it to
22	him. It's not, using the words of the trial, posh.
23	MR. BELL: Or Tony.
24	THE COURT: Or Tony. So I will thank you all, and I
25	will allow Mr. Rechnitz to use that space.

1 MR. BELL: Thank you, Judge. 2 (In open court) 3 THE COURT: Mr. Rechnitz, you can step down. 4 Mr. Daniels can escort you to a witness room. 5 Counsel, anything else we should take up before our 6 break? Counsel for the United States, you appear to be making 7 good progress. What's your view? I think we are, your Honor, but there's a 8 MR. BELL: 9 lot to talk about. I think there is some chance that we finish 10 direct today, but think it's probably not a likely scenario. I 11 think we'll come close if we go to 3:30, but yes, we are making 12 good progress. 13 THE COURT: Counsel for Mr. Reichberg, anything that 14 you would like to raise before we take our lunch recess? 15 MS. NECHELES: No, your Honor. THE COURT: Counsel for Mr. Grant? 16 17 MR. MERINGOLO: No, your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Good. Let's plan on starting with the 19 jury at noon. Thanks very much. 20 (Luncheon recess taken) 21 (Continued on next page) 22 23 24 25

BQKGRA4	Rechnitz -	Direct

1	AFTERNOON SESSION	
2	12:08 PM	
3	(Trial resumed; in open court; jury not present)	
4	THE COURT: Thank you. You can be seated.	
5	Counsel, are you ready to proceed? If so, I'll ask	
6	for Mr. Rechnitz to be brought in.	
7	MR. BELL: We are, your Honor.	
8	THE COURT: Good. Thank you.	
9	Mr. Daniels is going to bring in Mr. Rechnitz, and	
10	then he will bring in the jury immediately thereafter.	
11	MS. NECHELES: Your Honor, can we approach for one	
12	minute?	
13	THE COURT: Yes. The jury is about to come out.	
14	MS. NECHELES: It can be off the record. It's just a	
15	very personal type thing.	
16	THE COURT: Thank you.	
17	Please come on up. I understand this is a personal	
18	issue. I will accept that proffer, counsel.	
19	(At the sidebar; discussion off the record)	
20	(Continued on next page)	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

5

6

7

8

9

Rechnitz - Direct

1 (Jury present)

THE COURT: Thank you, ladies and gentlemen. You can be seated.

Welcome back from our break, ladies and gentlemen of the jury.

Counsel for the United States, you can continue.

MR. BELL: Thank you, your Honor.

JONA RECHNITZ, resumed.

DIRECT EXAMINATION CONTINUED

10 BY MR. BELL:

- 11 Q. Mr. Rechnitz, good afternoon.
- 12 A. Good afternoon.
- 13 Q. Just before the break, I'd asked you about contacts that
- 14 you and Mr. Reichberg established with then Candidate DeBlasio.
- 15 | I want to return to that.
- 16 You mentioned meeting an individual named
- 17 Mr. Offinger. Who did you understand Ross Offinger to be?
- 18 A. The chief fundraiser for Bill de Blasio.
- 19 Q. Who introduced you to him?
- 20 A. Fernando Mateo.
- 21 | Q. At the time that you met Mr. Offinger, did you make certain
- 22 commitments to the de Blasio campaign?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. And what do you recall committing?
- 25 A. We said that we will raise \$100,000 initially.

- 1 Q. Was Mr. Offinger's response to this positive?
- 2 | A. Very.
- 3 Q. At the time that you met with Mr. Offinger, first of all,
- 4 was there an initial meeting?
- 5 A. There was.
- 6 Q. Who was present for that meeting?
- 7 A. Jeremy, Ross, and me. I'm sorry, Jeremy, Ross Fernando,
- 8 and me.
- 9 Q. At the time that you, Jeremy, and Fernando met with
- 10 Mr. Offinger, what did you discuss?
- 11 A. That we were going to become heavy donors, but we wanted
- 12 | access, we wanted influence, and we wanted a lot of access.
- 13 When we called, we wanted results. We didn't want to be like
- 14 | everyone else.
- 15 | Q. Did you indicate to Mr. Offinger what kinds of results you
- 16 | wanted as a result of your donorship?
- 17 A. Favorable results is what we were calling for.
- 18 Q. When you say "favorable," from whom or in what context?
- 19 A. Different departments in the city that we would be reaching
- 20 | out to Ross about and from the mayor, his office.
- 21 | Q. What, if anything, did you say to Mr. Offinger about the
- 22 connection between the results that you expected and the
- 23 | fundraising that you were going to do?
- 24 A. That we would only be donating these funds and getting
- 25 | involved if we were treated that way.

- 1 | Q. What, if anything, was Mr. Offinger's response?
 - A. He was part of the plan. He was fine.
- 3 Q. Now, at the time that that meeting happened, just to be
- 4 | clear, was Mr. de Blasio himself present in the room?
- 5 A. No, he was not.
- 6 Q. Did there come a point over the course of the remainder of
- 7 | the campaign where you came to know Mr. de Blasio?
- 8 | A. Yes.
- 9 Q. What kinds of communications did you have with
- 10 Mr. de Blasio?
- 11 A. We had an initial meeting in my office, and we had a very,
- 12 | I'd say, close relationship. We were emailing each other, we
- 13 | would have phone calls, I'd call him about just general issues
- 14 | in the city, and we had emails going back and forth with one
- 15 another.
- 16 | Q. How did you get -- sorry. How did you get Mr. de Blasio's
- 17 | email address?
- 18 A. He gave it to me on a business card. He gave me his
- 19 private email address.
- 20 | Q. How did you get Mr. de Blasio's phone number?
- 21 \parallel A. He gave it to me as well, his cell phone number.
- 22 | Q. Now, did de Blasio actually ask you for money over the
- 23 course of the remainder of the campaign?
- 24 A. Throughout the campaign, he did not.
- 25 | Q. And did Mr. de Blasio actually discuss with you the

- possibility of you, Mr. Reichberg, or Mr. Mateo getting results of the sort that you described?
- A. When we had asked him about committees we wanted to be put on and other things, he was for that, but we never spoke about
- Q. So after the election -- first off, how did the election
- 8 A. He won.

5

7

9

Q. Were you and Mr. Reichberg excited about that?

actual results through his office.

work out for Mr. de Blasio?

- 10 A. Yes, very much so.
- 11 Q. Why?
- 12 A. Because we were very substantial donors to that point, we
- 13 | had an in with his chief fundraiser, and we had our own
- 14 relationship with Bill de Blasio at that point.
- 15 Q. Did you and Mr. Reichberg get appointed to any of the
- 16 committees or things of that sort that you had discussed with
- 17 Mr. de Blasio?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 | Q. Tell us about that.
- 20 | A. He had an inaugural committee, I believe it was, that we
- 21 were appointed to. I recall having a meeting in the Kramer
- 22 | Levin offices, which is where the meeting was held, where Bill
- 23 de Blasio addressed the crowd of all members of that committee.
- 24 | Q. Once Mr. de Blasio became the mayor, did you have
- 25 continuing conversations with Mr. Offinger about the

- 1 arrangement that you had described discussing in that first
- 2 meeting?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 | Q. Did Mr. Offinger ask you, on Mr. de Blasio's behalf, for
- 5 | other financial contributions?
- 6 A. Yes, he did.
- 7 \mathbb{Q} . For what?
- 8 A. He asked for financial assistance with a project called the
- 9 | Campaign for One New York.
- 10 | Q. What did you understand that to be, sir?
- 11 A. Some sort of program to keep kids off the street. For
- 12 | afterschool programs where they would have to rent space in
- 13 other schools and buildings for afterschool programming.
- 14 | Q. Did Mr. Offinger get in touch regarding financial
- 15 contributions to any other causes?
- 16 | A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. What other causes?
- 18 A. He had asked me to donate to keep senate in democratic
- 19 control.
- 20 | Q. Did you, in fact, donate to the Campaign for One New York?
- 21 | A. Yes, I did.
- 22 | Q. Did you, in fact, donate to the senate democrats?
- 23 | A. Yes, I did.
- 24 | Q. How much did you donate to each of those, do you recall?
- 25 A. I gave \$50,000 to the Campaign for One New York and

- 1 | \$102,000 for the democratic control of the senate.
- Q. Now, did you have direct contact with Mr. de Blasio about either of those?
 - A. Yes, I did.

- Q. What was the extent of the contact that you had with
- 6 Mr. de Blasio?
- 7 A. I had been complaining to Ross that I was not seeing proper
- 8 | communication results when Jeremy and I were reaching out, and
- 9 | if the mayor really wanted the money for the democratic race,
- 10 he should pick up the phone, and call me, and ask me himself.
- 11 Ross arranged that, and he told me the mayor would be calling
- 12 | me in a few minutes, and the mayor called me in my office, and
- 13 | I spoke to him, and he had said that it was very important work
- 14 and very personal to him.
- I asked him what the maximum amount of money I was
- 16 allowed to give, and it came out on the call, I think it was
- 17 | 102,000. I had originally planned on giving less, but once the
- 18 mayor asked, I wanted to have the effect that I'm his guy, and
- 19 | I said, okay, I'm giving you 102,000.
- 20 Q. Now, you mentioned that prior to that call, you had
- 21 complained to Mr. Offinger about not getting certain things
- 22 done. Did you discuss those things with Mayor de Blasio --
- 23 | A. No.
- 24 | Q. -- during that call?
- 25 A. No.

- 1 Q. Did you ever discuss those things with Mayor de Blasio?
 - A. No, I did not.
- 3 Q. Outside of that occasion when Mr. de Blasio personally
- 4 asked you to donate to the senate democrats, did Mr. de Blasio
- 5 personally ask you for money after being elected?
- 6 A. No, he did not.
- 7 Q. Now, with respect to things that you and Mr. Reichberg
- 8 | wanted done, did you continue to discuss those things with
- 9 Mr. Offinger after the mayor was elected?
- 10 A. Yes, we did.
- 11 | Q. Well, what sorts of things did you discuss with
- 12 Mr. Offinger?
- 13 A. A lot of different private matters. I can list a few.
- 14 Q. Sure.
- 15 A. My wife's cousin had a school in the East Side of New York,
- 16 she ran a preschool, and she received a letter before the
- 17 school year was expiring that she would have to vacate that
- 18 | space. I spoke to Jeremy about it. He took care of the
- 19 details, he spoke to Ross, and I put Jeremy in touch with my
- 20 | wife's cousin, and he fixed that issue, and she was able to
- 21 stay there for the remainder of the school year.
- 22 There was another example where I had a friend who
- 23 | owned a building on Ocean Parkway, and it was previously
- 24 | identified by a police precinct as a potential location for a
- 25 precinct, and there's some sort of law in the city that if you

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

20

21

Rechnitz - Direct

own a build that you want to sell to somebody, but the police department wants it, they get a first right. So he wanted to know — the landlord wanted to know if the police were interested in it or not, so they would not lose their potential purchase. Again, we dealt with Ross. He put us in touch with different departments to get an answer to that question.

I have a friend who I put in touch with Jeremy. He had a property in, I believe it was, Sunset Park, Brooklyn, where there are outrageous water bills, and Jeremy spoke to Ross about it, and worked with the city, and got the bill lowered.

I had a --

- O. Who was that friend?
- A. 601 West Companies is the name of the company.
- 15 | Q. Was there a person attached to that company?
- 16 A. Harry Skydell.
- 17 | Q. Please continue with your list.
- 18 A. There was a -- I have a building on Madison Avenue where I
 19 had a problem with the tenants with Airbnb. Basically the

tenant had a lease in my property, but he was renting his

apartment out to strangers coming in and out of the building

- 22 through Airbnb, and I was getting violations even though he was
- 23 | the one renting it out. I spoke to Ross, I said it wasn't
- 24 | fair, and that we needed to do something about this. And he
- 25 put me in touch with different people within the City of New

- 1 York.
- Q. Now, did you understand Mr. Ross Offinger to have a
- 3 position with the City of New York at the time?
- 4 A. I understood him to be the fundraiser for Bill de Blasio.
- 5 | Q. Now, you mentioned a number of things that you did at
- 6 Mr. Offinger's behest for Mr. de Blasio and for causes that
- 7 | Mr. de Blasio favored. Did you also do things for Mr. Offinger
- 8 personally?
- 9 A. Yes, I did.
- 10 | Q. And what sorts of things did you do for Mr. Offinger
- 11 personally?
- 12 | A. First of all, I took on some meetings with friends of his
- 13 who were trying to raise money for some of their business
- 14 | ideas. I did that as a favor for him. I also paid for his
- 15 | hotel stay at a hotel in the Dominican Republic when he went
- 16 with his wife.
- 17 | Q. By the way, while you and Mr. Reichberg were fundraising
- 18 | for the de Blasio campaign, did you, Mr. Rechnitz, engage in
- 19 | any violations of election law?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. What were those?
- 22 | A. It's called straw donors. The way the law works is anybody
- 23 | I approach to give donations for a campaign, the money is
- 24 supposed to come from them, not from me. And I illegally
- 25 | reimbursed people who laid money out that they didn't want to

- 1 give, so that I can bundle enough money for the mayor.
- 2 | Q. Now, to your knowledge, was either Mr. Reichberg or
- 3 Mr. Offinger aware of this straw donation activity?
- 4 | A. Yes.
- Q. Let's start with Mr. Reichberg. How do you know that he was aware?
- A. I discussed all these things with him. We were sort -- we were brainstorming names of people we should go to where I
- 9 | wouldn't have to lay out the money in addition to the ones that
- I told him and we discussed I'd be laying money out for, and we
- 11 were part of it together.
- 12 Q. How did you know that Mr. Offinger was aware of the straw
- donations that you have discussed?
- 14 A. He was in my office, and he came to collect checks -- he
- 15 was very aggressive with check collecting -- and I told him I
- 16 didn't have them all in, and he told me there's a deadline. I
- 17 | said, okay, I'll go to a few people in my office, I'll get
- 18 checks now, you know, I'm going to give it to them. He got red
- 19 in the face, and he said, I can't hear that, just bring me the
- 20 checks.
- 21 Q. Was anyone else present for that particular exchange
- 22 between yourself and Mr. Offinger?
- 23 A. I'm not sure if anyone else was in the room.
- MR. BELL: Now, I'd like for you, Mr. Hamilton, if you
- 25 can, to publish just for the witness what's been marked for

- 1 | identification as Government Exhibit 614.
- 2 BY MR. BELL:
- 3 | Q. Mr. Rechnitz, are you familiar with this image, sir?
- 4 | A. Yes.
- 5 | Q. How are you familiar with it?
- A. It's a picture of in my office with me, Jeremy, and Bill
- 7 de Blasio.

- Q. Approximately when was this picture taken?
- A. This was the first time that we met Bill in my office.
- MR. BELL: The government offers 614.
- MS. NECHELES: No objection.
- MR. MERINGOLO: No objection.
- 13 | THE COURT: Thank you.
- 14 I'm accepting into evidence 614.
- 15 | (Government's Exhibit 614 received in evidence)
- 16 THE COURT: Counsel.
- MR. BELL: Can we please publish that to the jury?
- And let's take that down. Thank you, Mr. Hamilton.
- 19 Can we put up, just for the witness, Government
- 20 | Exhibit 608.
- 21 BY MR. BELL:
- 22 | Q. Mr. Rechnitz, are you familiar with this picture?
- 23 | A. Yes, I am.
- 24 | Q. You're familiar with the events at which it took place?
- 25 A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

9

- Where did this take place? 1
 - Gracie Mansion. Α.
- 3 And who is exhibited here? Ο.
 - Jeremy, Fernando Mateo, Bill de Blasio, and I'm there. Α.
 - MR. BELL: The government offers 608.
 - MS. NECHELES: No objection.
 - MR. MERINGOLO: No objection.
- 8 THE COURT: Thank you.
 - I'm accepting 608 into evidence.
- 10 You can proceed.
- (Government's Exhibit 608 received in evidence) 11
- 12 MR. BELL: Can we publish that for the jury, please.
- 13 Can we take that down.
- 14 Mr. Hamilton, can we publish, just for the witness,
- Government Exhibit 615. 15
- BY MR. BELL: 16
- 17 Are you familiar with this, Mr. Rechnitz?
- 18 Α. Yes, I am.
- What is that? 19 Q.
- 20 That is the business card that Bill de Blasio gave me the
- 21 first time we met where he handwrote his email address and cell
- 22 phone number for me.
- 23 Q. Other than the redacted part of the phone number, is that
- 24 as you remember it?
- 25 Α. Yes.

1	MR. BELL: The government offers 615.
2	MS. NECHELES: No objection.
3	MR. MERINGOLO: No objection.
4	THE COURT: Thank you.
5	I'm accepting Exhibit 615 into evidence.
6	(Government's Exhibit 615 received in evidence)
7	MR. BELL: Can we publish that, please, to the jury.
8	And let's take that down.
9	Can we put up on the screen, just for the witness,
10	Government Exhibit 1080. Can we focus in just on the top half
11	for a moment.
12	BY MR. BELL:
13	Q. Mr. Rechnitz, are you familiar with this email?
14	A. Yes, I am.
15	Q. And how are you familiar with it?
16	A. I'm on the email with Jeremy and Ross.
17	MR. BELL: Your Honor, the government offers
18	Government Exhibit 1080.
19	MS. NECHELES: No objection.
20	MR. MERINGOLO: No objection.
21	THE COURT: Thank you.
22	I'm accepting Exhibit 1080 into evidence.
23	You can proceed.
24	(Government's Exhibit 1080 received in evidence)
25	MR. BELL: Can we publish it, please?

2597

1 THE COURT: You may. MR. BELL: Mr. Hamilton, let's publish that to the 2 3 jury, and let's first focus in on -- can you pull out of this 4 box, please? Can you focus in -- actually, can we go to the 5 second page and focus in on the bottom half of this. Yes. 6 Thank you. 7 BY MR. BELL: Q. Mr. Offinger writes: "Jona, hope you had a relaxing 8 9 weekend. Should I send something by your office tomorrow? 10 Also, could you provide employer occ info or provide us with contact info, so we can follow up?" 11 12 This is from Mr. Offinger to you on the 6th of 13 October, 2013. 14 That's in response to your having said: "Thank you. Another 30,000 on Monday." 15 MR. BELL: Let's zoom out. Can we go to the top half, 16 17 please. 18 Q. Mr. Offinger, working our way up, you say: "Hi. Let's touch base in the afternoon via email." 19 20 Mr. Offinger says: "Jona, let me know what time 21 works best for you. I have events packed in pretty much from 22 4:00 p.m. on." 23 And Ross follows up saying: "Jona, hope all is well. 24 Do you have time to connect today? If possible, I need the

employer occupation information, so I can start processing the

- checks you gave me on Friday." 1 2 MR. BELL: Can we go to the first page, please. Can 3 we go to the bottom half. BY MR. BELL: 4 5 "Tomorrow is perfect." 0. 6 MR. BELL: Can you highlight that, Mr. Hamilton. 7 Q. Mr. Offinger says: "Jona, I need to head out around 4:00 p.m. to staff a few events," and it goes on from there. 8 9 Mr. Rechnitz says: "Some people slow bringing checks 10 in. Told by Tuesday." 11 Offinger says: "Okay. Can you let me know how much 12 you have now." 13 And "25 on Tuesday. Got it." 14 Mr. Offinger says: "Do you think the full 100K Fernando mentioned is realistic? Need to get a sense for our 15 line items and our commitment sheet." 16 17 And then you say: "You mean that we committed to? Yes, Jeremy and I are committed." 18 And Mr. Offinger says: "Great. That means a lot." 19 20 I'll note that that top one, "Great. That means a 21 lot," was sent on Friday, October the 11th, 2013, by 22 Mr. Offinger to you, Mr. Rechnitz, and that Mr. Reichberg is 23 copied at his Gmail address. 24
 - MR. BELL: Let's take that down.
- 25 Can we put up on the screen now for the witness what's

been marked for identification as Government Exhibit 1051. 1 2 BY MR. BELL: Are you familiar with this email? 3 4 Just give me a moment, please. Α. 5 Q. Sure. 6 (Pause) 7 Yes, I am. Α. 8 How are you familiar with that? Q. 9 Α. It's an email that I'm involved with with Fernando Mateo 10 and Jeremy Reichberg. 11 MR. BELL: The government offers 1051. 12 MS. NECHELES: Objection, your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Thank you. 14 Come on up. 15 (Continued on next page) 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23

24

1 (At the sidebar) 2 THE COURT: Thank you. 3 Does the government have a hard copy of this document 4 that we can refer to? 5 MR. BELL: Sure. 6 THE COURT: I'm sorry, counsel. There's an objection? 7 MS. NECHELES: Hearsay grounds, yes. THE COURT: And what's the nature of the hearsay 8 9 statement to which you're objecting? 10 MS. NECHELES: It seems like the whole thing is here, Mr. Mateo's comments. 11 12 THE COURT: Thank you. 13 Counsel for the United States? 14 And I wanted to also discuss one other issue in 15 addition to this, which is why I brought you over. MR. BELL: Sure. Your Honor, I suppose that this is 16 17 where the rubber meets the road with some of the other legal issues that we've discussed now for some weeks. But these --18 19 Mr. Mateo is a coconspirator with respect to the de Blasio 20 That much is clear just based on Mr. Rechnitz's 21 testimony not only here, but every other time that he's 22 testified about it. So it comes in from a hearsay standpoint 23 on that basis. 24 In addition, a fair chunk of what Mr. Mateo is putting 25 in print here are directives, they are instructive, they are

Rechnitz - Direct

1	sort of do this, do that, imperative type statements, that are
2	themselves necessarily being offered for some purpose other
3	than the truth by virtue of there being that, as Ms. Necheles
4	acknowledged before.
5	THE COURT: Thank you. I'm sorry, let me hear from
6	counsel for defendant. Then I do have some questions about
7	this.
8	MS. NECHELES: I don't think that he's named as a
9	coconspirator in the bill of particulars.
10	But I agree that statements that are directives, or
11	instructions, or questions are not hearsay, but it seems like
12	part of this is hearsay.
13	THE COURT: Thank you.
14	Which portion, counsel? Here's a hard copy.
15	MS. NECHELES: The bottom part, I think, or "Thanks
16	for the invite to Las Vegas and other arrangements to Miami."
17	I think the "Let's keep our unity" is
18	THE COURT: Thank you.
19	Counsel, I'd like to hear your response to that, and
20	then I have some other questions.
21	MR. BELL: Of course.
22	I think the pattern of statements that Ms. Necheles
23	offers that are not instructions of some sort, sort of
	1

demonstrates that the overwhelming majority of the top is, in

fact, stuff that comes in as not hearsay because these are

Rechnitz - Direct

directions, because he is telling them to do things.

And I would respectfully submit that "Let's keep our unity" is actually another example of this. So that takes care of the whole top message.

Then there is he already contacted and said, "I'm happy to talk to her," but that, of course, is coming from Mr. Rechnitz.

Down below, I suppose -- well, if nothing else, this provides context for the upper statements because they are talking about Senator Gillibrand, and it's very difficult to explain what it is that Mateo is reacting to in this rousing fashion without that having been clear.

But I would also offer, with respect to Ms. Necheles' point, about how these aren't in the bill of particulars.

Yeah, bill of particulars pertain to the charged conspiracy.

That does not restrict us with respect to coconspirator statements from an evidentiary standpoint. This is a separate conspiracy. But, clearly, it doesn't have to have been restricted or even defined for that purpose. So all of it should come in.

THE COURT: Thank you.

This raises a broader question about the scope of the evidence that the government is bringing in regarding these other acts by Mr. Rechnitz, which I understood were offered as both, I'll call it, to show his Giglio general absence of

Rechnitz - Direct

mistake and other permitted categories of other-act evidence. But as you say, it's not the charged offense, and I'm somewhat concerned by the testimony that we've just heard about whether the conduct that was just described is, in fact, illegal given the nature of the official acts definition that I expect to be proposing to the parties.

And I am concerned about the, I'll call it, quantity of evidence that the government is putting in in order to demonstrate Mr. Rechnitz's and Mr. Reichberg's participation in a conspiracy that is not the charged conspiracy. So my concern about this was not so much the hearsay concern, but the scope of and extent of the testimony that the government is putting in at this point regarding uncharged conduct here.

MR. BELL: What I can offer there, your Honor, is the following:

First, both Ms. Necheles and Mr. Meringolo, at various points in the runup to trial, indicated that they intend to affirmatively disprove that these other acts actually happened. I think in a world in which that wasn't the case, the showing on our part with respect to these things would be somewhat more modest, but we're reacting to what it is that Ms. Necheles in particular has said that she intends to do.

I can proffer now that we don't intend to put very much more in support -- documentary evidence in support of that conspiracy beyond what we've already done, like we're near the

Rechnitz - Direct

point where we would move on with respect to de Blasio and that area of the political sector generally. We will, as I think everyone can expect at this point, touch briefly on Rob Astorino, but it won't be as extensive.

So I hope that that says something both with respect to why it is that we are doing this, because this is something where -- look, different defense counsel with respect to Mr. Rechnitz have taken different approaches with this. In both of the Seabrook trials, Mr. Shechtman did not -- on behalf of Mr. Seabrook and Mr. Mazurek in the first trial on behalf of Murray Huberfeld, made a strategic choice not to take issue with whether these things happened as a means of attacking Mr. Rechnitz's credibility. As a result, the focus was somewhat diminished. We have adjusted slightly upward because of what Ms. Necheles says it is that she is going to do, but we don't intend to spend very much more time here.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MS. NECHELES: Judge, I do intend to cross extensively on all of the other acts evidence.

THE COURT: Understood.

I'll let you bring in this piece of evidence. I don't understand this is to be hearsay for the reasons that the parties have articulated. I do want to talk about a limiting instruction regarding the nature of the conduct. It's not clear to me that apart from the straw donations, that the

IBOKGRA4 conduct that he just described engaging in with Mayor de Blasio and Offinger, setting up meetings and the like, is illegal, and I'm afraid that the jury may be confused about that. So I want to talk about that in a subsequent break. MR. BELL: That's fine, your Honor. It seems to me that the starting point there would be the same point that we attempted to raise in the earlier instruction about how whether that stuff is illegal or not is neither here, nor there for the jury.

> THE COURT: Thank you. We can talk about that. (Continued on next page)

> SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

1	(In open court)
2	THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, would you like to
3	take a short break just to stretch your legs? I think I'm
4	seeing a bunch of yeses, so let's take that task. Let's step
5	down. Please don't discuss the case during the break, don't
6	discuss it with anyone else, and don't do any research about
7	it.
8	(Jury not present)
9	THE COURT: Thank you.
10	We're taking a recess, and when Mr. Reichberg
11	returns I'm going to step down briefly. I'd like to be in a
12	position to start as soon as Mr. Reichberg is available. So no
13	more than five minutes, please.
14	Mr. Rechnitz, if you could stay in place because I
15	think this will be a short break. If you need to use the
16	restroom, please let my deputy know.
17	MS. NECHELES: Thank you, your Honor.
18	(Recess)
19	THE COURT: Thank you. You can be seated.
20	Mr. Daniels, can you please bring in the jury.
21	(Jury present)
22	THE COURT: Thank you, ladies and gentlemen. You can
23	be seated.
24	Thank you. I apologize for the interruption.

Mr. Bell, you can proceed.

24

25

2607

1 MR. BELL: Thank you, your Honor. The government offers Government Exhibit 1051. 2 3 THE COURT: Thank you. 4 I'm accepting Government Exhibit 1051 into evidence. 5 You can proceed. 6 (Government's Exhibit 1051 received in evidence) 7 MR. BELL: Thank you. 8 Mr. Hamilton, I'll ask you to focus on the bottom two 9 emails first. 10 BY MR. BELL: 11 Q. Fernando Mateo, on February 5, 2015, writes to you and 12 Jeremy: "Subject: Ross. Hey guys. Hope all is well. Thanks 13 for the invite to LV. I have other arrangements in Miami. 14 you hear from Ross regarding Senator Kirsten Gillibrand, tell 15 him not now. We will discuss moving forward. Let's keep our unity together." 16 17 You respond later that day: "He already contacted me, and I said I'm happy to talk to her. Should I reverse it?" 18 MR. BELL: Can we focus on the top message, please. 19 20 Q. Mr. Mateo responds to you and to Mr. Reichberg: "Guys, we 21 will lose respect if we don't communicate. Tell him I'm not 22 ready yet. They will keep asking for more and more money.

something back before we move along. Please call him and tell

They must give us something first - Jeremy chaplain for NYPD,

total access to commissioners and deputy mayors. We want

- him we as a team are not ready just yet, soon. Please advise
 on your decision. When he contacted me, the first thing I said
 was I will discuss with both of you."
 - Mr. Rechnitz, in 2013 and 2014 -- well, withdrawn.
 - When you first approached Mr. Offinger, how explicit was the connection between what it was that you sought to give and what it was that you sought to get?
 - A. It was very explicit.
 - Q. Did you discuss those same things with Mr. Reichberg at times when Mr. Offinger wasn't there?
- 11 | A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Q. Was it similarly explicit, the connection between what it was that you sought to get and what it was that you sought to
- 14 give?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- Q. Did what you sought to get include official action from agencies of the City of New York?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 MR. BELL: Let's take that down.
- 20 | Q. Now, at the time that you were getting involved --
- 21 MR. BELL: Can you put Government Exhibit 1029 just up 22 on the witness' screen, Mr. Hamilton.
- 23 | Q. Are you familiar with this document?
- 24 | A. Yes, I am.
- Q. What is that document?

25

campaign."

Rechnitz - Direct

This is a chart that Ross had filled out which contained 1 the people who contributed checks for the mayor of New York. 2 3 MR. BELL: Your Honor, I expect this is the last bit 4 of evidence that we'll put up on this particular subject. 5 government offers Government Exhibit 1029. 6 MS. NECHELES: No objection. 7 MR. MERINGOLO: No objection. 8 THE COURT: Thank you. 9 No objection from either counsel, I accept the Exhibit 10 1029 into evidence. 11 You can proceed. 12 (Government's Exhibit 1029 received in evidence) 13 MR. BELL: Can we publish that for the jury, please. 14 BY MR. BELL: 15 Q. So, here, Mr. Offinger writes on Friday, October the 4th of 2013 -- he writes to you, Reichberg, and Mateo with the subject 16 17 line "de Blasio Follow-Up: "Jona and Jeremy, a pleasure 18 meeting you today. I think this is the beginning of a good friendship." 19 20 MR. BELL: Can you publish that, please. 21 "The totals from what I received today are below. 22 appreciate your support very much. Please let me know how I 23 can further support your efforts on behalf of Bill and the

There are a number of amounts and people listed below.

- 1 Are those familiar to you?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3 | Q. And what are those amounts, and who are those people?
- 4 A. The first person is somebody Jeremy collected money from
- 5 | for \$2,000. The next eight people, I was responsible for
- 6 | bringing in, which all gave the maximum of 4,950. And the last
- 7 person, I believe, is Jeremy's wife's cousin, who gave the
- 8 maximum amount of 4950.
- 9 Q. Were any of the people listed on that people who worked in
- 10 your building or in your office?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. Who?
- 13 A. Paul Raps shared an office with me. Yuron Turgeman worked
- 14 | throughout my office in the government building, and Natalia
- 15 Weiss' husband, Moshe, had an office in the building as well.
- MR. BELL: Thank you. You can take that down.
- 17 | Q. Now, at the same time that you were getting involved with
- 18 | the Thompson and de Blasio campaigns, were you getting involved
- 19 | with politicians outside of the city?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Who?
- 22 A. Rob Astorino from Westchester County.
- 23 | Q. How did you come to get involved with Mr. Astorino?
- 24 A. Fernando lived in Westchester County and introduced me and
- 25 | Jeremy to him.

- 1 | Q. Did you come to meet with Mr. Astorino as well?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3 | Q. Who was present when you met Mr. Astorino?
- 4 A. Fernando, Jeremy, and me.
- 5 | Q. What sort of exchange did you have with Mr. Astorino?
- A. We met him, Fernando introduced us as powerful people who
- 7 contributed a lot to campaigns, and we expressed our desire to
- 8 | help him. I think initially I gave somewhere like \$15,000,
- 9 which is a big amount for a county executive, and we explained
- 10 to him our desire to become police chaplains in Westchester
- 11 | County, which is something Jeremy and I discussed before the
- 12 meeting.
- 13 | Q. Why were you and Jeremy interested in becoming police
- 14 | chaplains in Westchester County?
- 15 | A. Because then you get a parking placard, and an ID, and a
- 16 badge, and it's good for breaking the rules in the city for
- 17 | parking and other privileges, like getting pulled over.
- 18 | Q. Now, at the time, Mr. Rechnitz, did you have any clerical
- 19 or other qualifications to be a chaplain?
- 20 | A. No.
- 21 | Q. To the best of your knowledge, did Mr. Reichberg?
- 22 | A. No.
- 23 | Q. For the time, did you live in Westchester County?
- 24 A. No, I did not.
- 25 | Q. Did you have anything to do with Westchester County?

IBQKGRA4

- 1 A. No.
- 2 | Q. How about Mr. Reichberg?
- 3 | A. No.
- 4 | Q. Did you have any intention of actually performing
- 5 chaplain-type duties as a Westchester County chaplain?
- 6 A. No, I did not.
- 7 | Q. Based on your conversations with Mr. Reichberg, did
- 8 Mr. Reichberg?
- 9 | A. No.
- 10 | Q. Now, did you mention this wish to become police chaplains
- 11 | to Mr. Astorino when you met him?
- 12 | A. Yes, I did.
- 13 Q. And did you mention the connection between that and what
- 14 | you were willing to do for Mr. Astorino?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 | Q. And what was Mr. Astorino's response?
- 17 | A. He had told Jeremy and I that he would reach out to his
- 18 police commissioner, George Longworth, and that he would be in
- 19 | touch with Jeremy directly.
- 20 | Q. Did that, in fact, happen?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. Did you come to know Commissioner Longworth?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. Did you also come to know a brother of Commissioner
- 25 | Longworth's?

- 1 | A. Yes.
- $2 \parallel Q$. Who was he?
- 3 A. He was in the, I guess, NYPD inner circle for Bill Bratton,
- 4 helping him make decisions during his transition, and he was
- 5 part of his team in the NYPD.
- 6 | Q. Did you eventually get sworn in as police chaplain?
 - A. I think his name was Greq.

Pardon?

- 9 | Q. Okay. Did you eventually get sworn in as a police chaplain
- 10 | in Westchester County?
- 11 | A. Yes.

7

- 12 | Q. To your knowledge, did Jeremy?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- MR. BELL: I'd like to put up, just for the witness
- 15 | right now, Government Exhibit 612.
- 16 | Q. Are you familiar with that image?
- 17 | A. Yes, I am.
- 18 | Q. What's happening there?
- 19 A. That's this swearing-in in George Longworth's office.
- 20 MR. BELL: Your Honor, the government offers 612.
- 21 MS. NECHELES: No objection.
- MR. MERINGOLO: No objection.
- 23 THE COURT: Thank you.
- I'm accepting Exhibit 612 in evidence.
- 25 You can proceed.

- (Government's Exhibit 612 received in evidence) 1
- 2 MR. BELL: Thank you, your Honor.
- 3 Can we publish 612 to the jury, please.
- 4 BY MR. BELL:

- So what's happening here, Mr. Rechnitz?
- 6 This is the swearing-in ceremony Jeremy and I went to
- 7 become chaplains, and that's the swearing in for me.
- Did you swear to uphold the Constitution of the State of 8
- 9 New York and certain things within Westchester County?
- 10 MR. MERINGOLO: Objection.
- 11 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 12 You can answer the question.
- 13 THE WITNESS: Whatever he repeated, I said I did.
- 14 Did you actually do anything to live up to that oath Q.
- 15 subsequently?
- 16 No, I did not. Α.
- 17 To the best of your knowledge, did Mr. Reichberg? Ο.
- No, he did not. 18 Α.
- MR. BELL: We can take that down. 19
- 20 Now, in addition to fundraising for Mr. Astorino, did you
- 21 do anything else for him?
- 22 Α. Yes.
- 23 What else did you do? 0.
- 24 I purchased a Rolex watch for him. Α.
- 25 Where did you purchase the watch from?

- 1 A. I believe it was Daniela Diamonds.
- 2 | Q. What is Daniela Diamonds?
- 3 A. It's a watch and jewelry store on 47th Street.
- 4 Q. After you purchased the watch from Daniela Diamonds, did
- 5 you do anything else with respect to the watch?
- 6 | A. Yes.
- 7 | Q. What else did you do?
- 8 A. I called Ariel from Motion In Time, who we spoke about
- 9 before, to come set it on his wrist and adjust it for him in my
- 10 office.
- 11 | Q. Did that, in fact, happen?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 | Q. When you say "his wrist," who are you talking about?
- 14 A. Rob Astorino's.
- 15 | Q. Was there an earlier point where you were questioned by the
- 16 government about where you got the watch from?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. And were you confused at any point in answering that
- 19 | question?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Why?
- 22 | A. I had thought that I had purchased the watch from Motion In
- 23 | Time because I remember Ariel from Motion In Time coming to
- 24 adjust the watch, but I later remembered that he only came to
- 25 adjust it, and I purchased it from Daniela.

- 1 | Q. With respect to Mr. Reichberg, did you understand
- 2 Mr. Reichberg to have any clergy training at all?
- 3 | A. No.
- 4 Q. Did you understand him to have ever gone to any sort of
- 5 | rabbinical school?
- 6 A. Not that I'm aware.
- 7 Q. Did you ever know him to preserve -- preside, rather, over
- 8 any services as a rabbi?
- 9 | A. No.
- 10 | Q. In Westchester County?
- 11 | A. No.
- 12 | Q. How about in Brooklyn?
- 13 | A. No.
- 14 | Q. To your knowledge, does Mr. Reichberg have a congregation?
- 15 | A. No.
- 16 Q. All of that aside, Mr. Rechnitz, have you heard
- 17 Mr. Reichberg represent himself as a rabbi?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 | Q. In what contexts?
- 20 | A. Whenever he would call the Port Authority by JFK, he would
- 21 | say it's Rabbi Reichberg calling from the state police. I've
- 22 | heard him identify himself that way, also, to officers.
- 23 (Continued on next page)
- 24
- 25

- 1 BY MR. BELL:
- 2 | Q. In what context would you hear him call the Port Authority?
- 3 A. In order to park and do a VIP walk-through for a friend of
- 4 | his, or when he and I traveled, to cut the security line at
- 5 | TSA.
- 6 Q. With respect to the Westchester County position, did you in
- 7 | fact receive a parking placard?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Did Mr. Reichberg?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. Did you use it?
- 12 | A. Yes.
- 13 MR. BELL: Mr. Hamilton, can you put Government
- 14 Exhibit 1072 up on the screen just for the witness, please.
- 15 \parallel Q. Did there come a point where you ceased being a chaplain at
- 16 | Westchester County?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Under what circumstances?
- 19 | A. Again, after the whole IAB came to visit me, I cut out
- 20 | everything. I don't want to be involved with anything for the
- 21 police at all.
- 22 | Q. Are you familiar with Government Exhibit 1072?
- 23 | A. Yes, I am.
- 24 | Q. How are you familiar with this communication?
- 25 A. It was an email to me from George Longworth's office.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- MR. BELL: Your Honor, the government offers 1072.
- MS. NECHELES: No objection.
- MR. MERINGOLO: No objection.
 - THE COURT: Thank you. I'm accepting 1072 into evidence. You can proceed.
 - (Government's Exhibit 1072 received in evidence)
 - MR. BELL: Thank you, your Honor. Mr. Hamilton, may you publish that.
 - Q. So in the middle of that first page, georgelongworth@ westchestergov.com writes: A reminder, if you could get back to us with your availability.
 - And you respond: I can't make it, sorry. Also, I'm fine freeing up a spot for you if you need the chaplain spot for anybody else. I don't have time to put into this anymore.

 Thank you. Hope you're well.
- 16 Why did you send that in March of 2015?
- 17 A. I knew about an investigation going on and I did not want 18 the chaplaincy, since it was a farce.
- Q. Now around the time that you had gotten the chaplaincy from
 Westchester County, had you and Jeremy sought similar positions
 elsewhere?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | O. Where?
- 24 | A. In Floral Park.
- 25 Q. How did that come to be?

- 1 A. Again Steve McAllister became the commissioner of the
- 2 | Floral Park Police Department, and Jeremy said he would arrange
- 3 a chaplaincy for himself and a clergy liaison for me.
- 4 | Q. What did you understand the purpose of that to be?
- 5 A. To get a parking placard and an ID.
- 6 Q. What would the benefit be of having parking placards in
- 7 | both Floral Park and Westchester County?
- 8 | A. Since both were outside of the city, it would be to rotate
- 9 the use. The chances of a cop giving you a ticket using the
- 10 same placard every day when you're from out of the city is
- 11 greater than if you switch them around.
- 12 | Q. Were you and Mr. Reichberg successful in getting these
- 13 positions in Floral Park?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. Did you get a placard?
- 16 | A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. Did you have any affiliation with Floral Park outside of
- 18 your relationship with Steve McAllister?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Q. Did you ever assist with any religious services or clergy
- 21 | liaison in Floral Park?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 | Q. How many times would you say you have been to the Village
- 24 of Floral Park in your life?
- 25 A. One time.

- 1 MR. BELL: Could we put Government Exhibit 1057 on the 2 screen for just the witness, please.
- 3 | Q. Are you familiar with this communication?
- A. I can't see the image from the attachment, so it's hard to tell.
 - Q. Setting aside the image, are you familiar with this communication given the subject line and timing?
- 8 | A. Yes.

6

7

- Q. And what's your understanding of it?
- 10 A. I'm asking Jeremy when my placard will be ready.
- MR. BELL: The government offers 1057.
- MS. NECHELES: No objection.
- MR. MERINGOLO: No objection.
- 14 THE COURT: Thank you. I'm accepting Exhibit 1057
 15 into evidence.
- 16 (Government's Exhibit 1057 received in evidence)
- 17 THE COURT: You can proceed.
- 18 MR. BELL: Could we publish that to the jury, please.
- Q. Mr. Reichberg sent you a JPEG image. What generally do you understand that to have been?
- 21 A. A photo attachment of the parking placard.
- Q. And your response was: Amazing. When will it be ready?
- MR. BELL: Let's take that down.
- 24 | Q. Did you get business cards as part of this chaplain
- 25 | arrangement?

4

8

9

10

11

14

- 1 A. For Westchester County, yes.
- 2 | Q. How did those work?
- 3 A. Jeremy had ordered business cards for himself and for me.
 - Q. What did you understand the purpose of the business cards
- 5 to be?
- A. To hand out to different officers, play a big shot, the same thing we were doing.
 - MR. BELL: Could we put, Mr. Hamilton, Government Exhibit 1084 up just for the witness.
 - Q. And I am going to go ahead and offer Government Exhibit 1084.
- MS. NECHELES: No objection.
- MR. MERINGOLO: No objection.
 - THE COURT: Thank you. I'm accepting 1084 into evidence.
- 16 | (Government's Exhibit 1084 received in evidence)
- 17 | THE COURT: Counsel, you can proceed.
- 18 MR. BELL: Thank you.
- 19 Mr. Hamilton, can you publish that to the jury.
- Can you highlight Charles Alexander, the sender,
- Jeremy Reichberg, the recipient, February 15, 2014, when it's sent.
- 23 And let's start from the bottom, Mr. Reichberg says to
- 24 Mr. Alexander: Hi, it was nice talking to you this morning.
- 25 | These are the two chaplain cards and one board commissioner.

Please put out on a layout and send back for approval. Thank
you.

Did you understand someone to have become a board commissioner as part of your arrangements, Mr. Rechnitz?

A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- O. Who was that?
 - A. Mr. Fernando Mateo.
- Q. And what was his work position?
 - A. In Westchester County he was on the police board.
- 10 | Q. What did you understand that to be?
 - A. Some honorary sort of sheriff position.

MR. BELL: Can you highlight Jeremy Reichberg, police chaplain. Jona Rechnitz, police chaplain. Fernando Mateo, police board commissioner.

Then can you highlight when Mr. Alexander -- first of all, can you highlight Mr. Alexander's email address and highlight where he says I need to know how many stars goes on each of these so I can get the badges correct.

Let's take that down.

Mr. Rechnitz, let's switch gears and go back to your involvement with the New York City Police. And what I will do is I will -- let's put up on the witness's screen, and if it's possible to cycle through these, Government Exhibits 601 through 605, one after the other for a couple of seconds each.

Q. Are you familiar with these images, Mr. Rechnitz?

- $1 \parallel A$. Yes, I am.
- 2 | Q. Are you familiar with the event they depict?
- $3 \parallel A. \text{ Yes, I am.}$
- 4 | Q. What's the event?
- 5 A. My son's circumcision ceremony.
- 6 Q. That's same ceremony you described earlier?
 - A. Yes.

- 8 MR. BELL: The government offers Government Exhibits 9 601 through 605.
- 10 MS. NECHELES: No objection.
- 11 MR. MERINGOLO: No objection.
- 12 THE COURT: I'm accepting into evidence Government
- 13 Exhibits 601 through 605.
- 14 (Government's Exhibits 601 through 605 received in evidence)
- 16 THE COURT: You can proceed.
- 17 MR. BELL: Thank you.
- 18 Q. Please start with 602. Who is depicted here?
- 19 A. Philip Banks and me. To the left is Detective Norville, I
- 20 believe.
- 21 | Q. And who do you understand Detective Norville to be?
- 22 | A. A detective who works for the chief of department's office
- 23 | for Phil Banks and Michael Harrington.
- 24 | Q. And can we go to Government Exhibit 604.
- 25 And it may be best to do a sort of left to right here.

- 1 Who do we have?
- 2 A. Jeremy Reichberg, Robert Astorino, me, Michael Harrington,
- 3 and Philip Banks.
- 4 Q. And so are these Mr. Astorino and Mr. Harrington shaking
- 5 | hands?

8

- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 | Q. Let's go to 603. And 605.
 - And who do we have here, sir?
 - A. This is David Colon and me.
- 10 | 0. And 601.
- 11 And who do we have here?
- 12 A. Eric Rodriguez and me.
- 13 | Q. This is the same Eric Rodriguez who received gifts as part
- of the Christmas of 2013 ride through Staten Island?
- 15 | A. Yes, sir.
- 16 MR. BELL: You can take that down, Mr. Hamilton.
- 17 | Q. I want to direct your attention, Mr. Rechnitz, to about a
- 18 | year ago, that is to say, October/November of 2017. By this
- 19 | time had you already started cooperating with the government?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. Did you have an understanding at this time of whether the
- 22 | term "corrupting" or "corruption" aptly described your
- 23 | relationship with these officers?
- 24 A. No, it did not.
- MS. NECHELES: Objection, your Honor.

5

6

8

17

Rechnitz - Direct

- 1 MR. MERINGOLO: Objection.
- 2 THE COURT: Counsel, can you rephrase?
- 3 MR. BELL: Sure.
 - Did you have an understanding, Mr. Rechnitz, of whether the term "corrupting" or the term "corruption" would appropriately be used to describe your relationship with these officers?
- 7 MS. NECHELES: Objection.
 - MR. MERINGOLO: Objection.
- 9 No, I did not. Α.
- 10 THE COURT: I accept the answer.

understood corruption at the time.

- 11 No, a year ago I did not.
- 12 What was your understanding at the time of whether those 13 were fitting terms?
- 14 A. My understanding at the time was that corruption was when 15 you had an explicit understanding that I'm going to give you this in return for that, a verbal understanding. That's how I 16
- 18 Q. Now at the time did you nevertheless understand that what you did with the officers was wrong? 19
- 20 Α. Yes.
- 21 Q. Now with respect to whether the terms "corruption" or
- 22 "corrupting" are appropriately applied, did that understanding,
- 23 just with respect to whether the words fit the circumstances,
- 24 change?
- 25 No. Α.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

IBQTGRA5 Rechnitz - Direct

1 MR. MERINGOLO: Objection, leading.

THE COURT: Thank you. Overruled, you can answer.

I accept the answer.

No, the conduct remained the same, just the way I --Α.

MS. NECHELES: Objection, your Honor.

THE COURT: Counsel, can you ask the question again?

MR. BELL: I'm not -- okay, sure.

Q. Withdrawn.

Did you have an understanding -- withdrawn.

A moment ago, Mr. Rechnitz, you said that you had a particular view of what the term "corruption" or the term "corrupting" meant. Did that change?

- Α. Yes.
- How? 14 Q.
- 15 Α. Initially, prior to a year and before that, the way I
- understood the word "corruption" was as I explained, but I know 16
- 17 that corruption is acting in a manner which I did, which is
- where there are legal positions of power and you corrupt 18
- 19 someone by bribing them.
- 20 Q. Has your understanding of what the facts actually were
- 21 changed at all?
- 22 A. The facts did not change. My conduct has remained the same
- 23 throughout, and when I initially thought that legally the
- 24 definition of corruption was not what I had done, I maintain --
- 25 MS. NECHELES: Objection.

IBQTGRA5

1	THE COURT: You can continue.
2	A. I maintain that it's the same exact conduct then as it is
3	now. The conduct never changed.
4	Q. At approximately the same time a year ago, Mr. Rechnitz,
5	did you have an understanding of whether those same
6	interactions with police officers involved what's called a quid
7	pro quo?
8	A. Again, like corruption
9	MS. NECHELES: Your Honor, I object. Could we
10	approach on this?
11	THE COURT: Yes, please come up.
12	(Continued on next page)
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 (At sidebar)

THE COURT: Sorry, counsel, go ahead.

MS. NECHELES: Your Honor, it's improper bolstering, this testimony. What Mr. Bell is doing here is he's taking what he knows when he questions on cross about prior testimony and trying to explain. He knows he's not allowed to talk about the person's prior testimony, so he's now trying to have the person, Mr. Rechnitz, talk about like what those words meant before he is even asked the other questions. His state of mind about what those words mean have no relevance to this case at this moment because he hasn't been asked the prior inconsistent statement. He can do this on redirect if he wants, but for him to be bolstering his testimony and advancing this sort of scripted manner is really improper.

MR. MERINGOLO: Your Honor, one thing, we intend to -obviously because of the testimony, we intend to cross very
hard on this particular issue, which now there's a year, how
did he learn this, when it came about. I know we can't cross
on what he talked about with his lawyer, but I think this opens
the door to a very, very vigorous cross on these issues.

MR. BELL: May I?

THE COURT: Please.

MR. BELL: I am flummoxed by this.

MR. MERINGOLO: I don't know that means, Judge, if he could explain it in layman's terms.

Rechnitz - Direct

MR. BELL: I'm not flummoxed by that. I am aware, as the Court doubtless is, that this is an issue of Ms. Necheles' making primarily. Ms. Necheles has said that it is at the very heart of the case what it is that Mr. Rechnitz's understanding was of whether there was quid pro quo, of whether what he was doing was actually corrupting these officers. And your Honor has ruled that, notwithstanding the prior inconsistent statement rules or any other number of things, that if this — that if the right situation is teed up, that this is something that they can theoretically question about.

MS. NECHELES: Your Honor, I withdraw my objection.

Let him do it.

THE COURT: Thank you. Counsel --

MR. MERINGOLO: I don't withdraw.

THE COURT: Counsel, Mr. Grant has raised a separate question, which is what — the ensuing questions about the basis for his changed understanding regarding what the law is and what the law means, there's both that issue, and then separately whether and to what extent I should permit this person to testify at length about his understanding of the meaning of those legal terms. Can you respond to each of those in turn?

MR. BELL: Sure.

MS. NECHELES: Your Honor, I think he opened the door now. We will be crossing. He opened the door. He's gone

25

Rechnitz - Direct

	IBQIGRA5 RECNNITZ - Direct
1	through this about what was your understanding then, what it is
2	now. Obviously it's based on his discussions with the
3	government cleaning up his testimony, so we will cross on that.
4	THE COURT: Thank you.
5	Mr. Bell will respond.
6	MR. BELL: I appreciate that, your Honor.
7	It has always been on the table that Mr. Rechnitz can
8	be asked about his understanding of what the criminal agreement
9	was at any point, then, now, in between. I don't see this as
10	having changed much. What we are doing is we are asking about
11	a fixed point in time, not with respect to prior statements
12	made, because this is primarily a trial about Mr. Rechnitz's
13	understanding and not statements made, but just getting to what
14	Ms. Necheles has said countless times now is the actual heart
15	of the matter. This is our doing it. I don't think this opens
16	the door to much of anything.
17	THE COURT: Counsel, do you also withdraw your
18	objection?
19	MR. MERINGOLO: No, I do not.
20	MR. BELL: Could we have one moment?
21	MR. MERINGOLO: All right. Do we get to cross or do
22	we get sustained every time? That's the whole thing.
23	MS. NECHELES: We can cross on that.
24	THE COURT: Thank you. Both parties have withdrawn

their objections. You can proceed on this, counsel.

- 1 (In open court)
- THE COURT: Sorry for the interruption, Mr. Bell, you
- 3 | can continue.
- 4 MR. BELL: Thank you, your Honor.
- 5 BY MR. BELL:
- 6 Q. So the question that had been put to you, Mr. Rechnitz, was
- 7 | the following: At the same time, roughly a year ago, did you
- 8 have an understanding of whether these interactions with police
- 9 officers involved what's called a quid pro quo?
- 10 A. No, I did not.
- 11 | Q. And what was your understanding a year ago on that subject?
- 12 A. Similar to corruption, I had thought that the -- I guess
- 13 | legal definition precisely what quid pro quo was that if I
- 14 explicitly stated I will scratch your back if you scratch mine.
- 15 | Q. And has your understanding of what a guid pro guo means
- 16 | changed?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. And do you understand there to have been a quid pro quo at
- 19 | the heart of the arrangement between you, Jeremy, and the
- 20 police officers?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. Now Mr. Rechnitz, "quid pro quo" is a legal term. Are you
- 23 | a lawyer, sir?
- MS. NECHELES: Objection.
- MR. MERINGOLO: Objection, your Honor.

BQTGRA5	Rechnitz -	Direct

1	THE COURT: Thank you. You can answer that question.
2	A. I'm not a lawyer.
3	THE COURT: Thank you. I accept the answer.
4	MS. NECHELES: Could we strike the first part of the
5	statement?
6	THE COURT: Counsel, can you ask the first part of
7	that question?
8	MR. BELL: Sure.
9	Q. Let me do this: Are you a lawyer, Mr. Rechnitz?
10	A. No, I am not a lawyer.
11	Q. Did you have an understanding, based on your education, of
12	what a quid pro quo was prior to approximately a year ago?
13	A. No, I did not understand the legal definition.
14	MR. BELL: May we approach very briefly, your Honor?
15	THE COURT: Yes, come on up.
16	(Continued on next page)
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Rechnitz - Direct

1 (At sidebar)

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. BELL: So the only thing — we contemplated this somewhere in pretrial proceedings, but this may be a good time for an instruction that your Honor has mentioned before that the witness is not an attorney and that the jury will get legal instructions from you at the end of the trial.

This isn't a button that we push often, but it seems particularly apt here given the nature of this testimony, and I think it's an appropriate statement, it's an accurate instruction. The way things work around here, this would be, I think, the most apt time to mention it. And I think it would simply be that you've heard the witness refer to the term "quid pro quo" and the term "corruption." You will be getting instructions on what those terms mean for purposes of your deliberations from me, the Court, at the conclusion of the trial, and those are the instructions you're to follow.

MS. NECHELES: Your Honor, we strenuously object to this. The witness did -- when he testified previously it wasn't a legal definition he was asked the following questions by Mr. Bell. He said: What did you understand the term "corrupted" to be or would mean when Mr. Schechtman asked you if you thought you corrupted police officers?

Answer: Like a quid pro quo, a bribe, saying, for example, I will give you dollar if you want to give me a bottle

1	of wine.
2	The government wants to redefine his statement as
3	legal explanation. He gave the answer
4	MR. BELL: We're not going to
5	Judge, I'm in the middle of a statement, I ask that
6	THE COURT: Please proceed.
7	MS. NECHELES: So he didn't use it that way, and
8	they're trying to bolster their argument by using your Honor,
9	by saying we would like an instruction now, right after they
10	have asked did you understand were you a lawyer, did you
11	understand.
12	THE COURT: Thank you. Let me say this, I would like
13	to keep on moving. To the extent that there's an instruction
14	that we need to craft with respect to this issue, I would like
15	to craft it outside of the presence of the jury.
16	Is there any other issue that we should take up now
17	with the jury in the box?
18	MS. NECHELES: I ask that the statement that was made
19	about being a legal definition by Mr. Bell, that when he said
20	quid pro quo was a legal term, that that be stricken, that
21	Mr. Bell's statement be stricken.
22	THE COURT: I understood he had withdrawn that
23	question and then rephrased it in a series of two questions.

MR. BELL: I did.

24

25

MS. NECHELES: I didn't understand that.

IBQTGRA5

Rechnitz - Direct

MS. NECHELES: If we make that clear on the record, that part is withdrawn and stricken from the record in case there's a readback ever, that that part is withdrawn and stricken from the record. THE COURT: That was my understanding. And just in order to keep the flow moving, I think that the government agrees with that, I agree with that, to the extent that there is a request for readback, this record will establish that that portion should come out. MS. NECHELES: Thank you, your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you. (Continued on next page)

- 1 (In open court)
- THE COURT: Thank you. Sorry, Mr. Bell, you can
- 3 proceed.
- 4 BY MR. BELL:
- 5 | Q. So setting aside the labels and the definitions,
- 6 Mr. Rechnitz, has your sense of the facts, of your
- 7 | understanding with the officers, changed at all over time?
- 8 A. No, the facts remain the same and my conduct remains the
- 9 same.
- 10 | Q. Is it the same as it was last year?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. Is it the same as it was when you were still bribing Jimmy
- Grant and other officers with Jeremy Reichberg?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. I would like to ask you about a number of things that you
- 16 and Mr. Reichberg did. I want to direct your attention back to
- 17 | the time when you first got to know Mr. Reichberg when you were
- 18 working for Africa Israel. What aspects of your job at
- 19 | Africa-Israel, if any, made getting to know the police more
- 20 | appealing?
- 21 | A. First of all, the chairman of the company, Lev Leviev, he
- 22 owns a diamond store on Madison Avenue, and there were
- 23 protestors outside of his store on Valentine's Day and other
- 24 weekends because they had disagreements with some of his
- 25 philosophies. And I know it was a very big problem for

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

Rechnitz - Direct

- 1 Mr. Leviev, and getting police involvement in that could only 2 be beneficial for Leviev at the time.
 - Another issue is when Mr. Leviev came to America, he would come in on a private plane, and as discussed a little bit earlier, we were able to provide him with a private police escort to his hotel.
 - Q. I will ask you about both of those in turn.

What actions, if any, did -- first of all, did you take action to address the concerns about Mr. Leviev's protestors?

- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 Q. At whose direction did you take those actions?
- 13 A. Rotem Rosen, the CEO of the company.
- 14 | Q. What actions did you take?
- 15 A. I spoke with Jeremy about what we can do about this. He
 16 came and partook in a meeting with me and Rotem and Paul Raps.
- We then had another meeting with Stephen McAllister, and he addressed the issue.
- 19 | Q. How did he address the issue?
- 20 A. So he told us that he could take care of the problem, but
- 21 | that we would have to donate money to the NYPD football team.
- 22 So I raised another \$25,000.
- 23 Q. Is this in addition to the 5,000 that you mentioned
- 24 | earlier?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. Who did these additional funds come from?
- 2 A. It was from KLG Jewelry, LLC.
- 3 | Q. What's that?
- 4 A. Which was the ownership of the store, the Leviev and Klein
- 5 group. And Mr. Raps arranged for the donation, in addition to
- 6 | a check which came from Africa-Israel, which was the real
- 7 | estate company.
- 8 Q. What happened after these donations were made to the NYPD
- 9 | football team?
- 10 A. There was more of a police presence, and then eventually
- 11 the protestors stopped.
- 12 MS. NECHELES: Could we get a date, your Honor?
- 13 Q. Was Mr. McAllister --
- 14 THE COURT: Sorry, overruled.
- 15 Counsel, can you please proceed.
- 16 | Q. Was Mr. McAllister still with the NYPD at the time?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. Did this happen before or after the Lincoln Tunnel episode
- 19 | that you alluded to a moment ago?
- 20 | A. I think it was before, but I'm not exactly sure.
- 21 | Q. So were you led as part of that to believe that the NYPD
- 22 | could do anything specific in order to allay the protester
- 23 problem?
- 24 | A. Yes.
- 25 | Q. What?

A. That Jeremy had said that maybe they didn't have the proper noise permit. They would find out. If there was a noise permit they could shut it down, or if they got rowdy, they could move them over. Then we found out they did have proper

permits, and it was a little more complicated than that.

- Q. What ultimately happened to the protestors?
- 7 A. Got quiet right away.
 - Q. Did you and Jeremy credit Steve McAllister for that?
- 9 | A. Yes.

5

6

8

12

- Q. Now I want to turn to the incident involving Lev Leviev's travel that you mentioned a moment ago. What was it that
- 13 A. Lev Leviev was coming into town, and I had seen that Jeremy
- 14 in fact did have pull on the NYPD. And Jeremy and I were
- 15 | talking, and he said that he could have a private police escort
- 16 for Mr. Leviev from his plane to the hotel he was staying at in
- 17 | midtown, the Peninsula Hotel.

actually happened here?

- 18 Q. Was that appealing to you?
- 19 A. Very much.
- 20 Q. Why?
- 21 A. This was the boss of my company, and I would look very good 22 in front of him if I pulled this off.
- 23 Q. So did you take steps to make that happen?
- 24 | A. Yes.
- 25 | Q. What happened?

- A. So I spoke to Rotem, the CEO of the company, and he said to go forward with the plan, gave Jeremy the green light. He went ahead and made all the arrangements.
- Q. Were you familiar with Mr. Leviev's travel in the United

 States prior to this particular stop in the metro area?
- 6 A. No.
- Q. Were you particular with Mr. Rotem's travel elsewhere on that same trip?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. To your knowledge, did Mr. Leviev travel elsewhere in the
- 11 United States on this same trip?
- 12 | A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Where else?
- 14 A. After the New York trip he traveled to Miami and Las Vegas
- 15 | to look at properties.
- 16 | Q. How do you know that, Mr. Rechnitz?
- 17 A. Because I was invited to join along after putting together
- 18 the business trip.
- 19 Q. Was that all on the same jet?
- 20 A. I believe so, yes.
- 21 | Q. And what kind of a jet was that that Mr. Leviev would come
- 22 | in on?
- 23 A. I don't remember the exact model.
- 24 | Q. Do you remember if it was a -- well, was it a private jet?
- 25 | A. Yes, it was.

- Q. Did you have knowledge of whether it was Leviev's personal jet or whether it was rented?
 - A. I think he had rented it that trip because I remember seeing an expense account.
 - Q. Did a police escort in fact happen?
- 6 A. Yes.

3

4

- 7 | Q. So I want to direct you to that day. Did you meet
- 8 Mr. Leviev when he disembarked from this plane?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 | 0. Where?
- 11 A. We were on the tarmac at Teterboro Airport and Rotem went
- 12 | to say hello, I waved hello, Jeremy and I were in a vehicle
- 13 | together, and Mr. Leviev's bags got loaded and he sat inside a
- 14 | car in front of us, which was a blue Bentley limousine.
- 15 Q. Did you have an understanding of whose car that was?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. Whose?
- 18 A. Tamir Sapir.
- 19 Q. Who is that?
- 20 A. It's now Rotem's father-in-law. It's a man that we were
- 21 contemplating doing business with at the time.
- 22 | Q. Now what happened after Mr. Leviev got in the Bentley?
- 23 A. There was a -- we started exiting the airport and there was
- 24 | a police car waiting for us. It was in New Jersey. And the
- 25 police car drove us, with lights and sirens, a car behind us

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

Rechnitz - Direct

1 and a car in front of us, until the Lincoln Tunnel.

At the Lincoln Tunnel, Jeremy pointed out to me we're going to get waved off by different police, because that was the Jersey police, now the Port Authority, which controls the tunnel, the entire lane, the left lane of the Lincoln Tunnel, was completely available for us with no other cars. It was shut down.

- Q. What happened as you approached the tunnel?
- A. So we got waved off and we went inside the tunnel without any other cars. And when we got outside of the tunnel there were NYPD cars waiting for us which led us to the Peninsula Hotel.
- 13 | Q. Did you get waved off by Port Authority?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. Where, approximately?
- 16 A. Port Authority waved us by the tunnel.
- 17 | Q. What happened then?
- 18 A. Then when the NYPD took over, they took us through the city
- 19 until the Peninsula Hotel.
- 20 | Q. Where, approximately, was that located?
- 21 A. In the 50s between Fifth and Sixth.
- 22 | Q. What were you thinking as you were going through the
- 23 | Lincoln Tunnel?
- 24 A. This is unbelievable. Jeremy is very powerful.
- 25 | Q. And did the escort have the desired effect on Mr. Rosen and

- 1 Mr. Leviev?
- 2 A. Yes, absolutely.
- 3 | Q. How so?
- 4 A. They were very impressed. Lev had told me this was the
- 5 | treatment he gets in Russia. He was quite impressed. And then
- 6 he invited me to come along on the rest of the United States
- 7 | travel to tour properties.
- 8 | Q. Did you come to know whether any of the NYPD football team
- 9 members had connections with New Jersey law enforcement?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. Who?
- 12 A. Stephen McAllister.
- MS. NECHELES: Objection, your Honor.
- 14 THE COURT: Thank you. I accept the answer. You can
- 15 proceed.
- 16 A. Stephen McAllister.
- 17 | Q. How did you come to learn that?
- 18 A. I had met in the past Gary McCarthy, who was a friend of
- 19 Stephen McAllister, Mike Harrington, and he was the chief of
- 20 | police for Newark, and Joey Dunn, who I think was the head of
- 21 | highway or Port Authority, I don't remember which exact
- 22 | division, was a close friend of Steve McAllister, he had told
- 23 us.
- 24 | Q. Now you mentioned earlier that Reichberg had the ability to
- 25 speed people through at Port Authority venues. Can you

```
IBQTGRA5
                                Rechnitz - Direct
      describe what that means?
1
2
               MS. NECHELES: Your Honor, I object to this. This is
3
      more --
 4
               THE COURT: Thank you. Would you like to come up?
5
               MS. NECHELES: Yes.
6
               THE COURT: Please come up.
 7
               (Continued on next page)
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

(At sidebar)

THE COURT: I'm sorry, counsel, go ahead.

MS. NECHELES: Your Honor, this is more other act evidence. The bribery charged here is a bribery in Manhattan, in New York City, they bribed New York City cops. We have Floral Park, Westchester, at least those were all part of the 404(b) motions, the in limine motions. Now he wants to elicit testimony about speeding people through Immigration, Customs, I don't know, that is not part of the 404(b).

This case has become so sprawled that everything that is on Rechnitz's mind apparently comes into evidence. It has no place. We have already, as your Honor noted before, went on and on about things that are not part of the charges here.

MR. BELL: Your Honor, for what it's worth, we did not continue to go on and on about de Blasio in which that comment had originally been raised. This is not a new 404(b) act, this is the same act. What we are attempting to do is establish the depth of Mr. Reichberg's connections that made that event possible. We established the NYPD component of it, which is helpful, which is a component of it. But to be clear, we weren't up on Ms. Necheles' client's phone back in 2008. We are trying to put together piecemeal on some level how that event happened. The NYPD is one component of it, but this rounds out the picture. Frankly, I'm kind of surprised the defense don't want this.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Rechnitz - Direct

THE COURT: Could I pause you. The evidence that you're bringing in is related to the asserted lane closure in the Lincoln Tunnel and how it is that it may have been that the New Jersey Police --MR. BELL: Contributed to this. THE COURT: Right, and were inspired to do so by virtue of the contribution to New York Police force's football team? MR. BELL: Insofar as the testimony has shown, Mr. McAllister had contacts with the New Jersey police as well. Reichberg had contacts with the Port Authority Police. I am happy to not do this, I suppose, but I think that the specific objection being raised is not a valid one, and it's certainly not some sort of far-flung, out-of-left-field act, it all goes to the same act. MS. NECHELES: He's asking about something else. I didn't understand it to be about the tunnel anymore. THE COURT: Understanding what the government's proffer is, do you maintain the objection? MS. NECHELES: Are you saying that -- I thought he was asking him something about Immigration or Customs, which has

nothing to do with Lincoln Tunnel. I don't understand.

MR. BELL: It's about having contacts within the Port Again, it is difficult for us from the outside of Authority. Mr. Reichberg's criminal acts to map the entirety of how all of

this worked, but to the extent that Mr. Reichberg has contacts within the Port Authority, which we already know is one of the entities that made this escort happen, and we don't have a way through Lieutenant DeMartino, who is just a foot soldier on this, to trace the authority to put in hey, there's an Israeli diplomat coming through, this is what we're trying to do. That's the point. But the proper point is it does all go to the same point.

THE COURT: So what specifically is the question that you want to ask at this point to establish that, counsel for the United States?

MR. BELL: I have lost it up there, your Honor. I'm sorry, it's been a long day.

I'm just trying to measure Mr. Reichberg's connections to the Port Authority as Mr. Rechnitz understood them.

MS. NECHELES: Could I say something, your Honor?

This is the heart of the problem. They don't have evidence that this was a bribe, and so instead they're trying to put in speculation: Well, he knew this person, and that person has connections with New Jersey. It's just pure speculation. There is no evidence for this. And that's why this should all be stricken from this case and they should not be able to keep sort of throwing in a mishmash in here of well, he had connection over here and also had connections over here. That's not evidence. And the government can't stand up here

25

1	and say well, we don't have access to his phone. If they can't
2	prove their case, then they can't prove it. They can't just
3	put things in.
4	MR. BELL: These are reasonable inferences, Judge.
5	THE COURT: Is the question that you want to pose what
6	information Mr. Rechnitz has about Mr. Reichberg's connections
7	with the Port Authority that led to the closure?
8	MR. BELL: Just his connections to the Port Authority.
9	I don't think that Mr. Rechnitz is in a position to
10	forensically trace each of those.
11	THE COURT: So what Mr. Rechnitz knows about
12	Mr. Reichberg's connections with the Port Authority at the time
13	of the conveyance.
14	MR. BELL: Yes.
15	MS. NECHELES: Is it at the time?
16	MR. BELL: Sure.
17	MS. NECHELES: But, Judge, whatever happened in the
18	Port Authority, that would not be part of this. So if they're
19	saying maybe Mr. Rechnitz got contacts over there, this is not
20	404(b) evidence.
21	MR. BELL: I'll withdraw it. Let's keep going on.
22	THE COURT: Thank you.
23	(Continued on next page)

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

Rechnitz - Direct

1 (In open court)

> THE COURT: Thank you, counsel. I'm sorry for the interruption. Please proceed.

> > MR. BELL: Thank you, your Honor.

BY MR. BELL:

Q. You mentioned Mr. Rosen a moment ago. Had you ever arranged -- withdrawn.

At around the time that you worked with Mr. Rosen, did you enlist the NYPD in doing any favors for Mr. Rosen?

- A. Yes.
- 11 O. Of what sort?
- A. Like his son's bris. Jeremy had arranged for officers to 12 13 stand outside of the ceremony. In addition, Jeremy had found 14 me somebody we had hired from the bags and pipes division of
- 15 the NYPD to play at the ceremony.
- I would like to put up just for the witness Government 16 17 Exhibit 611.
- 18 Are you familiar with what is depicted here?
- A. Yes, I am. 19
- 20 How are you familiar with it? Ο.
- 21 This is exactly what we discussed. This is the bags and Α.
- 22 pipes NYPD. They played at the ceremony for his son's bris
- 23 that we paid.
- 24 MR. BELL: The government offers 611.
- 25 MS. NECHELES: No objection.

- 1 MR. MERINGOLO: No objection.
- 2 THE COURT: Thank you. I'm accepting Government
- Exhibit 611 into evidence. 3
- 4 (Government's Exhibit 611 received in evidence)
- 5 THE COURT: You can proceed.
- 6 MR. BELL: Could we publish that, Mr. Hamilton.
- 7 And could we take that down.
- 8 BY MR. BELL:
- 9 Q. Now a few minutes ago, Mr. Rechnitz, I asked you a number
- 10 of questions about your understanding of the relationship
- between yourself and Jeremy and the officers that you dealt 11
- 12 with over this period of time. I want to be clear here, did
- 13 you and Mr. Reichberg also discuss that arrangement?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Did you discuss the understanding that you had jointly with 15 Ο.
- the officers? 16
- 17 A. Could you repeat that? I'm not sure I understand the
- 18 question.
- Sure. Did you and Mr. Reichberg discuss the understanding 19
- 20 that you've described with the officers?
- 21 Jeremy and I have discussed it, yes. Α.
- 22 Q. And did anything about your discussions with Mr. Reichberg
- 23 disturb your understanding that you were giving the officers
- 24 things in order to get police action?
- 25 No. Α.

- 1 | Q. Did it confirm that understanding?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3 \mathbb{Q} . In what ways?
- 4 A. Many times when Jeremy said we have to give this gift or
- 5 | that gift to an officer, he would say: Come on, they have done
- 6 a lot for us, they're expecting it. We need them, we need them
- 7 | to stay happy. He's a team player.
- We were very explicit with one another on what to give and when to give it.
- 10 | Q. You talked about team players. Was there also a specific
- 11 | term for officers who did not play ball, that is, who got in
- 12 | your and Jeremy's way?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 Q. What was that term?
- 15 A. Jeremy had a list on his phone which he called the black
- 16 and blue list.
- 17 | O. What did you understand the black and blue list to be?
- 18 A. Cops who had either disrespected Jeremy or caused problems
- 19 | for him or associates of his.
- 20 | Q. What was the purpose of the black and blue list?
- 21 A. To get these cops punished by superiors that he knew.
- 22 | Q. And did you -- do you recall Mr. Reichberg or yourself
- 23 | sharing knowledge of that list with any superiors?
- 24 | A. Yes.
- 25 | Q. Who do you recall him sharing that knowledge of that list

- 1 | with?
- 2 A. Michael Harrington.
- 3 | Q. I want to put up on the witness' screen Government
- 4 | Exhibit 1021.
- 5 Are you familiar with this communication?
- 6 A. Yes, I am.
 - Q. How are you familiar with it?
- 8 A. I had sent a photo of Jeremy black and blue list to Michael
- 9 | Harrington.
- 10 | Q. And was this the response?
- 11 A. Yes.

- 12 MR. BELL: The government offers 1021.
- MS. NECHELES: No objection.
- MR. MERINGOLO: No objection.
- THE COURT: Thank you. I'm accepting Exhibit 1021
- 16 | into evidence.
- 17 (Government's Exhibit 1021 received in evidence)
- 18 THE COURT: Counsel, you can proceed.
- 19 MR. BELL: Could we publish that to the jury, please.
- 20 Q. This is an email from Mike, mjharrington1020@gmail.com.
- 21 Who did you understand Mike to be?
- 22 A. Michael Harrington.
- 23 Q. And for a time, Mr. Rechnitz, was "Mike" actually how
- 24 | Michael Harrington came up in your email?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. Now the subject line is: Black and blue, ha, ha, ha.
- 2 | There's originally a photograph at the very bottom sent on
- 3 | June 11, 2013. Is that the photograph you described a moment
- 4 | ago?

8

9

- 5 | A. Yes.
- Q. Harrington then responds: What do you think? Kelly
- 7 | running. And you respond: 100 percent.
 - What did that refer to?
 - A. Running for mayor.
- 10 Q. And then later on Harrington and responds: Get Jeremy's
- 11 | black and blue list ready.
- 12 What did you understand that to mean?
 - A. That action would be taken if Kelly wins.
- 14 | Q. And what sort of action would be taken if Kelly wins?
- 15 | A. Towards the officers on Jeremy's black and blue list.
- 16 | Q. Why would that happen if Kelly won?
- 17 A. At that time I don't remember who the connection was, but
- 18 somebody we were connected with, I think Norman, was very close
- 19 | to Ray Kelly.
- 20 MR. BELL: So let's take that down, Mr. Hamilton.
- 21 | Q. Do you recall Mr. Reichberg actually moving to get officers
- 22 | who had crossed him or persons close to him disciplined?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. About how many times would you say that happened?
- 25 A. I know in terms of me happening one time that I was

- 1 | involved with.
- 2 | Q. Tell us about that one time.
- 3 A. Ilana Freider and Guy Tanne, who worked for Paul Raps that
- 4 | we spoke about earlier, were -- their boss had a dispute with
- 5 | Lev Leviev at the time, and they felt that there was an officer
- 6 | following them wherever they were walking, and they felt
- 7 | threatened. And a few days later on they saw that fellow
- 8 working a parade in midtown and saw he was an officer and got
- 9 his badge number.
- I then gave that badge number to Jeremy and Mike
- 11 | Harrington, and Jeremy had told me that it had been taken care
- 12 of.
- MR. BELL: One moment, please.
- 14 (Pause)
- MR. BELL: So I would like to put, with Mr. Hamilton's
- 16 help, Government Exhibit 306 on the witness's screen.
- Would it be possible, Mr. Hamilton, to play a little
- 18 of that without sound.
- 19 Q. Mr. Rechnitz, as you watch this, are you familiar with this
- 20 | video?
- 21 A. Yes, I am.
- 22 MR. BELL: I ask you to play the first 20 seconds of
- 23 | it or so, then stop.
- 24 | Q. How are you familiar with this video?
- 25 | A. I took it.

- Q. And where were you at the time and what was the reason for taking it?
- 3 A. This is in a building on the Upper West Side called the
- 4 Apthorp in Apartment 2A, and it's a video of Jeremy
- 5 interviewing Ilana and Guy about what happened to them to get
- 6 all the details so he could deal with it.
- 7 MR. BELL: The government offers Government
- 8 Exhibit 306.

- MS. NECHELES: No objection.
- MR. MERINGOLO: No objection.
- 11 | THE COURT: Thank you. I'm accepting it Government
- 12 | Exhibit 306 into evidence.
- 13 (Government's Exhibit 306 received in evidence)
- 14 THE COURT: You can proceed.
- 15 MR. BELL: Could we publish that to the jury,
- 16 | Mr. Hamilton, and play from the beginning with sound.
- 17 (Video recording played)
- 18 BY MR. BELL:
- 19 Q. Mr. Rechnitz, what did you understand Mr. Tanne to be
- 20 | referring to?
- 21 A. What we just discussed, I guess the off-duty cop that was
- 22 | following him.
- 23 Q. Now did Tanne or Freider provide you with any way to figure
- 24 | out the guy's identity?
- 25 A. Yes.

6

7

8

10

Rechnitz - Direct

- 1 | Q. What did they provide you with?
 - A. Besides a photo, they had a video of him.

3 MR. BELL: So I would ask you to put just on the

4 witness's screen what's been marked for identification as

5 Government Exhibit 302.

Here, too, can you play that, Mr. Hamilton, without sound.

- Q. Are you familiar with that video?
- 9 A. Yes, I am.
 - Q. How are you familiar with it?
- 11 A. Ilana Freider sent it to me.
- MR. BELL: The government offers 302.
- MS. NECHELES: No objection.
- MR. MERINGOLO: No objection.
- 15 || THE COURT: Thank you. No objection, I'm accepting
- 16 | 302 into evidence. You can proceed.
- 17 MR. BELL: Thank you. We can publish that to the
- 18 | jury, please, this time with sound.
- 19 (Video recording played)
- 20 | Q. What did you and Mr. Reichberg do with the video that
- 21 Mr. Reichberg had recorded and this video?
- 22 | A. The video I recorded?
- Q. Sorry, the video that he recorded where Mr. Reichberg
- 24 conducted the interview, and this video.
- MS. NECHELES: Objection.

- THE COURT: Thank you. Could I ask you to rephrase the question?
- 3 MR. BELL: Sure.
- Q. The last two videos that we just saw, what was done with them, if you know?
- 6 A. I sent them to Jeremy.
- 7 | Q. For what purpose?
 - A. To try and figure out who this individual was.
- 9 Q. What came of that?
- 10 A. A few days later Ilana and Guy were walking in midtown past
 11 some parade, some event, and they saw the man from the second
- 12 video with a police uniform and his badge on. And they sent
- 13 the photo of that to me, which I then forwarded to Jeremy, and
- 14 he got Mike Harrington involved and told me that he was going
- 15 | to have this cop disciplined.
- 16 | Q. And to your knowledge, did anything come of that?
- 17 | A. Yes.

- 18 | Q. What?
- 19 A. I believe he was disciplined.
- 20 | O. Based on what?
- 21 A. What Jeremy told me.
- 22 Q. Now I want to switch gears slightly, Mr. Rechnitz. I'm
- going to ask you some questions about the early part of your
- 24 | relationship with Mr. Reichberg.
- During that period of time, what, if anything, did you

- 1 do by way of providing NYPD officials with meals?
- 2 A. In the earlier years there was a bulk group of meals, just
- 3 | a few of them. One was, for example, at Abigail's restaurant
- 4 where we went out with Stephen McAllister, some football guys
- 5 | that he brought, and guys who helped arrange everything for the
- 6 protestors removal.
- 7 Q. Who paid for those meals?
- 8 A. That meal was either me or Paul Raps.
- 9 Q. To be clear, do you recall any of the officers paying?
- 10 | A. No.
- 11 | Q. Do you recall any of the officers asking about payment?
- 12 A. No, none did.
- 13 Q. Was Abigail a venue on more than one occasion?
- 14 A. I think there were two times that we ate there. That's
- 15 | where Paul liked to go.
- 16 Q. Where else did you have these meals early on?
- 17 | A. At The Prime Grill in the old location on 49th Street
- 18 | between Park and Madison.
- 19 Q. What was the purpose of these meals from your standpoint,
- 20 Mr. Rechnitz?
- 21 | A. To treat the cops to a meal, get to know them a little
- 22 | better, joke around.
- 23 | Q. Did you discuss that purpose with Mr. Reichberg?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 | Q. What was the purpose of cultivating these relationships

- 1 | with the NYPD officers?
- 2 | A. Again, like discussed throughout the day, to get these
- 3 close relationships to get things in return, to have the image
- 4 | of being the big shot, which it ultimately hopefully attracts
- 5 | investors and other sorts of perks.
- 6 MR. BELL: I would like to have Mr. Hamilton put up on
- 7 | your screen, Mr. Rechnitz, Government Exhibit 625, 626, 627 and
- $8 \parallel 628$. If we could put them up on your screen in succession.
- 9 Q. Are you familiar with these images?
- 10 | A. Yes, I am.
- 11 Q. How are you familiar with them?
- 12 | A. I took them.
- 13 | Q. And what are these images of, generally speaking?
- 14 A. What we just discussed, different dinners at various
- 15 | restaurants we just discussed with various police officers.
- MR. BELL: The government offers 625 through 628.
- MS. NECHELES: No objection.
- 18 MR. MERINGOLO: Judge, I'm going to object. The
- 19 witness said he took those pictures but he's in those pictures.
- 20 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 21 | Q. Did you yourself take all the pictures, Mr. Rechnitz?
- 22 A. No, but I had them all on my phone.
- 23 \parallel Q. Were you in fact at all of those -- at the events depicted?
- 24 A. I was at each event, yes.
- MR. BELL: We offer the four images.

```
IBQTGRA5
                                Rechnitz - Direct
               MR. MERINGOLO: No objection.
1
               THE COURT: Thank you. I'm accepting 625, 626, 627
2
3
      and 628 into evidence.
 4
               (Government's Exhibits 625 through 628 received in
5
      evidence)
               THE COURT: You can proceed.
6
 7
               (Continued on next page)
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

- 1 MR. BELL: Can we publish 625 to the jury, please.
- 2 BY MR. BELL:

- Q. Where are you -- well, where did this picture take place?
- 4 And who do you recognize here?
 - A. I think that that's The Prime Grill.
- I recognize Michael Harrington -- I'm going from left
- 7 | up -- Michael Harrington, Stephen McAllister, Paul Raps,
- 8 somebody in between Paul and Jeremy Reichberg, I don't know the
- 9 | fellow to the right of me, and me. To the left is Chief
- 10 McCarthy, and the fellow to the left, I've seen him before at
- 11 | Jeremy's Purim party, but I don't remember his name.
- 12 | Q. Let's look now at 626.
- 13 Who do we have here?
- 14 A. From right to left, Jimmy Grant, Eric Rodriguez, me, Chief
- 15 McCarthy, and Michael Harrington.
- 16 | O. Where is this?
- 17 A. Outside at The Prime Grill.
- 18 | Q. Let's go to 627.
- 19 Who do we have here?
- 20 A. From left to right, it's David Klein from the Leviev
- 21 Jewelry store, Lisa Klein, his wife, Stephen McAllister, Paul
- 22 | Raps. The next three people, I'm not sure. Standing on the
- 23 | right is Jeremy Reichberg. Moving down is Michael Harrington,
- 24 Chief McCarthy, me, Jimmy Grant, and a fellow who I met before
- 25 | through Jeremy, I don't remember his name.

- 1 Q. 628.
- 2 Is this the same --
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 | Q. -- scene as one of the pictures we've talked about before?
- 5 A. Yes. The upper half of the table.
- 6 Q. Are these meals at which the cops paid?
- 7 A. They did not pay.
- 8 Q. As a general practice, for these meals, over this period of
- 9 | time, did any of the officers pay?
- 10 A. No, they did not.
- MR. BELL: Let's take that down.
- 12 | Q. Are you familiar with a place called the Grand Havana Club?
- 13 | A. Yes, I am.
- 14 | Q. How are you familiar with the Grand Havana Club?
- 15 A. I was a member, and it's a place where I would frequent
- 16 with Jeremy, Phil Banks, Mike Harrington, and Norman Seabrook.
- 17 | Q. What is it?
- 18 | A. It's a cigar club.
- 19 | Q. Would you take officers to the Grand Havana Club during
- 20 | this period of time?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. Would you treat them?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. To what?
- 25 A. Access to the club, alcohol, and cigars.

5

- 1 MR. BELL: One moment?
- Q. With respect to the early dinners, Mr. Rechnitz, about how much would you say those early dinners cost a head?
 - A. Anywhere between 50 to 100 dollars a head.
 - Q. Later on, when you came to have regular meals with
- 6 Harrington, Banks, and Reichberg, about how much did those
- 7 | meals cost a head?
- 8 A. I'd say the same, 50 to 100 dollars a head.
- 9 Q. With respect to the Grand Havana Club, about how much would
- 10 you say you spent per officer when you brought officers there?
- 11 A. It's hard to say, because I was a member, so everybody who
- 12 came would be granted access for free, and I would bring my own
- 13 cigars. So if they had a drink, whatever the drinks cost.
- 14 Maybe 20, 30 dollars an officer.
- 15 | Q. Is that something that -- is access to the Grand Havana
- 16 Club something that nonmembers ordinarily can't get?
- 17 A. Right. It's exclusive.
- 18 Q. Is it something that in its exclusivity, you recognize a
- 19 | value in?
- 20 A. Yes. It costs me several thousand dollars a year.
- 21 MR. BELL: One moment, please?
- 22 (Pause)
- 23 | Q. You mentioned an individual named Lin Snider.
- 24 | A. Yes?
- 25 Q. Who was Lin Snider?

- 1 A. She was the former wife of the late Ed Snider.
- 2 | Q. Who was Ed Snider?
- 3 A. Ed was a friend. He was the owner of the Philadelphia
- 4 | Flyers.
- 5 Q. Was Ed an important connection to you?
- 6 | A. Yes.
- 7 \mathbb{Q} . In what ways?
- 8 A. He was an investor, he was a big philanthropist that I
- 9 became close to, and I thought he was someone who I'd love to
- 10 be able to impress.
- 11 | Q. Did there come a point where you provided Lin Snider with a
- 12 police escort?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 Q. How?
- 15 | A. I had called Jeremy that she wanted an escort, or I had
- 16 offered it to her, and he followed up with Mike Harrington and
- 17 sent Detective Norville to go help her out.
- 18 MR. BELL: Mr. Hamilton, can you put Government
- 19 | Exhibit 1256 up on the witness' screen, please.
- 20 | Q. Are you familiar with this email?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. How are you familiar with this email?
- 23 A. It's an email that I had sent to Lin Snider.
- 24 | Q. What did it concern, generally?
- 25 A. Her address for the pickup that we just discussed.

24

25

issue."

Rechnitz - Direct

1 MR. BELL: Your Honor, the government offers 1256. MS. NECHELES: No objection. 2 3 MR. MERINGOLO: No objection. 4 THE COURT: Thank you. 5 I'm accepting Exhibit 1256 into evidence. 6 You can proceed. 7 (Government's Exhibit 1256 received in evidence) 8 MR. BELL: Thank you. 9 Can we publish that for the jury. Why don't we focus 10 on the bottom two emails first. Perfect, Mr. Hamilton. Thank 11 you. 12 BY MR. BELL: 13 Q. The first email at the bottom is April 30th, 2014, from 14 your JSR capital account: "Need your address to arrange the 15 pickup." A few minutes afterwards, Lin Snider responds: 16 17 "50 Gramercy Park North." 18 MR. BELL: Can we go up? 19 Q. Generally, by the way, for what reasons would Lin Snider be 20 in town? A. If there was a hockey game, if the Flyers were playing the 21 22 Rangers.

> SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Q. So you say: "You're all set. Unmarked police car will be

waiting for you at 6:00 p.m. Here is my cell if there's any

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Rechnitz - Direct

Snider then says: "Thanks. How long will it take to get there? Think 6:15 is too late? I'm not even at our apartment yet. I need to change and get ready."

You say: "6:15 p.m. is fine. He will get you there quickly. Black Ford Explorer, Lieutenant Norville." And there's a phone number there.

MR. BELL: You can take that down.

- Q. So, again, who did you understand Lieutenant Norville to be?
- 10 A. He was a lieutenant who worked for the chief of department's office.
- Q. How was it that he came to be providing that escort that evening?
- 14 A. Again, I asked Jeremy to speak to Mike Harrington and arrange it, and he did.
- Q. What led you to believe that speaking to Jeremy would get
 Mike Harrington to make an escort happen?
- A. Because that's the deal we had. We would discuss these
 things with each other. He dealt with the details, and I was
 dealing with the financial aspect of things.
- 21 Q. Were you familiar with an individual named Jay Lobell?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | O. Who is he?
- 24 A. He's a friend from the Upper West Side.
- 25 Q. Did Mr. Reichberg know him?

- 1 A. Through me.
- 2 | Q. Did there come a time when you arranged a police escort for
- 3 Mr. Lobell?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 MR. BELL: I'll ask Mr. Hamilton to put Government
- 6 Exhibit 1066 up for just the witness.
- 7 | Q. Are you familiar with this email?
- 8 A. Yes, I am.
- 9 MR. BELL: Can we go to the second page briefly,
- 10 Mr. Hamilton. And the third page.
- 11 | Q. How are you familiar with this email?
- 12 A. It's an email between me and Jay Lobell.
- MR. BELL: The government offers 1066.
- MS. NECHELES: No objection.
- MR. MERINGOLO: No objection.
- 16 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 17 I'm accepting Exhibit 1066 into evidence.
- 18 You can proceed.
- 19 (Government's Exhibit 1066 received in evidence)
- 20 MR. BELL: Why don't we publish that for the jury,
- 21 | Mr. Hamilton, beginning with the third page.
- 22 BY MR. BELL:
- 23 | Q. At the very bottom, you email Jay Lobell with the subject
- 24 | "Flight: JFK latest 7:15 and LaGuardia 8:15. I'll get you a
- 25 police escort for LGA."

9

15

16

- Jay Lobell says: "You're funny. Isn't there a 1 JetBlue at 9:30? I thought I took that once." 2 3 And then you say: "I'll check, but serious about the 4 escort." 5 MR. BELL: Let's take that down. I'll note that that's September 1st of 2014. 6
- 7 Let's go to page 2, please.
 - Q. At the very bottom of the page, Mr. Lobell asks: "Even from Nassau?"
- 10 You say: "Even."
- Lobell says: "Cool. Will be right back to you." 11
- 12 MR. BELL: Let's put that down. Thank you.
- 13 Q. Mr. Rechnitz, you, at 11:10 p.m., say: "Or private. Let 14 me price."
 - Mr. Lobell says: "Otherwise I suspect I bag Mehlon and take the 8:15. Waste of time to get out to Atlantic Beach to turn around before I get there."
- 18 MR. BELL: Let's go to page 1, please.
- Q. There are a couple of references to excited to get this 19 20 done.
- 21 Did you have business pending with Mr. Lobell?
- 22 Α. Yes.
- 23 Is that what's being referred to here? Ο.
- 24 Α. Yes.
- 25 MR. BELL: Let's take that down. Sorry, just the

- 1 | blowup box.
- 2 BY MR. BELL:
- 3 Q. Now, did you, in fact, to your recollection, provide
- 4 Mr. Lobell with an expedited ride that evening?
- 5 | A. I did.
- 6 Q. How did you do so?
- 7 A. I think Jeremy had arranged for Nussy Josephy to ride him 8 from my recollection.
- 9 MR. BELL: Let's take that down.
- Can you put 1022 up just for the witness for the moment. And let's take that down. Thank you.
- 12 Q. Now, Mr. Rechnitz, are you familiar with the term
- 13 "ticket-fixing"?
- 14 A. Yes, I am.
- 15 | Q. What do you understand ticket-fixing to be?
- 16 A. When somebody gets a ticket or a moving violation, with the
- 17 | right connection, a cop can get rid of that ticket, so you
- 18 | don't get points.
- 19 | Q. During the time period we have been describing, the time
- 20 period when you and Reichberg had this understanding, did you
- 21 | facilitate any ticket-fixing?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | O. How?
- 24 A. I would get tickets from friends or family and give them to
- 25 Jeremy.

- Q. What was your understanding of what Mr. Reichberg did once you gave him the tickets?
- A. That he had friends in the police department who would get rid of them.
- Q. Now, were there ever points when Mr. Reichberg had lawyers who would assist?
 - A. Yes.

- 8 Q. Was that all of the time, most of the time? How frequent?
- 9 A. No, once in a while. In the later years of our
- 10 relationship, I think ticket-fixing became something that was
- 11 out there in the media, and, you know, it was less easy to do.
- 12 So he would also get lawyers involved for the cases.
- 13 | Q. Prior to the point where ticket-fixing became a more public
- 14 deal, did you understand that you were sending these people to
- 15 | Jeremy, so that he could get people lawyers?
- 16 | A. No.
- 17 | Q. What were you sending people to Jeremy to do?
- 18 A. To get the tickets eradicated, fixed.
- 19 Q. You mentioned that the reason why or a reason why
- 20 | ticket-fixing would be useful was because people might get
- 21 points on their license. What are points?
- 22 | A. When you have points on your license, your insurance goes
- 23 up, and with too many points, you can get a suspended driver's
- 24 license.
- 25 | Q. Why does that matter?

2671

IBOKGRA6

1 Because you won't be able to drive if you get too many

- points, and it will become costly for insurance, the more 2
- 3 points you have.
- 4 So why not just pay the ticket as opposed to having Q.
- 5 somebody pay a fellow like Mr. Reichberg?
- Because if you pay the ticket, you still get points. 6 Α.
- 7 Now, as part of these referrals for ticket-fixing, would
- you have tickets actually delivered to Mr. Reichberg? 8
- 9 Α. Yes.
- 10 In what sorts of ways? Ο.
- 11 Α. Email, text message.
- 12 I'd like to show you --
- 13 If we can just put these on the witness' MR. BELL:
- screen, Government Exhibits 1033 -- let's just do 1033 for the 14
- moment. And then 1101. And 1102. 15
- 16 Are you familiar with these emails, Mr. Rechnitz?
- 17 Yes, I am. Α.
- 18 How are you familiar with them?
- 19 They're between me, and Jeremy, and the fellow who got the
- 20 ticket, Adam.
- 21 And, generally, what do they concern? Q.
- 22 A ticket -- a copy of the ticket, the ticket number.
- 23 The government offers Government Exhibits
- 24 1033, 1101, and 1102.
- 25 MS. NECHELES: No objection.

IBQKGRA6	Rechnitz	_	Direct

MR. MERINGOLO: No objection. 1 THE COURT: Thank you. 2 3 I'm accepting 1033, 1101, and 1102 into evidence. 4 You can proceed. 5 (Government's Exhibits 1033, 1101, and 1102 received in evidence) 6 7 MR. BELL: We've been going at a healthy trot, your I wonder if this would be a good time for a quick 8 9 stretch break for the jury? 10 THE COURT: Fine. I'd be happy to take a short 11 recess. 12 Ladies and gentlemen, during this case, as always, 13 don't talk about the case, don't communicate about it with 14 anyone else, and don't do any research into any of the case or 15 anyone involved in it. I'll see you all back here shortly. 16 (Continued on next page) 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1 (Jury not present) 2 THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, you can be seated. 3 Mr. Bell, thank you for raising that. Does any defendant need to be excused for a brief break? Shall we take 4 5 a break now? MS. NECHELES: I need to be excused. 6 7 THE COURT: Thank you. So let's take a short five-minute recess. When we 8 9 come back, we'll bring back the jury. I'll see you all 10 shortly. 11 MR. BELL: Thank you, your Honor. 12 (Recess) 13 THE COURT: Thank you. You can be seated. 14 Mr. Daniels, can you please bring the jury back in. 15 Counsel, while we're waiting for the jury to come in, it's my thought to end our trial day around 3:00. Mr. Bell, if 16 17 you can try to aim for that time. 18 MR. BELL: I'll do what I can, Judge. 19 THE COURT: Good. If you want to extend beyond that, 20 please let me know, but I want to try to end around then. 21 MR. BELL: Understood. 22 (Continued on next page) 23 24 25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

Rechnitz - Direct

1 (Jury present)

THE COURT: Thank you. Ladies and gentlemen, you can all be seated.

Thank you.

Mr. Bell, you can proceed.

MR. BELL: Thank you, your Honor.

BY MR. BELL:

- Q. I believe that we just got 1033, 1101, and 1102 admitted.
- MR. BELL: I'd like to publish 1033 to the jury. Can we go to the second page, please. Can we focus in on the two exchanges here.
- 12 Q. There's an email exchange between you and an Adam
- 13 | Westreich. Who is Adam Westreich?
- 14 A. A friend of mine.
- Q. And Westreich writes, on October 22nd of 2013: "Just got ticket for an illegal right turn in Manhattan. Know anyone?"
- What did you understand that to be a request for?
- 18 \parallel A. Ticket-fixing.
- Q. You then say: "Yes. Plead not guilty and give me letter when it comes."
- 21 MR. BELL: Let's take that blowup box down and go to 22 the first page and the bottom two or three volleys.
- 23 Q. Westreich responds: "Not quilty with no defense?"
- You say: "Wait until Friday to respond. I'll tell you what to do. Stand by."

22

23

24

25

Rechnitz - Direct

1 A couple of days pass, and Mr. Westreich writes three days later: "Just following up." 2 3 MR. BELL: Let's go to the top of the page. 4 "Hate to be a pain. If nothing to be done, I'll hire an Q. 5 attorney." 6 And instead of Mr. Westreich hiring an attorney, you 7 respond to Westreich and Reichberg: "Jeremy, meet Adam. has an issue to discuss with you." 8 9 Prior to sending that email out, had you alerted 10 Mr. Reichberg to this issue? 11 Α. Yes. 12 Q. What had you told him? 13 A. Yes, I told him that Adam was a friend who needed 14 assistance to get rid of his ticket, and he could be a charge case, he could make money on it. 15 What did you mean by "a charge case"? 16 17 Jeremy could charge him money for it. MR. BELL: Let's take that down, and let's go to 1101. 18 19 Let's focus on the top half of the page, please. 20 Q. Westreich, as part of the same thread, says: "Thanks,

Jona. Jeremy, nice to meet you. See attached ticket I received for illegal right turn onto Madison Avenue and 37th Street. Cop didn't seem to care that I am Hatzolah EMS or that I have a perfect driving record. Is this something you can help with?"

- 1 | What is Hatzolah?
- 2 A. The volunteer ambulatory service.
- 3 Q. Is that the same volunteer ambulance service that Nussy
- 4 | Josephy was affiliated with?
 - A. Yes.

- 6 Q. Jeremy responds: "Hi. Do you have the name of the cop?"
- 7 Westreich responds: "No. Can't make it out on the
- 8 | ticket either. He's from Precinct 17, and there is a badge
- 9 number."
- MR. BELL: Can we put up 1102, please. Can we go to,
- 11 | I guess, the top two-thirds or so, from that point up. A
- 12 | little over. Thanks.
- 13 Q. Westreich responds: "Jeremy following up. Can anything be
- 14 | done?"
- 15 | Westreich responds: "Should I just assume nothing can
- 16 be done from your end, and I will hire an attorney?"
- 17 Mr. Reichberg responds: "Sorry. Are you available to
- 18 meet on Monday at 2:30 p.m., so I can discuss it with you?"
- 19 Did you ever hear back from Jeremy as to how this
- 20 | went?
- 21 | A. No.
- MR. BELL: Let's take that down.
- I want to put up for the witness Government Exhibits
- 24 | 1048 and 1065.
- 25 Q. Are you familiar with these email exchanges?

- 1 A. Yes, I am.
- 2 | Q. Beginning with the one on the left, how are you familiar
- $3 \parallel \text{ with it?}$
- 4 A. I'm in the email.
- 5 | Q. Who is it between?
- 6 A. Shea Schwebel and myself.
- 7 | Q. Who is Shea Schwebel?
- 8 A. A friend.
- 9 | Q. That's January 18th of 2014.
- 10 The one on the right, 1065, are you familiar with that
- 11 | email?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 | 0. Who is it to?
- 14 A. Between me, Shea, and Jeremy.
- 15 | Q. And that is August 29, 2014.
- MR. BELL: Your Honor, the government offers 1048 and
- 17 | 1065.
- 18 MR. MERINGOLO: No objection.
- MS. NECHELES: No objection.
- 20 Your Honor, can I just have the attachment? 1065.
- 21 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 22 Counsel for the United States, is there an attachment?
- MR. BELL: These are both one-page documents.
- 24 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 25 I'm accepting 1048 and --

MS. NECHELES: Your Honor, we would object without the 1 attachments. We would ask the attachments be included. 2 3 THE COURT: Thank you. 4 MR. BELL: May I briefly confer with Ms. Necheles and 5 potentially save us a sidebar? 6 THE COURT: Thank you. Please take your time. 7 MS. NECHELES: Your Honor, we'll continue to discuss this later. 8 9 THE COURT: Thank you. 10 I'm accepting 1048 and 1065 into evidence. 11 You can proceed. 12 (Government's Exhibits 1048 and 1065 received in 13 evidence) 14 MR. BELL: Thank you, your Honor. 15 Can we publish 1048 to the jury, please. Just 1048. 16 Can we focus in on the bottom two. Actually, the bottom three. 17 BY MR. BELL: Q. So, on January the 17th of 2014, at 9:27, Schwebel writes: 18 "Sorry to bother you with this. Got these two notices in the 19 20 mail today. Let me know if you need me to do anything. Have a 21 good shabbos. Shea." 22 Then there are two what appear to be attachments 23 labeled "Suspension Notice" and some numbers. 24 Do you recall what these were? 25 I believe that they were suspension notices for his son who

- 1 got pulled over.
- 2 Q. You then say: "Perfect. I'll handle. Thanks."
- And then a few hours -- well, that's at 12:25 p.m.
- Then at 9:53 a.m., Jeremy Reichberg wrote: "I'll handle. Have a great Shabbos."
- Do you recall, by the way, where you were in January of 2014?
- 8 A. I think I was in Los Angeles.
- 9 Q. Did you have an understanding of where Jeremy Reichberg
 10 was?
- 11 A. In New York.
- 12 | Q. Is there a time difference between those two locations?
- 13 A. Three hours.
- MR. BELL: Let's put that down. Rather, let's keep
 the exhibit up, sorry. Well, actually, yes, let's take the
 whole thing down. Thank you.
- Can we put up 1065. Can we focus in on the bottom message, please.
- Q. So in this email, Shea Schwebel writes, on August 9, 2014, at 4:08: "Got this in the mail. Hearing pushed off till 6/30/15."
- There is an attachment, or at least sign of an attachment, called "sheaschwebelhearingnotice.PDF."
- 24 What did you understand that to be, Mr. Rechnitz?
- 25 A. A notice pushing off his hearing.

- 1 | Q. And what was the hearing related to?
- 2 A. His ticket.
- 3 MR. BELL: So let's jump out of that blowup box,
- 4 Mr. Hamilton, but keep the exhibit up, please.
- 5 | Q. There is an email from you to Shea Schwebel and Yirmi
- 6 Reichberg. Who was Yirmi, with a Y, Reichberg?
- 7 A. Jeremy.
- 8 Q. That's just a smiley face. What was the message behind
- 9 | that smiley face?
- 10 A. That he was successful in pushing off the ticket hearing.
- MR. BELL: Let's take that down.
- Can we publish, just for the witness, what's been
- 13 marked for identification as Government Exhibits 1063 and 1090.
- 14 Can we focus in on the text of each.
- 15 | Q. Are you familiar with these emails, sir?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. How are you familiar with them?
- 18 A. I'm in the email.
- 19 Q. Who are they with?
- 20 A. A friend, Judah Wassner and Michael Wassner.
- 21 | Q. Are Michael and Judah Wassner related in some way?
- 22 A. Father and son.
- 23 MR. BELL: The government offers 1063 and 1090.
- MS. NECHELES: No objection.
- THE COURT: Thank you, counsel.

IBOKGRA6 Rechnitz - Direct

- 1 MR. MERINGOLO: No objection.
- THE COURT: Thank you. 2
- 3 I'm accepting 1063 and 1090 into evidence.
- 4 You can proceed.
 - (Government's Exhibits 1063 and 1090 received in evidence)
- 7 MR. BELL: Thank you.
 - Can we publish 1063 to the jury, please. Can we just focus in on the text.
- BY MR. BELL: 10

5

6

8

- 11 Q. The bottom email is between Wassner and yourself. It says:
- 12 "Hey Jona. Got pulled over by a Nazi state police on Friday
- 13 for passing on the right. Totally not my fault. Long story.
- 14 I'll tell you on the phone. Is there anything you can do about
- 15 it? Let me know, please, because I have to respond or appear
- in two weeks. Sorry to bother you. 16 Thanks."
- 17 You then forward that email to Jeremy Reichberg with a
- message: "To discuss." 18
- 19 What was your intention with respect to that
- 20 August 12, 2014 email, "To discuss"?
- 21 For Jeremy to fix the ticket. Α.
- 22 Did you ultimately discuss it with Mr. Reichberg?
- 23 Α. Yes.
- 24 What, if anything, came of it? 0.
- 25 I believe he was successful in getting rid of the ticket. Α.

- 1 MR. BELL: Let's take that down, and let's look at
- 2 | 1090.
- 3 BY MR. BELL:
- 4 | Q. Are you familiar with wassnerjl@aol.com?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 | Q. Whose email address is that?
- 7 A. Judah Wassner.
- 8 Q. This email was sent on April 7, 2013, to Jeremy Reichberg;
- 9 "Subject: Status." Wassner writes: "Hope all is good. I'm
- 10 | just looking for the status of an old ticket of mine, " and
- 11 | there are some numbers. Thanks, Judah Wassner."
- 12 Had you introduced Wassner to Reichberg prior to that
- 13 point?
- 14 | A. Yes.
- MR. BELL: Let's take that down.
- Let's put up for the witness Government Exhibit 1092.
- 17 | Q. Are you familiar with this email?
- 18 | A. Yes, I am.
- 19 | Q. How are you familiar with it?
- 20 A. I was involved in the case. David Scharf reached out to me
- 21 to get Jeremy involved.
- 22 MR. BELL: The government offers 1092.
- MS. NECHELES: No objection.
- MR. MERINGOLO: No objection.
- THE COURT: Thank you.

- 1 I'm accepting 1092 into evidence.
- 2 You can proceed.
- 3 (Government's Exhibit 1092 received in evidence)
- 4 MR. BELL: Let's publish that, Mr. Hamilton.
- 5 BY MR. BELL:
- 6 Q. Mr. Rechnitz, did you know a person named Y. David Scharf?
- 7 | A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Who is Mr. Scharf?
 - A. A friend and a former attorney of mine.
- 10 | Q. Did he practice at a law firm called Morrison Cohen LLP?
- 11 | A. Yes.

- 12 | Q. So in the below email, Mr. Scharf writes to Jeremy
- 13 Reichberg: "J, the chairman of my law firm's son got clocked
- 14 doing 96 miles per hour on 684 by a state trooper. Can you
- 15 | help?"
- Mr. Reichberg writes: "For you, of course."
- 17 All of this on June 9th of 2013.
- Did you have an understanding of Mr. Scharf and
- 19 Mr. Reichberg having dealings with each other?
- 20 A. Just through me, yes.
- 21 MR. BELL: Let's take that down.
- 22 Can we put up, just for the witness, Government
- 23 | Exhibit 1106.
- 24 | Q. Do you know an individual named Seymour Huberfeld?
- 25 A. I think it's an English name. Huberfeld, I'm not sure.

- 1 | Q. Generally, did you know the Huberfeld family?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 | Q. What members of the Huberfeld family were you closest to?
- 4 A. Marie and Laura, but I now realize who Seymour is.
- 5 Q. And did you know him?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 | Q. Was he known by other names?
- 8 A. Shalom.
- 9 Q. Did you have an understanding of there being a relationship
- 10 at this point between Mr. Reichberg and Mr. Huberfeld?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. And what role, if any, did you have in bringing that
- 13 | relationship into being?
- 14 A. I introduced them.
- MR. BELL: The government offers 1106.
- MS. NECHELES: No objection.
- 17 MR. MERINGOLO: No objection.
- 18 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 19 I'm accepting Exhibit 1106 into evidence.
- 20 (Government's Exhibit 1106 received in evidence)
- 21 MR. BELL: We're publishing that, Mr. Hamilton, to the
- 22 | jury as it's on the screen.
- 23 BY MR. BELL:
- 24 | Q. This is an email from Seymour Huberfeld, or Shalom
- 25 | Huberfeld, on November 5, 2013, at 6:30 p.m., to Jeremy

1	Reichberg; "Subject: My wife's tickets."
2	Huberfeld says: "Hearing is on November the 8th. Are
3	you taking care of this, or does my wife need to go?"
4	Mr. Rechnitz, did you even have a role in putting
5	Seymour Huberfeld and Jeremy Reichberg together for purposes of
6	this ticket?
7	A. No.
8	Q. Did you understand that at times did you have an
9	understanding that at times persons you had introduced
10	Reichberg to would contact him without going through you?
11	A. Yes.
12	MR. BELL: Let's take that down.
13	At this time, the government would offer Government
14	Exhibit W-04026. This is a wiretap conversation pursuant to
15	the wiretap stipulation.
16	THE COURT: Thank you.
17	Counsel?
18	MS. NECHELES: One minute, your Honor?
19	No objection, your Honor.
20	MR. MERINGOLO: No objection, Judge.
21	THE COURT: Thank you.
22	I'm accepting W-04026 into evidence.
23	You can proceed.
24	(Government's Exhibit W-04026 received in evidence)
25	MR. BELL: One moment, please?

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

19

Rechnitz - Direct

(Pause)

So with the Court's permission, I would ask 2 MR. BELL:

3 the jury to go back to their binders, go to tab W-04026, 4026.

If you're having a good time with the screens, I suppose you

could also just follow along with the screens. 4026-T, which

corresponds with 4026, just admitted.

7 With the Court's permission, I'd ask to have that call

It's a February 24, 2015 call at 4:43 p.m. between played.

Mr. Reichberg and Mr. Rechnitz.

BY MR. BELL:

Mr. Rechnitz, you can follow along on the screen.

MR. BELL: If everybody's got it -- great.

Go ahead, Mr. Hamilton.

(Audio playback)

- 15 Q. So, Mr. Rechnitz, at this point, when you and Mr. Reichberg
- are discussing lifting a suspension, and putting it back on the 16
- 17 calendar, and dollar figures, what are you discussing here?
- We're discussing a ticket for my friend's son. 18 Α.
 - What is it that the money that's being mentioned is for? Q.
- 20 To pay somebody \$500 to get rid of the ticket in addition
- 21 to fees that have to be paid to the state.
- 22 What kind of somebody do you understand the somebody to be,
- 23 Mr. Rechnitz?
- 24 I believe it to be somebody in the NYPD.
- 25 Now let's take that down. MR. BELL:

- Q. In the time we have remaining, I'd like to ask you some questions about some specific things that you and Mr. Reichberg did for Mr. Grant.
 - Did you know where Grant lived?
- 5 | A. Yes.

4

- Q. Where did he live?
- 7 A. Staten Island.
- Q. And what, if anything, were you aware of either yourself or
- 9 Jeremy doing with respect to Grant's house?
- 10 A. We had paid for some railing work to be done on his house
- 11 and something to do with some windows.
- 12 | Q. What conversations, if any, do you recall having with
- 13 Mr. Reichberg about the railings?
- 14 A. I remember not wanting to pay for it, it was something
- around \$6,000, and Jeremy said: No, we got to do it for him.
- 16 He's been good to us, he's expecting it. I would do it if I
- 17 | could to it on my own. I just don't have the money. We got to
- 18 do it. I already committed.
- 19 | Q. Did you lay out money for the railings?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 \parallel Q. In what form?
- 22 | A. I don't remember how I paid, but probably cash. I only
- 23 paid for part of it.
- 24 | Q. Who did you understand was going to be paying for the rest?
- 25 A. Jeremy.

- Q. You also mentioned windows. To what extent did you and
 Mr. Reichberg discuss windows?
 - A. The house that Jeremy wanted some work done for some windows, and I did not want to partake in that.
 - Q. Did Mr. Reichberg ask you to partake in that?
- 6 | A. Yes.

3

4

5

7

12

13

14

15

- Q. What, if anything, came of that?
- A. Nothing that I'm aware of. I just know that the railing got done.
- Q. Now, you testified earlier that you got James Grant an upgrade on a watch. How did you come to do that, Mr. Rechnitz?
 - A. We had it had been known from Jeremy that I purchased some watches for other people, so Jimmy one day came down to meet us, and he said, do I know any watch store we could trade a Breitling for another watch. So as he came to the store, I saw the watch he wanted to buy and what he wanted to trade in,
- and, ultimately, he traded his watch, and I paid the difference
- 18 for him as a gift.
- 19 Q. About what was the difference?
- 20 A. Under a thousand dollars.
- MR. BELL: Can we put up Government Exhibit 915, please, which I believe is already in evidence.
- MR. MERINGOLO: Judge, can we get a time frame when this happened?
- THE COURT: Thank you.

- 1 Counsel, you can proceed.
- 2 MR. BELL: Thank you.
- 3 Can we put up Government Exhibit 915, please.
- 4 BY MR. BELL:

- 5 Q. Let's get a time frame. Let's focus in on the top half of 6 this.
- 7 Well, first of all, are you familiar with the transaction memorialized in this paperwork? 8
 - Yes. This is the transaction we just spoke about. Α.
 - According to this document, when did it happen? Ο.
- 11 Α. December 24th, 2015.
- 12 Does that accord with your memory?
- 13 I don't remember exactly when it was. Α.
- 14 Now, it says here -- withdrawn. Q.
- And so it says: "Paid Difference: 800." 15
- 16 What do you understand that to be referring to?
- 17 The \$800 difference I just spoke about between the trade-in 18 and the new watch.
- 19 MR. BELL: Can we zoom back out, Mr. Hamilton.
- 20 Q. Now, I want to show you what's in evidence now -- hang on a 21 second.
- 22 (Counsel confer)
- 23 MR. BELL: I'd like to approach the witness and hand
- 24 him what I believe is already in as Defense Exhibit JR-9201.
- 25 THE COURT: Please proceed.

- 1 BY MR. BELL:
- 2 | Q. Have you gotten the opportunity to review that exhibit
- 3 prior to your testimony right now?
- 4 | A. Yes.
- 5 | Q. That exhibit has already been entered in as a compilation
- 6 of records of transactions you were involved in with Motion In
- 7 Time.
- To your knowledge, does that collection include every transaction that you had with Motion In Time?
- 10 | A. No.
- 11 | Q. Are there transactions missing?
- 12 | A. Yes.
- 13 Q. What transactions are missing?
- 14 A. The Breitling I bought for Dave Colon, the Breitling I
- 15 | bought for Fernando Mateo, other watches. A Rolex, I notice,
- 16 | is missing.
- 17 Q. Generally, when you did business with Motion In Time, how
- 18 | did you pay?
- 19 A. Sometimes by check, sometimes credit card, sometimes cash.
- 20 | Q. Are there transactions within there -- and I'd ask you to
- 21 | review the exhibit -- that you paid for in cash?
- 22 | A. Any of the ones I could recall for cash, I don't see here.
- 23 Q. Thank you.
- 24 So just so we have an understanding of the complete
- 25 picture, do you recall purchasing watches or upgrades on

- 1 | watches for officers other than David Colon and Jimmy Grant?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Who?
- 4 A. Steve McAllister.
- 5 | Q. What did you get for Mr. McAllister?
- 6 A. A Chopard watch.
- 7 Q. Is that transaction in there; that is, the defense exhibit?
- 8 A. I believe so.
- 9 Q. Can you locate it, if it is, and just tell us what page
- 10 | it's on?
- 11 | A. The second -- the second page.
- 12 Q. Thank you.
- Now, did there come a time when you took officers on a
- 14 | private jet trip to Las Vegas?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 | Q. Approximately when was that?
- 17 A. For the Super Bowl in 2013.
- 18 Q. In twenty what year?
- 19 | A. I think it was 2013 -- 2014.
- 20 Q. And how did you get there?
- 21 A. Private jet.
- 22 | Q. Who made arrangements for the private jet?
- 23 | A. I did.
- 24 Q. About how much did it cost you?
- 25 A. About 30, 35 thousand dollars.

- 1 Q. Did you make the arrangement through a particular company?
- 2 | A. Yes.

- 3 Q. What company was that?
- 4 A. Apollo Jets.
- 5 | Q. How long were you in Vegas once you got there?
- 6 A. Just for the Super Bowl, in and out, overnight.
 - Q. Who did you take with you?
- 8 A. Marco Franco, Jeremy Reichberg, Mike Milici, James Grant,
- 9 and Gabi Grecko.
- 10 | Q. Who did you understand Gabi Grecko to be?
- 11 A. A prostitute.
- 12 | Q. And who is Marco Franco?
- 13 A. A friend of mine.
- 14 Q. How did Marco Franco wind up on the trip?
- 15 A. I had invited him to come along.
- 16 | Q. How did Jeremy Reichberg and the officers come to join you
- 17 on that trip?
- 18 A. Jeremy had told me that Jimmy Grant wanted to come on the
- 19 | trip, he really wanted to come see the Super Bowl in Vegas with
- 20 | us. And I explained to Jeremy that this was a one-time a year
- 21 | that I go and I meet from friends from L.A., it's my break to
- 22 | get away, and I didn't want a cop or cop world with me there,
- 23 and that I would not be hanging out with them, and I thought it
- 24 was a bad idea.
- Q. What was the response that you got?

- 1 A. He said: No, we're going to watch it on our own. We won't
- 2 | be in your way. I already told him he can come. Let's go,
- 3 come on. So I agreed.
- 4 | Q. Did you, in fact, travel with Jeremy and other persons he
- 5 | brought along?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 | Q. And who did he bring along?
- 8 A. Mike Milici, Gabi Grecko, and Jimmy Grant.
- 9 Q. Did you know Gabi prior to your boarding the private plane?
- 10 | A. No.
- 11 Q. Had you traveled with Mike Milici before?
- 12 | A. Yes.
- 13 \mathbb{Q} . Where to?
- 14 A. The Dominican Republic.
- 15 | Q. What was your understanding of why Jimmy Grant was being
- 16 | brought along for that trip?
- 17 | A. He wanted a trip to get away, and it was something as a
- 18 gift, to take along -- to take him along for an all expense
- 19 paid trip.
- 20 | O. How about Milici?
- 21 A. Same thing.
- 22 | Q. And whose idea was each of those things?
- 23 A. Jeremy's.
- 24 | Q. Who was -- as per Marco Franco, whose idea was it to bring
- 25 | him along?

1	A. Mine.
2	MR. BELL: We are nearing a good stopping point, I
3	think. We can ask more about the trip tomorrow.
4	THE COURT: Good. That's fine.
5	So, ladies and gentlemen, it's about 3:00 o'clock.
6	I'm going to propose that we end our trial day now. During
7	this break, as always, ladies and gentlemen, please don't
8	discuss the case at all amongst yourselves, don't discuss it or
9	communicate about it with anyone else, and don't do any
10	research about the case. To the extent you see any press about
11	the case, don't read it or pay any attention to it.
12	I'll see you all tomorrow. Thank you very much.
13	(Continued on next page)
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	(Jury not present)
2	THE COURT: Thank you, ladies and gentlemen. You can
3	be seated.
4	Mr. Rechnitz, thank you for your testimony today. You
5	can step down. Please be prepared to recommence tomorrow
6	morning no later than 9:00.
7	So, counsel, I'd like to talk about a few things.
8	First, are there any issues that any party would like to raise
9	with the Court?
10	First counsel for the United States?
11	MR. BELL: I don't think so, your Honor. Oh, wait.
12	MS. LONERGAN: Your Honor, one moment. If we are
13	actually going to discuss things, would it be okay for our
14	paralegals to put some transcripts into the jury's transcript
15	binders during this discussion right now?
16	THE COURT: Thank you.
17	Has the defense seen them and agreed that they are
18	accurate?
19	MS. LONERGAN: They were produced to the defense, yes,
20	your Honor.
21	MR. MERINGOLO: When?
22	MS. LONERGAN: Your Honor, actually, we'll wait to do
23	it till tomorrow, if that's okay with the Court.
24	THE COURT: That's fine. I don't mind at all you
25	taking the time. I just want to make sure that the defense is

Rechnitz - Direct

1	also in agreement that those should be made.
2	Counsel, any other comments or issues that you'd like
3	to bring to my attention?
4	First, counsel for Defendant Reichberg?
5	MS. NECHELES: Your Honor, I have a little
6	housekeeping matter.
7	THE COURT: Please proceed.
8	MS. NECHELES: I would just request that lawyers from
9	my office or investigators be allowed to sit in the front row,
10	as traditionally the lawyers are. For some reason, it's
11	labeled government.
12	THE COURT: Thank you.
13	Are there additional lawyers or people working with
14	your office who would like to sit there?
15	MS. NECHELES: Yes.
16	THE COURT: We may be able to move you forward a
17	table. I'll talk with the people that make dispositions of the
18	seating arrangements.
19	MS. NECHELES: Part of the reason I would ask that for
20	the lawyers I understand that they didn't want family
21	members sitting there so that I can talk to them, if I need
22	to.
23	THE COURT: Thank you.
24	Let me consider that issue, and I will come back to
25	you

25

Rechnitz - Direct

1 MS. NECHELES: Thank you. 2 THE COURT: -- on it promptly. 3 MS. NECHELES: Lawyers or paralegals. 4 THE COURT: Thank you. Understood. Again, there's an 5 extra table that's available at the front, and it may be that 6 we can move you forward, and place your legal assistants also 7 at the back table, and continue to reserve the bench in the audience. 8 9 Counsel for Mr. Grant, any issues you'd like to raise? 10 MR. MERINGOLO: No, nothing. THE COURT: So, counsel, just a couple of things that 11 12 I'd like to talk about. 13 First is the limiting instruction that I described 14 briefly at the sidebar. We've discussed this issue previously. 15 I've now heard what I understand will be Mr. Rechnitz's testimony regarding alleged -- I'll say his and Mr. Reichberg's 16 17 participation allegedly with Mr. Offinger and the office of the The issue that I'd like to discuss is the propriety of 18 mayor. a limiting instruction to make it clear to the jury that the 19 20 type of conduct that we just heard testimony about; namely, campaign donations and campaign donations with some expectation 21 22 of that politician will help to arrange meetings and the like is not itself a criminal act. 23

I don't think that that's a question, as a matter of law, after McDonnell. My concern is that the jury, having

Rechnitz - Direct

heard that testimony in the midst of a series of comments about other acts, may take that conduct as itself being illegal, improper conduct. I described the conduct separate and apart from the strawman donations that were described by

Mr. Rechnitz, which I understand to more clearly potentially be a violation of law.

But, counsel for the United States, I'd like to hear your views. I'm looking at the defendants' proposed limiting instruction and Attachment B to their October 25th letter, which specifically addresses this issue. Now that I've heard the testimony, I am concerned, as I said, that the jury may take that conduct as having been illegal as opposed to not illegal. I'd like to take up the question of whether I should amplify the limiting instruction that I previously provided with respect to Mr. Rechnitz's testimony.

(Continued on next page)

MR. BELL: Thank you, Judge.

Judge, our issues are the same as what they were before, but I think it's important to properly appreciate

Mr. Rechnitz's testimony, first and foremost, particularly now that it's in.

Let's be clear, Mr. Rechnitz testified as to having an explicit understanding with Mr. Reichberg and developing an explicit understanding with a representative of a future political official that he would in fact get action, specifically get action, not just access, action in response to those campaign donations.

Now we recognize that this sort of quid pro quo operates differently than it would, say, as to Mr. Seabrook in the private honest services realm, because there are First Amendment issues with the donations. But even with those, let's be clear, the question is whether or not there is an explicit exchange, A, of actual official action, B, and both we respectfully but strenuously submit are fulfilled by the testimony.

Mr. Rechnitz testified as to several specific ways in which he benefited in with respect to the preschool issue on the Upper East Side in which the people affiliated with that preschool were involved with an issue of whether or not they would have to close, per direction of the city, that Ross Offinger fixed that issue. The water bill being lowered, which

is about as official an action as you can have, for the Sunset Park property that Mr. Rechnitz described, is something that he also specifically described.

THE COURT: Just to pause you --

MR. BELL: Sure.

THE COURT: -- with respect to the preschool issue,

Mr. Rechnitz testified that the preschool was allowed to stay
in the space.

With respect to the others, my recollection of the testimony was that Mr. Rechnitz stated that Ross put the relevant people in touch with the departments to get an answer regarding various issues. He responded in that way with respect to the history of the series of issues that were described.

MR. BELL: That was true, your Honor, with respect to Ocean Parkway property, another area that Rechnitz talked about in that same litany, but the testimony involving lowering the water bill is not only unequivocal in terms of his actually getting a result, but unequivocal in terms of whether that constitutes an act.

Moreover, even before you get to the ways in which Mr. Rechnitz and persons associated with him were able to cash in as a result of that arrangement, you have still got the issue of conspiracy. And if the conspiracy exists, if the agreement exists where we are going to get actual results on

the official action front as a result of the donations that we're making, then we're still stuck in the exact same place that we were, which is even understanding the more stringent limitations as they apply to political donations, how is this not illegal? Even post *McDonald*, how is that not illegal?

The solution to us --

THE COURT: Counsel, so it's clear to the United
States Attorney's Office, I don't understand in this instance
why it is that the government seeks to put on evidence here of
these uncharged acts in this case with respect to this conduct.
And the issue here, as I understand it, is that the government
wishes to put on evidence that this set of conduct is itself
illegal and violates the law. If you're not taking that
position, it's not clear to me why it is that the kind of
limiting instruction described by the defendants in the
Attachment B to the original letters, is inappropriate here in
order to eliminate possible confusion by the jury since you're
not asking them to find that this conduct was itself illegal.

MR. BELL: There are a couple of reasons, your Honor. One of them is something that we have done our part to try to dance elegantly around but is still an issue, and it's an issue that we fronted to the Court before, which is that this is conduct that Mr. Rechnitz admitted to as part of his guilty plea.

There is the still-lingering concern that what will

happen now is on cross-examination either Ms. Necheles or Mr. Meringolo or both are going to essentially ask a line of questioning that will suggest that Mr. Rechnitz, in his zeal to please the government, pled guilty to things that weren't actually crimes. If we're foregoing that sort of testimony entirely, and if we can get that representation from the defense, then it's a slightly different issue. But these are things that he pled guilty to. And if they are going to elicit that fact on cross, then it's still a problem, and whether or not that is still legal still matters.

In addition to that, the reasons why we wanted to go into this de Blasio or this political world generally concern the fact that all of this was happening in the way that we described sort of apiece. But we had very little choice but to go in greater depth here once the defense said that no matter what they would bring this stuff out for impeachment purposes because they don't believe it actually happened or they intend to prove that it didn't actually happened.

We have reached a point, your Honor, where, as a result of the defense proposal, we were going to just excise that whole thing. That's not something that we can't do. But more importance than that, on a very basis level, these are offenses that Jona Rechnitz committed. These are offenses that he understood to be offenses at the time that he gave his guilty plea, and nothing within the analysis that we have

gotten from the defense nor in what he has told us so far does anything dislodge that, so it would be legal error to tell the jury otherwise.

We can certainly tell the jury exactly what it was that the government has proposed from the very beginning: One, that Mr. Reichberg is not on trial for this conduct, that no one is on trial for that conduct; two, that it is not their concern whether it's against the law or not.

We're not putting it in to establish that it is illegal as a matter of law. We're putting it in for the reasons that I just enumerated. And for those reasons, our instruction, as originally proposed, seems by far the more correct way to go about doing this.

To have a pronouncement from your Honor that this conduct is legal, I think would be, A, very probably wrong as a factual matter, B, open the door to a confusing issue involving Jona Rechnitz's plea allocution, which is exactly what we feared would happen before and part of the reason why we were willing to adopt that carved out solution, and C, would also like needlessly delve into an issue that need not be the jury's concern. The jury can determine whether Mr. Reichberg and Mr. Grant committed the charges in the superseding indictment without making a determination as to whether their political ventures were illegal or not. The important thing, I think, is that they happened.

THE COURT: Thank you. Fine.

Counsel?

MS. NECHELES: Your Honor, I don't want to go back through old history, but I believe that the government never came to us with a proposal that they were going to leave the mayor stuff out.

Putting that aside, we do intend to cross on the substance of this to show that none of these favors that we supposedly got were favors that were given by anybody. We will show exactly how they -- Mr. Reichberg accomplished these things, none of which was calling up Ross Offinger.

Your Honor, there was a year-long investigation into all of this by this office. They decided not to indict anybody. There's a reason they decided not to indict anybody. Everybody in the mayor's office and in all these divisions said this didn't happen. It didn't happen the way the government is trying to portray it here, the way that Mr. Rechnitz — there's a reason that Mr. Reichberg was never indicted for bribing the mayor. There's a reason the mayor wasn't indicted and Mr. Offinger wasn't indicted. If they believed his testimony and if they had supporting evidence, all of these people would be indicted.

It's particularly pernicious because they put things like the Fernando Mateo stuff in. And even if you believe the Fernando Mateo stuff, it's not a crime to say I want to be

~

appointed to a committee, which is not a government committee, if I give you this money. It's not a crime, but it sounds like a crime because it's all so murky. It's not an official act to get promoted, to get appointed to your transition committee or your inaugural committee. It's not a crime. But how does the jury know that if they're not being told that it's not a crime?

As your Honor pointed out, the only one that there's even a colorable claim, colorable, is with respect to the school. And even that claim it seems to come down to yes, he got — he called people to get this, to have him meet with them and to get action, but not that he told somebody you need to do this. And the reason there is no evidence that he told somebody you need to do this is because it didn't happen. All these people have been interviewed by the government and they know it didn't happen.

So we do ask, we continue to ask that they be told that this is not a crime, what is being described here. It certainly is really troubling, because what the government does is they put somebody on the stand like this to basically bolster their argument there was criminality done here. He testified I did this crime and I pled guilty to it, so you know it's a crime, and I did it with Jeremy Reichberg.

And they're asking your Honor to basically go along with that when there is real questions about whether there was a crime. They're saying you can't interfere with that, Judge,

that would interfere with the cross. But they're bolstering this. They're bolstering it by having somebody who is in their power testify. They didn't have to elicit this testimony at all. They could have left this out, but they wouldn't go there, so now they want to bolster it.

But I don't think it is a crime, your Honor. We said it repeatedly. I do not believe it's a crime. I believe this person pled guilty before <code>McDonald</code> came down. The evidence that he is describing is stuff that maybe prior to <code>McDonald</code> someone would have been convicted on, but afterwards, to say that Fernando Mateo said that I want to be on a committee and I'm going to give a lot of money and it's not a government committee, it's a private committee, that's not a crime. That's how people got on those committees was by giving a lot of money.

In addition, your Honor, when the government talks about a conspiracy, this stuff is really confusing, the election law stuff, but I don't believe that if Fernando Mateo and Jeremy and Jona got -- oh, this is what we want and they never say it, that doesn't make it a crime, because that would miss part of the elements of a conspiracy. They have to actually tell it to the person, to the campaign official. They can't just think oh, we're going to give this money and we expect this in return, because that's not a crime. That doesn't have -- you're not conspiring to do all the elements.

They have to conspire to actually tell the mayor or the city official we're giving this money to enable to get it.

And there's no -- I mean he said that happened, but what he says is we said we're giving you this money in order to get somebody to meet with us or to give us results. What's results? Like getting an answer on things is results. And you will see that a lot of these he got a no answer.

When the government elicited from this person I wanted to be on the committee, and he testified I wanted to be on the committee and I got on the committee, that's not what the evidence will show. It will show he didn't get on the committee. Time after time it's like that, so we have to fight all this. But it's not a crime for him to have thought I want them to do this, to have this expectation, I want to get results and give campaign money. That's exactly, I think, what McDonald says.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Counsel for Mr. Grant?

MR. MERINGOLO: Nothing.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Counsel for the United States?

MR. BELL: Judge, there are a number of statements that Ms. Necheles made that are simply wrong, and it's important to correct the record as to these.

Number one, Ms. Necheles has absolutely no insight

whatsoever as to why the government did or did not charge anyone as a result of its wide-ranging investigation into Mayor de Blasio's fund raising practices, an investigation that went well beyond Messrs. Reichberg and Rechnitz. She doesn't. She can claim to, and she takes — it's not an infrequent thing for Ms. Necheles to say this is why the government doesn't do this, this is why. She doesn't know.

MS. NECHELES: Your Honor, could I ask again that Mr. Bell not make personal attacks?

THE COURT: Sorry, please, Ms. Necheles, allow Mr. Bell to complete his comment.

MR. BELL: Thank you. It's not a personal attack if I'm commenting on what she claims to know and what she can't and she doesn't. It's not some sort of commentary on whether or not that action is in fact illegal. The fact of the matter is that the United States Attorney's Office declines to prosecute individuals and entities for a wealth of reasons beyond simply whether the requisite elements exist.

Number two, Ms. Necheles on a number of occasions mischaracterized what the official action supposedly here would have been not only to the conspiracy that existed as to -- or the agreement that existed as to how Mr. Rechnitz and Mr. Reichberg would treat their fund raising with the mayor and whether they would get a result, but also with respect to that Fernando Mateo email.

Let's start with that email, which in addition to being — in addition to involving getting placed on a committee where Ms. Necheles cherry picked the most post—McDonald friendly item there, they also talk about the actual appointment of police chaplains by the city, which is official action post—McDonald, which has always been official action, which was official action at the time of Mr. Rechnitz's plea.

And so it is a fascinating thing that every time this thing has got to be argued Ms. Necheles mischaracterizes the record as to what the agreement was, and now as to what Mr. Rechnitz's testimony and the other evidence was on that score.

She also says that there is some sort of requirement that Mr. — that the would—be recipient of the political largess, de Blasio or Offinger or whoever, has to be an active member of the conspiracy. There is no law to that effect. If Mr. Reichberg and Mr. Rechnitz got together and said hey, let's bribe the mayor, let's commit honest services fraud, and it never goes beyond there but they take the requisite steps to do so, it's still a crime as to Mr. Rechnitz and still a crime as to Mr. Reichberg.

So look, the other idea -- I'm running -- I can't count the falsehoods that came out of that statement, but one of them, the last important one that I want to address, your Honor, is that it's the government who is fueling this voyage

into the political activity. To be clear, we offered to take this stuff out. We offered to sign onto a defense proposal that they then reneged on in order to take this stuff out. The defense has said we intend to offer all of this stuff for impeachment and other purposes. There's not much we can really do at that point. And moreover, that stuff again comes in as background, but in the way that Giglio customarily does.

None of what Ms. Necheles says does anything to undercut the government's concerns about the fact that this is all conduct that Mr. Rechnitz allocuted to as part of his guilty plea. None of this does anything to undermine the crystal clear testimony post-lunch of Mr. Rechnitz today that he and Reichberg spoke to Ross Offinger and explicitly, explicitly linked the benefits that they expected to receive —not yet specified, not yet known, but benefits of actual action — with the fund raising work that they were going to do.

And so again, even post-McDonald and even in a world where the First Amendment mandates that these crimes related to political donations are viewed through a somewhat narrower lens, you're still looking at conduct that is legally problematic.

What Ms. Necheles hasn't said at any point when we first raised this prior to trial, or in raising this today, is why it is that the jury has to adjudicate that those things are

actually crimes. They don't. The limiting instruction that we have proposed from the very beginning is an entirely appropriate starting and stopping point for their considerations because they are being charged with determining whether those things were legal.

MS. NECHELES: Your Honor, I don't want to repeat stuff, but I do want to say that with respect to chaplain position, I don't believe that post-McDonald that that would be a crime. I believe that appointing someone to a ceremonial position which carries no salary is not clearly an official act under McDonald.

When you describe an official act under McDonald, it's not anything that an official does, it involves a controversy, a decision that needs to be made, all that language in McDonald. I mean we're going to have to discuss this in this case with respect to how getting someone into a parade is an official act. It's not an act that an official does, it's an act that has been described by the Supreme Court to circumscribe, and so I don't think that appointing somebody to a ceremonial position is necessarily an official act.

But the problem here is -- and I don't think that we need to litigate it here, actually, because it's so off the beaten path. We're not even charged with this as a crime. The problem is the government is telling the jury that we committed a crime by having --

Don't shake your head, Mr. Bell, please.

-- by having the witness testify that I pled guilty to this criminal act. This is a criminal act. I pled guilty to it in front of a judge in this courthouse and Mr. Reichberg did this criminal act with me. Of course they're telling him that it's a crime.

THE COURT: Thank you. Understood. You haven't yet seen my proposed instructions. I suspect that will you soon. Among them I believe is a customary instruction that those witnesses, such as Mr. Rechnitz, who pleaded guilty to an offense, that that is not something that the jury can, I will say consider for the truth of the underlying conduct, namely I will be saying that the reasons that any person pleads guilty to a crime are personal to them. I will show you that proposed instruction perhaps sooner than later so that you can see that.

I have heard your arguments. Thank you, counsel, for reviewing these issues again. I think it may be appropriate for me to come back to the limiting instruction that I provided earlier. I accept the government's arguments that I cannot conclude definitively on the basis of the testimony that I have heard now that the conduct at issue here is not a sufficient basis for a finding of criminal liability. So I am again hesitant to provide such an instruction, but I will want to return to the instruction I provided earlier and I may suggest providing a short version of the instruction that I described

earlier about the effect of guilty pleas.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ BELL: Your Honor, could we note one thing with respect to the guilty plea --

THE COURT: Please.

MR. BELL: -- that's important, because there was one other thing that Ms. Necheles said that needed to be addressed for the record, and specifically so that your Honor can fashion an appropriate instruction.

We did not today ask Mr. Necheles -- sorry, ask
Mr. Rechnitz about whether he allocated to the de Blasio
conduct as part of his guilty plea. Ms. Necheles said earlier
that we did. We didn't. So to the extent that your Honor
needs to have those facts in order to fashion an appropriate
instruction or to avoid going somewhere where we don't need to
go, it's important that that be noted, because that's how that
actually turned out. That is the one area, your Honor, where
we deliberately tiptoed around following our lengthy back and
forth on this issue.

THE COURT: Thank you. I did note that, and I also noted the relatively limited scope of the testimony that you elicited regarding Mayor de Blasio during the direct.

MS. NECHELES: Your Honor I believe he testified previously, I believe, that -- I mean he testified in his allocution, he says a public official. I believe he's testifying that that was de Blasio, and I'm going to ask him

that you have testified -- I'm going to check this prior testimony, but I thought that was his testimony, and I'm going to ask him because his testimony today makes that a ridiculous plea.

MR. BELL: Your Honor, if they do elicit that, that is a strategic choice, the ramifications of which the defense is going to have to live with. But I think it would behoove all of us, the parties, the Court, to think about what precise Pandora's box that would open up --

THE COURT: That's fine.

MR. BELL: -- and the overwhelming likelihood of jury confusion, among other issues, if that is something that actually has to be litigated through the witness, as it were.

THE COURT: That's fine.

MS. NECHELES: Your Honor, I don't understand that.

THE COURT: I will ask that the parties discuss exactly the depth of Pandora's box after the end of today's trial day. Perhaps, counsel for the United States, you can elucidate your comments for defense counsel so that they can make appropriate strategic decisions based on your insight.

Counsel, I'm going to end the trial day now. I would like to ask counsel for the United States how much longer you anticipate for Mr. Rechnitz's direct testimony tomorrow.

MR. BELL: Again we make assumptions about objections and the like. I think it will be a couple more hours. It will

end tomorrow. It should end tomorrow morning.

THE COURT: Good.

MR. BELL: But I expect that it will probably be a couple of hours or so.

There is one more matter that I want to put on the record.

THE COURT: That's fine.

MR. BELL: Thank you, your Honor.

I went back at Mr. Daniels' invitation to speak to the witness who had been troubled by something. It actually confirmed something that I noticed while examining him.

There's at least one individual, who Mr. Rechnitz knows and who I would be happy to name, to the extent that it helps, in the gallery who has been particularly aggressive in, well, interacting with the witness as he testifies, which on its own seems wholly appropriate.

THE COURT: I'm sorry, inappropriate?

MR. BELL: Wholly inappropriate, yes. It was an important syllable.

Mouthing the word "liar" in response to question after question, responding audibly with sort of like grunts and noises in response to those things.

I would ask that -- and this has happened before when Mr. Rechnitz has testified in prior proceedings involving I think members in the community with lot of people who care

about him. This is an open courtroom. We cherish that. It's something very crucial under our system of justice and under the Constitution. At the same time, intimidation of that or any sort is wholly inappropriate.

At a minimum, I would ask that the Court admonish the jury not to react audibly, not to -- sorry, the gallery, rather, not the jury, the jury has been great -- members of the gallery not to send any sort of a message to the witness, either through mouthing things, either to reacting in audible ways to portions of his testimony. I have heard what appears to be heckling, and that shouldn't be so. And this is, I think, the most sensitive part of the trial when it comes to those issues.

I have a particular appreciation of precisely what it has taken for Mr. Rechnitz to come to the point where he can cooperate. As he testified to, he needed rabbinical permission in order to come and testify, and the blowback that he's gotten from the community is real and has taken a number of forms.

To compound those issues, along with the general stress of being a cooperating witness facing quite a lot of potential prison time, with that sort of wholly inappropriate interaction is just something that shouldn't stand, and we ask that your Honor admonish the gallery. And also to the extent that your Honor can from that particular point, keep watch.

I will note that the individual has apparently been

seated behind the Reichberg family for much of the proceedings today and much of the proceedings on Tuesday where he, I think, could relatively easily be seen. But it has to stop, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MS. NECHELES: Your Honor, I haven't heard anything. I have the cautioned everybody to be careful because I have noticed in the 3500 material that Mr. Rechnitz complained every week that someone had said something about him, someone looked at him the wrong way. This is a man who has been very liberal in complaining about other people; somebody posted something online, he got a phone call, somebody said something to somebody else at a wedding. This is a man who yesterday — last week sent things directly accusing a person here of well, look, I found online that he's part of a group that — so I have not heard anybody. I have told everybody be really careful because this guy is dangerous. He will get you in trouble. He accuses everybody of wrongdoing all the time. I think that people understand that.

And I will say something else. This is not

Mr. Rechnitz's community. So to the extent that the government

stands up there and says he's worried because he may have

people in this community who disapprove of him, I don't believe

he cares about this community. It's not his community. And

it's a community that is often disdained by other Jews, that

Jews like Mr. Rechnitz often think poorly of this community.

So it's not just because he's a Jew he supports this community, it's actually a community that has lots of hatred from other Jews. So I don't know what he thinks he's seeing. I know it is stressful to have to testify like this. It is a difficult thing, I'm not taking that away, but I certainly have not heard anything. And I'm sure your Honor will admonish the gallery that they should not say anything, not make any faces. I ask that Mr. Bell do the same, that he not make faces, and that we all will move forward in that way.

THE COURT: Fine. Let me take a few of those comments in turn.

First, I have not heard what I will describe as a commotion during the course of the witness's testimony. I did not perceive what Mr. Rechnitz perceived, but nor am I looking at the gallery constantly. I will look more. And I'm going to ask Mr. Daniels and the security officers to police the conduct of the members of the gallery more carefully.

Inappropriate displays here in the courtroom are not acceptable. Simply put, this is a place of decorum. It's not an opportunity to intimidate witnesses, it is not a place to disrespect the proceedings as a whole. Counsel for each of the defendants can advise members of the gallery whether they believe that such conduct is appreciated and viewed positively by the jury, who also have the opportunity to observe the

gallery. It may be that such an appropriate and rude conduct will be viewed poorly by all who perceive it. So I fully expect that going forward there will be no improper conduct. If there is, I will not hesitate to ask for a person who engages in it to be removed from the courtroom.

As I said, I did not observe this particular conduct and I do not know to what extent Mr. Rechnitz is particularly sensitive to these issues, but as a general matter, the prospect of intimidation of a witness by a member of the gallery is extremely troubling to the Court, as I expect it would be for any member of our public.

So thank you for raising that issue, counsel. I'm not going to ask you to identify the person to me, but please do identify the person to Mr. Daniels, and I will ask that Mr. Daniels keep a closer eye for certain misconduct by that person going forward, and will also bring it to the attention of court security officers who are generally present here in the court during the court day.

So I look forward to seeing you tomorrow.

Counsel for the United States, thank you for what you have anticipated for the testimony tomorrow. Anything else that we should discuss before we take our recess, counsel for the United States?

MR. BELL: No, your Honor, only to note with respect

to the issue raised by whether chaplaincies are official acts, the Second Circuit has spoken on something relatively similar or somewhat similar in the course of its *Sheldon Silver* ruling, which was I think was the Circuit's first real post-*McDonald* treatment of the official acts issue.

Among the things that the Circuit blessed for treatment on the retrial -- which, of course, has now happened -- was Silver's making a commendation happen for the doctor involved, Dr. Taub -- or proclamation, commendation, whatever they're called, the little thing that they hold up in the Wizard of Oz when the witch is dead, I don't know what they're called precisely. But the analysis behind that particular item, your Honor, may be particularly helpful in engaging the chaplaincy issue in the context that your Honor mentioned.

THE COURT: Thank you, I will review that.

Anything else, counsel for Mr. Reichberg?

MS. NECHELES: No.

THE COURT: Counsel for Mr. Grant?

MR. MERINGOLO: No, Judge.

THE COURT: Thank you. I will see you tomorrow morning.

(Adjourned to November 27, 2018, at 9:00 a.m.)

1	INDEX OF EXAMINATION
2	Examination of: Page
3	JONA RECHNITZ
4	Direct By Mr. Bell
5	GOVERNMENT EXHIBITS
6	Exhibit No. Received
7	606 and 629
8	8 and 8A2509
9	613
10	1016
11	1017
12	1018
13	1019
14	2023
15	10 and 10-A
16	5 and 5-A
17	1022
18	308-F
19	1202
20	1207
21	1229
22	1047
23	617 and 618
24	614
25	608
ļ	

1	615	96
2	1080	96
3	1051	07
4	1029	09
5	612	14
6	1072	18
7	1057	20
8	1084	21
9	601 through 605	23
10	611	50
11	1021	52
12	306	55
13	625 through 628	60
14	1256	65
15	1066	67
16	1033, 1101, and 1102	72
17	1048 and 1065	78
18	1063 and 1090	81
19	1092	83
20	1106	84
21	W-04026	85
22		
23		
24		
25		